# STUDY ON EFFECT OF DIFFERENT ORGANICS ON YIELD AND QUALITY OF ORGANICALLY GROWN ONION

#### V. R. NAIK<sup>1</sup>, P. B. PATEL AND B. K. PATEL

Soil and Water Management Research Unit, Coastal Soil Salinity Research Station, Danti-Umbharat Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari - 396 450, Gujarat e-mail: vikasnaikrankuva@yahoo.com

#### **KEYWORDS**

Onion Organic manure Soil fertility Soil Salinity Alkalinity

**Received on:** 23.10.2013

**Accepted on:** 02.10.2014

\*Corresponding author

#### **ABSTRACT**

A field experiment to study the effect of different organic sources of nutrients on growth and yield of drip irrigated onion Cv. Nasik Red was carried out on organic plot (conversion phase) at Coastal Soil Salinity Research Station, Danti-Umbharat (Navsari Agricultural University, Gujarat) during *rabi* 2009-10 to 2011-12. In all seven treatments *viz.*, T<sub>1</sub>: FYM, T<sub>2</sub>: Bio-compost, T<sub>3</sub>: Vermi-compost, T<sub>4</sub>: FYM (50 % basal) + Castor cake (50 % top dressing), T<sub>5</sub>: Bio-compost (50 % basal) + Castor cake (50 % top dressing), T<sub>6</sub>: Vermi-compost (50 % basal) + Castor cake (50 % top dressing) and T<sub>7</sub>: IBNM along with one control (INM – outside organic plot) were tested in RBD with four replications. The results revealed that leaf length and average bulb weight as well as bulb yield of onion were significantly higher when FYM, bio-compost and vermin-compost were applied in combination with castor cake than their individual application. With respect to control v/s rest analysis, effect on leaf length was not significant, while control treatment (INM) registered significantly higher average bulb weight of onion as compared to treatment mean. Control v/s rest analysis was not found significant on bulb yield of onion. Organically treated plot recorded significantly lower storage losses as compared to control treatment. After three year of experiment at fixed site, decrease in pH and EC and improvement in soil fertility and finer and coarser fraction of water stable aggregates was recorded in all the treatments received organic sources of nutrients as compared to plots received the INM treatment.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Onion (Allium cepa L) is a member of the Alliaceae family and its one of the most important vegetables in the world, whose utility is ranked second to tomatoes (Brice et al., 1997). India is the second largest producer of onion in the world, next to China, accounting for 22.18 per cent of the world area and 18.78 per cent of the world production. In India, onion is being grown in an area of 0.83 million hectares with production of 13.57 million tonnes and productivity is 16-30 tonnes per hectare which is low. The leading onion growing states are Maharashtra, Karnataka, Gujarat, Bihar, Madhya Pradesh, Andhra Pradesh, Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh, Haryana and Tamil Nadu. In Gujarat, onion cultivation has increased from 38.8 thousand hectares in 2009-10 to 62.0 thousand hectares in 2010-11. Accordingly, onion production in Gujarat is almost double to 1.62 million tonnes in 2010-11 as against 1.08 million tonnes in 2009-10 (Pawar et al., 2013). Since, onion being bulbous crop, its cultivation is mostly preferred on light textured soils of Saurastra and middle Gujarat. However, by adopting suitable agro techniques it can be successfully cultivated in heavy soils also (Pawar et al., 2013).

Generally excessive amount of inorganic fertilizers are applied to vegetables in order to achieve a higher yield (Stewart et al., 2005). However, the use of inorganic fertilizers alone may cause problems for human health and the environment (Arisha and Bardisi, 1999). Organic manure can serve as alternative practice to mineral fertilizers (Naeem et al., 2006) for improving soil structure (Dauda et al., 2008) and microbial biomass

(Suresh et al., 2004). Therefore, utilization of locally produce manure by vegetable production, operation may increase crop yields. In recent times, consumers are demanding higher quality and safer food and highly interested in organic products. Hence, the aim of this study was to determine cheaper source of organics for onion grown on partially reclaimed coastal salt affected soils.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

A field experiment was conducted with onion Cv. Nasik red on the field which was under Acacia auriculiformis plantation for seven years at Coastal Soil Salinity Research Station, Danti, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari (72°50' E longitude and 20°83'N latitude. The mean sea level is ranging from 0-2.5m) during rabi 2009-10 to 2011-12 to study the effect of different organics on yield and quality of organically grown onion. The soil was slightly saline (EC: 0.79 dS/m) and alkaline (pH 9.34), low in organic carbon (0.39 %), medium in available P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (31.2 kg/ha) and high in available K<sub>2</sub>O (1320 kg/ha) content. Total of seven organic treatments i.e., T<sub>1</sub>: FYM,-100 % basal T<sub>2</sub>: Bio-compost- 100 % basal T<sub>3</sub>: Vermi-compost- 100 % basal T<sub>4</sub>: FYM (50 % basal) + 50 % castor cake (top dressing), T<sub>5</sub>: Bio-compost (50 % basal) + castor cake (50 % top dressing), T<sub>6</sub>: Vermi-compost (50 % basal) + castor cake (50 % top dressing) and T<sub>2</sub>: IBNM (Table 1) along with one control (INM - outside organic plot) were tested in RBD with four replications. Dhanchhia green manuring was followed as common practice and all the organics were applied on N-equivalent basis (Table 2). During all the three years, 45 days old onion seedlings were transplanted on raised bed at 15 x 10 cm spacing in 3.6 x 3.0 m plot size in the month of December. In organic treatments, irrigation was applied through drip @ 0.6 PEF, while in control plot surface irrigation method at 0.8 IW/CPE ratio, 60 mm depth was practiced. In control treatments inorganic fertilizer was applied @ 80:40:00 NPK kg/ha. Half dose of N and full dose of P was applied as basal, while remaining half dose of N was top dressed at 40 days after transplanting. Five plants were selected randomly and tagged in each plot for recording the observations on plant height, average bulb weight and bulb yield, weight loss after six month were recorded and analysed statistically (Panse and Sukhatme, 1967). Initial and treatment wise soil samples after harvest of last crop were also taken for analysing physicchemical properties of soil (Richards, 1954).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Growth and yield

Plant height of onion was affected significantly due to different treatments (Table 3). Treatments viz.,  $T_5$  (Bio-compost + castor cake),  $T_4$  (FYM + castor cake),  $T_6$  (Vermi-compost + castor cake) and  $T_7$  (IBNM) recorded statistically identical plant height but were significantly superior over  $T_7$  (Vermi-compost),  $T_7$ 

Table 1: IBNM treatment details

Item	Soil application /ha (basal)	Foliar application/ ha (40 DAT)
Water	500 litre	500 litre
Cow dung	50 kg	50 kg
Cow urine	25 litre	15 litre
Jeggary	5 kg	-
Butter milk	5 litre	-
Pulse flour	2 kg	-
Undisturbed Banyan	2.5 kg	1 kg
tree Earth	-	-
Period	2-7 days	48 hours

Table 2: Quantity of organics applied on N equivalent basis

Organics	N content (%) (Dry basis)	Moisture content (%)	Quantity of organics for getting 80 kg N/ha (kg/ha)
Year 2009-10			
Bio-compost	0.94	21	10773
Vermi-compost	1.29	33	9256
FYM	0.57	24	18467
Castor cake	4.30	0	1860
Year 2010-11			
Bio-compost	0.98	24	10741
Vermi-compost	1.35	32	8715
FYM	0.51	19	19366
Castor cake	4.20	2	1944
Year 2011-12			
Bio-compost	0.92	21	11007
Vermi-compost	1.27	35	9691
FYM	0.55	24	19139
Castor cake	4.40	0	1818
Mean			
Bio-compost			10840
Vermi-compost			9220
FYM			18990
Castor cake			1874

(FYM) and  $T_2$  (Bio-compost). De et al., (2013) also reported increase in growth parameters of onion due to application of sea weed fertilizer (Biozyme). However, difference between control v/s rests mean was found non-significant in respect of plant height. Average bulb weight of onion was also affected significantly due to different treatments. Among the treatments  $T_6$ ,  $T_4$  and  $T_5$  registered statistically equal bulb weight but all the three treatments were found better than treatments  $T_3$ ,  $T_7$ ,  $T_2$  and  $T_1$ . With respect to control v/s rest analysis, the control treatment recorded significantly higher average bulb weight as compared to treatment mean. Similar results were recorded by Singh and Sachan (1998), Naruka and Singh (2002) in garlic and Shanti and Balakrishnan (1989) in aggregatum onion.

The results of bulb yield of onion as affected by different treatments are given in table 4. The results revealed that during 1st year of experiment bulb yield was not affected significantly due to different treatments. During subsequent two years and in pooled results, the bulb yield of onion was affected significantly due to different treatments. In all the cases, treatment T<sub>s</sub> registered significantly higher bulb yield of onion as compared to rest of the treatments, but it remained at par with T<sub>4</sub> and T<sub>6</sub> during 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> year. In pooled results, treatment  $T_5$  was at par with  $T_{4'}$ ,  $T_6$  and  $T_7$ . In treatments,  $T_4$ ,  $T_5$ , T<sub>s</sub> and T<sub>s</sub> the organics were applied in two splits i.e., basal and 40 DAP (Days After Planting). This might have enhanced the bulb yield. With respect to control v/s rest analysis, it was not significant during individual years as well as in pooled results. Jawadagi et al. (2012) reported higher bulb yield of onion with application of FYM + Bio-compost + Bio-fertilizer in Karnataka. These findings are also in line with the results reported by Muthuramalingam et al. (2000) in sweet pepper and Prabhakarana (2000) in tomato.

#### Storage loss

Treatment wise samples were kept for period of six months to study the post-harvest losses. The results indicated that minimum weight loss was recorded in treatment  $T_6$  (16.9 %) and next in order were  $T_5$  (17.0 %),  $T_4$  (17.6 %) and  $T_2$  (18.2 %). The maximum loss was recorded in treatment  $T_1$  (21.0 %). The control v/s rest analysis showed that the significantly higher weight loss was recorded with control (22.3 %) as compared to treatment mean (20.3 %).

## Soil reaction and salinity

The effect of different treatments on soil pH at both the depths found to be not significant during all the years (Table5). With respect to control v/s rest analysis, the effect on soil pH was found to be significant during  $2^{nd}$  and  $3^{rd}$  year of experiment. During both the years organically treated plots recorded significantly lower soil pH in both the layers as compared to control (INM). After three years of experimentation, irrespective of treatments, pH of surface soil decreased from 9.34 to 8.55. While that of subsurface soil was from 9.21 to 9.02. The corresponding decrease in pH of control plot was from 9.38 to 8.97 in surface layer. The decrease in pH might be attributed to the production of  $CO_2$  and organic acids during decomposition of added organics (Yaduvanshi, 2001, Natarajan and Mahendran, 2005).

The soluble salt content in soil was affected significantly due to organics in surface layer during  $2^{nd}$  year and in both the

Table 3: Leaf length (cm), average bulb weight (g) and per cent weight losses under different treatments

Treatments	Pooled Leaf length (cm)	Av. Bulb weight (g)	Weight loss (%) after 6 months
T <sub>1</sub>	38.7	38.5	21.0 (27.6)
T <sub>2</sub>	36.9	39.5	18.2 (25.0)
T <sub>3</sub>	39.0	41.5	20.2 (27.3)
$\begin{bmatrix} T_3 \\ T_4 \end{bmatrix}$	41.0	48.6	17.6 (26.5)
T <sub>5</sub>	44.8	50.1	17.0 925.6)
T <sub>6</sub>	44.0	50.5	16.9 (26.1)
T,	40.8	41.4	20.3 (26.0)
S.Em +	1.55	2.00	0.84
CD @ 5 %	4.36	5.64	2.38
Control v/s rest			
Treatment mean	40.7	44.3	18.7
Control mean	42.0	47.5	22.3 (26.2)
S.Em+	0.67	0.87	0.37
CD @ 5 %	NS	2.46	1.04
CV %	7.6	8.9	8.8

<sup>\*</sup>Figure in parenthesis are Are sin value

Table 4: Effects of different treatments on bulb yield of onion (t/ha)

Treatments	Year			Pooled
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	
T,	19.4	20.7	20.3	20.1
Τ,	22.4	22.4	21.7	22.2
T <sub>3</sub>	21.8	21.9	21.5	21.7
T <sub>4</sub>	25.2	27.8	26.5	26.5
T <sub>5</sub>	28.9	30.3	29.6	29.6
T <sub>6</sub>	27.2	27.6	29.0	27.9
T,	23.6	24.3	23.5	23.8
S.Em +	2.3	2.1	1.9	2.1
CD @ 5 %	NS	6.2	5.7	5.9
Control v/s rest				
Treatment mean	24.1	25.0	24.6	24.5
Control mean	23.1	24.1	25.9	24.4
S.Em+	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.9
CD @ 5 %	NS	NS	1.04	NS
CV %	19.4	16.9	15.5	16.9
Υ×Τ		NS		

Table 5: Soil reaction (pH) and salinity under different treatments (Initial and after third year)

Treatment	pH <sub>1:2.5</sub>		EC 1.25 (dS/	m)
	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	0-15 cm	
Initial	9.34	9.21	0.79	9.21
T <sub>1</sub>	8.57	8.76	0.51	0.66
T <sub>28.79</sub>	8.48	8.84	0.53	0.54
T <sub>3</sub>	8.48	8.58	0.61	0.63
$T_4$	8.40	8.57	0.38	0.40
$T_{\scriptscriptstyle{5}}^{\scriptscriptstyle{7}}$	8.60	8.62	0.42	0.54
$T_6^{S}$	8.60	8.68	0.40	0.49
T,	8.74	8.81	0.63	0.68
S.Em +	0.10	0.16	0.03	0.03
CD @ 5 %	NS	NS	0.09	0.10
Control v/s Rest				
Treatment mean	8.55	8.39	0.50	0.56
Control mean	8.97	9.02	0.81	0.98
S.Em+	0.04	0.06	0.01	0.01
CD @ 5 %	0.11	0.18	0.03	0.04
CV %	2.3	3.77	11.29	10.89

depths during 3<sup>rd</sup> year of experiment (Table 5). In surface layer,

treatment  $T_4$  registered significantly lower value of EC than rest of the treatments during  $2^{\rm nd}$  year and during last year treatment  $T_4$ ,  $T_5$  and  $T_6$  registered significantly lower value of EC than rest of the treatments. While in subsurface layer, treatments  $T_4$  and  $T_5$  recorded significantly lower value of EC than rest of the treatments. In control v/s rest analysis, during  $2^{\rm nd}$  and  $3^{\rm rd}$ year of experiment, organically treated plots recorded significantly lower values of EC as compared to control plots at both the depths. The decrease in soluble salt content in soil could be due to application of organics facilitating leaching of salts by virtue of improvement of aggregation (Swarup, 1992, Natarajan and Mahendran, 2005).

#### Soil fertility

Organic carbon content in soil was influenced significantly due to different treatments during all the years at both the depths. In almost all the cases, treatment  $T_5$  and  $T_6$  recorded significantly higher values of organic carbon content in soil as compared to rest of the treatments, but these treatments remained at par with some of the treatments. In all the cases, organically treated plot registered significantly higher values of organic carbon as compared to control (Table 6). Increase in organic carbon content in soil could be due to addition of organics. Similar results are also reported earlier by Karki et al. (2005) and Tripathi et al. (2009).

During all the three years, available P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> content in soil after harvest of crop was influenced significantly due to different treatments (Table 6). During 1styear, available P2O5 in both the layers was significantly higher in treatment T<sub>4</sub> as compared to rest of the treatments. During 2nd year, it was significantly higher in treatment T<sub>2</sub> in surface layer and in treatment T<sub>3</sub> in subsurface layer in comparison to rest of the treatments. During 3rd years, in surface layer available P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> content was significantly higher in treatment T, and in subsurface layer it was significantly higher with treatment  $T_2$  as compared to rest of the treatments. During all the years, organically treated plots registered significantly higher values of available P2O5 as compared to control plot. However, available K<sub>2</sub>O content in soil was not affected significantly due to different treatments during all the three years. Similarly, control v/s rest analysis, it was not affected significantly except during 2<sup>nd</sup> year in surface layer and 3<sup>rd</sup> year subsurface layer, where in control plot recorded significantly higher values of available K,O as compared to treatment mean (Table 6). Bandopadhayay et al. (2001) and Tripathi et al. (2009) also reported improvement in nutrient status of salt affected soils through application of organics.

# Water stable aggregates (WSA)

There was marked improvement in aggregation of finer and coarser fractions in organically treated plots after three years of experiment (Table 7). On an average, coarser fraction *i.e.*, e" 1.0 mm size improved by around 10-15 per cent in both the layers. While the improvement in finer fraction *i.e.*, 0.5 to 1.0 mm size WSA was around 7 per cent in surface layer and 8 per cent in subsurface layer. An improvement in aggregation due to addition of organics could be attributed to the binding of clay particles by partially decomposed organic matters (Desai et al., 2009).

From the results, it is concluded that to get higher bulb yield from organically grown drip irrigated onion on coastal salt

Table 6: Soil fertility under different treatments (Initial and after third year)

Treatment	Available P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (kg/ha)		Available K,O (	Available K <sub>2</sub> O (kg/ha)		Organic carbon (%)	
	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	
Initial	31.2	24.6	1320	1278	0.37	0.33	
T <sub>1</sub>	28.14	22.18	1359	1510	0.65	0.54	
T <sub>28.79</sub>	34.73	28.69	1461	1549	0.85	0.76	
T <sub>3</sub>	37.20	24.34	1445	1509	0.92	0.83	
T <sub>4</sub>	27.05	23.25	1438	1582	0.98	0.89	
T <sub>5</sub>	34.73	26.51	1543	1565	0.96	0.84	
T <sub>6</sub>	29.05	25.96	1521	1541	0.90	0.84	
T,	28.42	26.51	1576	1490	0.77	0.72	
S.Em +	1.32	0.83	61.73	62.33	0.04	0.02	
CD @ 5 %	3.88	2.43	NS	NS	0.11	0.05	
Control v/s Rest							
Treatment mean	31.33	25.35	1478	1535	0.86	0.77	
Control mean	36.85	32.75	1481	1790	0.59	0.54	
S.Em+	0.51	0.32	23.76	23.99	0.01	0.01	
CD @ 5 %	1.47	0.92	NS	69.20	0.04	0.02	
CV %	8.25	6.29	8.35	7.96	9.31	4.52	

Table 7: Water Stable Aggregates (%) under different treatments (Initial and after third year 2011-12)

Treatment	Depth					
	0-15 cm		15-30 cm	15-30 cm		
	e" 1.0 mm	0.5-1.0 mm	e" 1.0 mm	0.5-1.0 mm		
Initial	32.40	9.47	25.42	6.89		
T,	44.43	13.21	34.37	12.21		
Τ,	41.99	13.82	33.58	12.75		
T,	42.48	13.96	34.62	13.00		
$T_{\scriptscriptstyle{A}}^{\scriptscriptstyle{J}}$	42.09	14.94	43.11	13.55		
T <sub>5</sub>	47.08	16.29	43.36	14.47		
T <sub>6</sub>	54.46	15.95	42.67	14.90		
T <sub>7</sub>	53.10	15.00	37.84	14.28		
Ćontrol	41.83	12.16	30.34	11.48		

affected soils of South Gujarat, application of recommended dose of N @ 80 kg/ha of which 50 per cent N through biocompost as basal and remaining 50 per cent N through castor cake at 40 DAT is essential. Application of organics also decreases salinity of soil and improves organic carbon status of soil. The conventionally cultivated onion (surface irrigation + INM) is also feasible under coastal salt affected soil conditions.

#### **REFERENCES**

Arisha, H. M. E., Gand, A. A. and Younes 2003. Response of some pepper cultivars to organic and mineral nitrogen fertilizer under sandy soil conditions. *Zagazig J. Agricultural Research.* 26: 391-405.

Bandopadhayay, B. K., Sen, H. S., Maji, B. and Yadav, J. S. P. 2001. Saline and Alkaline Soils and their Management. Monogram Series, Indian Society of Coastal Agricultural Research, Coastal Soil Salinity Research Institute, Canning Town, West Bengal. India, pp. 1-66.

Brice, J., Currah, L., Malins, A. And Bancroft, R. 1997. Onion storage in the tropics. A practical guide to methods of storage and their selection. Chartham. *UK National Resources Institute*. p. 3.

Dauda, S. N., Ajayi, F. A. and Ndor, E. 2008. Growth and yield of water melon (*Citrullus lanatus*) as affected by poultry manure application *J. Agrucultural Society Science*. **4**: 121-124.

De, S., Manna, D., Sankar, A. and Maity, T. K. 2013. Influence of biozyme on growth, yield and quality of onion (Allium cepa L.) cv.

Sukhsagar. The Bioscan. 8(4): 1271-1273.

Desai, N. D., Deshmukh, V. L., Patel, A. M. and Patil, R. G. 2009. Effect of land configuration and soil conditioner on the productivity of *rabi* crops grown after paddy in coastal areas of South Gujarat. *J. the Indian Society of Coastal Agricultural Research.* 27(2): 8-11.

Jawadagi, R. S., Basavaraj, N., HemaNaik, B., Patil, B. N. and Channappagoudar, B. B. 2012. Effect of planting geometry and organic sources of nutrients on growth, yield and quality of *rabi* onion Cv. Bellary red. *Karnataka J. Agricultural Science*. 25(2): 236-240.

Karki, T. B., Kumar, A. and Gautam, R. C. 2005. Influence of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield, content and uptake of nutrients and soil fertility status in maize (Zea mays). Indian J. Agricultural Science. 75(10): 682-685.

Muthuramalingam, S., Natarajan, S., Sendurkumaran, S. Muthuvel, I. 2001. Effect of planting density and nutrients on bulb development and flowering in seed propagated aggregatum onion (*Allium cepa L Var*, aggregatum Don.) type *Gnanamedu* local. *Madras Agricultural J.* 88(7/9): 382-385.

Naeem, M. J., Iqbal, J. and Bakhsh, M. A. A. 2006. Comparative study of Inorganic Fertilizers and Wrganic Manures on Yield and Yield components of Mungbean (Vigna radiate L). J. Agricultural Society Science. 2: 227-229.

Naruka, I. S. and Singh, B. 2002. Interactive effect of row spacing and cultivars on the yield attributes of garlic (*Allium sativumL*). *Haryana J. Horticultural Science*. **31(3&4)**: 262-264.

Natarajan, S. K. and Mahendran, S. 2005. Influence of coirpith on physico-chemical properties of coastal sandy clay loam soils of Tamil Nadu. *J. Indian Society of Coastal Agricultural Research.* 23(2): 95-99.

Panse, V. G. and Sukhatme, P. V. 1967. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers, Indian Council of Agricultural Research Publication, New Delhi. pp. 152-156.

Pawar, S. L., Patel, J. M., Patel, A. M., Naik, V. R., Patel, R. B., Prajapati, D. R., Sonavane, S. S., Sawani, N. G., Solia, B. M. and Patil, R. G. 2013. Enhancing productivity of onion in clay soils of South Gujarat. *SWMP*, *Pub*. 28., NAU, Navsari. pp. 1-21.

**Prabhakaran, C. 2002.** Studies on organic farming using different organic nitrogen sources on yield and quality of tomato (*Lycopersiconesculentum*, Mill) var. PKM-1. M.Sc. (Agri) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agrucultural University., Coimbatore (India).

Richards, R. A. 1954. Diagnosis and Improvement of Saline and

Alkali Soils. USDA, Hand Book. No. 60, US Department of Agriculture, Oxford and IBH Pub Co. Pvt Ltd.,

**Shanti, K. and Baladrishanan, R. 1989**. Effect of nitrogen, spacing and maleic hydrazide on yield, nutrient uptake, quality and storage of MDU-1 onion. *Indian J. Horticulture*. **16:** 490-494.

**Singh, S. R. and Sacchan, B. A. 1998**. Response of different bulb size and spacing combinations on seed yield and yield attributing traits on onion (*Allium cepa L*) *Haryana J. Horticultural Science*. **21(1)**: 56-58.

**Stewart, M. W., Dibb, W. D., Johnston, E. A and Smyth, J. T. 2005.** The contribution of Commercial Fertilizer Nutrients to Food Production. *Agronomy J.* **97:** 1-6.

Suresh, K. D., Sneh, G., Krishn, K. K. and Mool, C. M. 2004. Microbial biomass carbon and microbial activities of soil receiving chemical

fertilizers and organic amendments. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science. **50:** 641-647.

**Swarup Anand 1992.** Effect of organic amendments on the nutrition and yield of wetland rice and sodic soil reclamation. *J. Indian Society of Soil Science.* **40:** 816-822.

**Tripathi, S., Bandopadhyay, B.K., Chadrobaorty, A. 2009.** Soil Microbiological Properties and Yield of Crops on Coastal Saline Soils of West Bengal. *J. Indian Society of Coastal Agricultural Research.* **27(1):** 1-6.

**Yaduvanshi, N. A. S. 2001.** Effect of five years rice-wheat cropping and NPK fertilizer use with and without organic and green manures on soil properties and crop yields in a reclaimed sodic soil. *J. Indian Society of Soil Science*. **49(4):** 714-719.