

EFFECT OF POTASSIUM APPLICATION ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF WHEAT VARIETIES

PRAKASH MAURYA¹, VINAY KUMAR², K. K. MAURYA³, NARENDRA KUMAWAT⁴, RAKESH KUMAR^{5*} AND M. P. YADAV⁶

¹Department of Agronomy, CSAU & T, Kanpur - 208 002, INDIA

²SMS (Soil Science), KVK, Munger BAU Sabour - 813 210, Bihar, INDIA

³Programme Assistant cum Lab Tech., KVK Munger, BAU Sabour - 813 210, INDIA

⁴SRF, ARS, Rajasthan Agricultural University, Bikaner - 334 006, INDIA

⁵ICAR RC for NEH Region, Nagaland Centre, Jharnapani - 797 106, INDIA

⁶Professor and Head, Agronomy, CSAU and T Kanpur - 208 002, INDIA

e-mail: rakeshbhu08@gmail.com

KEYWORDS

Dry matter
Potassium
Wheat
Yield
Economics
Varieties

Received on :
27.06.2014

Accepted on :
17.10.2014

*Corresponding
author

ABSTRACT

The field experiment was conducted at CSAUA&T Kanpur during *rabi* season of 2011-12 to study the effect of potassium levels on growth, yield and economics of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) varieties. Treatments were consisting of three varieties of wheat viz. PBW-343, K-307 and K-402 and four potassium levels viz. 0, 40, 60 and 80 kg K ha⁻¹ in factorial randomized complete block design with 3 replications. Significantly higher growth characters viz. no. of ear head (391.2 m⁻²), effective tillers (266.4 m⁻²) and total number of tillers (317.5 m⁻²); yield attributes viz. length of spike⁻¹ (9.3 cm), no. of spikelets spike⁻¹ (19.9), weight spike⁻¹ (2.6 g), grains spike⁻¹ (46.30) and weight of grains spike⁻¹ (1.83 g) were recorded with PBW-343 followed by K-307 and the lowest in K-402. Similarly, the highest grain yield (48.8 q ha⁻¹), straw yield (69.7 q ha⁻¹) and biological yield (118.6 q ha⁻¹) were also recorded in wheat variety PBW-343. Gross returns (Rs. 80, 041 ha⁻¹), net returns (Rs.37, 620 ha⁻¹) and B: C ratio (1.88) was found in the variety PBW-343. Higher growth characters and yield attributes of wheat were reported with application of 80 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. Similarly, the highest grain yield (50.7 q ha⁻¹), straw yield (70.1q ha⁻¹), biological yield (120.9 q ha⁻¹) and harvest index (41.9%) were also recorded under 80 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ which was at par with 60 kg K₂O ha⁻¹.

INTRODUCTION

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is the most staple and second most important food crop after rice in the country, which contributes nearly one-third of the total food grains production. It is consumed mostly in the form of bread as "Chapati". Wheat straw is used for feeding cattle. Wheat contains more protein than other cereal and has a relatively high content of niacin and thiamine. It is basically concerned in providing the characteristics substance "Glutin" which is very essential for bakers. The area under wheat was increased since the start of Green revolution in 1967 and production and productivity were also increased from 12.8 million hectare in 1966-67 to 257.4 m ha in 2011-12. In this period, production has also increased from 11.4 to 88.3 mt and productivity has gone up 887 kg ha⁻¹ to 3140 kg ha⁻¹. Along with nitrogen and phosphorus, potassium is one of three essential macronutrients and is required by plants in relatively large amounts. The importance of potassium is evident from the fact that it is involved in more than 60 enzymatic systems in plant and is required for synthesis of proteins, vitamins, starch and cellulose. Potassium play an important role in maintenance of cellular organization by regulating permeability of cellular membranes and keeping protoplasm in proper degree of hydration by stabilizing emulsion of high colloidal properties.

Potassium has a great buffering action and stabilizes various enzymes system. It play role in photosynthesis and translocation of food (sink) from leaves to seeds. It also enhances the plants ability to resist pest attack, moisture stress and cold condition. Adequate supply of this nutrient promotes the formation of fully developed grain with a high starch contents. Potassium is reported to improve the water relation as well as productivity of different crop under water stress conditions (Islam *et al.*, 2004). Several plant metabolic process and maintenance of cell are dependent on potassium in sap (Mengel and Kirkby, 1987). With adequate availability of these nutrients, crop achieved good yield. Selection of suitable varieties plays a vital role in crop production, particularly in new areas of introduction. The choice of right varieties of wheat helps to augment the crop productivity. Thus, value of stable and high yielding varieties has been universally recognized as an important non-cash input for boosting production of any crop. Keeping this in view, the present investigation was carried out to evaluate the effect of potassium levels on growth and productivity of wheat varieties.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted during *rabi* season of 2011-12 at Students' Instructional Farm of College of

Agriculture at C.S.A.U.A & T. Kanpur (U.P.). The soil of the experimental field was sandy loam, having pH 7.3, organic carbon 0.46% and 173.0, 16.8 and 164.0 kg ha⁻¹ available N, P and K, respectively. The treatments comprised of three wheat varieties *viz.* PBW-343, K-307 and K-402 and four potassium levels *viz.* 0, 40, 60 and 80 kg K ha⁻¹. The experiment was laid-out in a factorial randomized complete block design with three replications. The crop was shown on 2nd December 2011 at 20 cm row spacing with seed rate of 100 kg ha⁻¹. First irrigation was given at the CRI stage *i.e.* 21 days after sowing the three additional irrigations were given to the fulfillment of crop with 20-25 days interval. One hand weeding was done manually with the help of *khurpi* for clean cultivation of crop 30-35 days after sowing of crop. Plants were tagged randomly in net plots for recording growth and yield attributes of crop different treatments. Crop was harvested on 15th April 2012. The harvesting was done manually with the help of sickle. The harvested total dry matter production was weighed in field with the help of spring balance and noted. Each plot material threshed separately with the help of thresher and for removed of inert material. The data were statistically analyzed using standard statistical procedures according to Gomez and Gomez (1984).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect on growth attributes

The growth attributes of wheat (plant height, fresh weight plant⁻¹, dry weight plant⁻¹, number of ear head (m⁻²), number of effective tillers (m⁻²) and total tillers (m⁻²) were influenced due to different varieties and potassium levels. Varieties did not show any significant different in respect of plant height, fresh weight plant⁻¹ and dry weight plant⁻¹, however, the maximum values of these traits was recorded K-402 followed by K-307 and the lowest in PBW-343. Similarly, the maximum number of ear head (391.20 m⁻²), effective tillers (266.44 m⁻²) and total tillers (317.54 m⁻²) were recorded with PBW-343 followed by K-307 and lowest in K-402. The lowest ineffective tillers (51.10 m⁻²) were also recorded under PBW-343. Differential behavior in growth habit of wheat varieties may be attributed to their genetic makeup. Similar results have earlier been reported by Muhammad *et al.* (2008).

Application of 80 K₂O ha⁻¹ recorded the higher value of plant height (86.54 cm), fresh weight (20.96 g plant⁻¹), dry weight (19.58 g plant⁻¹) number of ear head (393.09 m⁻²), effective tillers (269.83 m⁻²) and total tillers (315.45 m⁻²) which was at par with 60 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ and significantly superior to 40 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ and control. The improvement in vegetative growth may be attributed to important role of potassium in nutrient and sugar translocation in plant and turgor pressure of plant cells. Also potassium active numerous enzyme systems involved in formation of organic substances and in buildup of compounds such as carbohydrates. Its role in mainly cell development and in triggering young tissues or be due to that potassium is involved in plant meristematic growth (Chhokar *et al.*, 2006). This may be also due to better growth of healthy seedlings produced with application of potassium (Toledo *et al.*, 2011).

Effect on yield attributes and yields

Wheat varieties differed significantly for yield attributes in terms of spike length (cm), spikelets spike⁻¹, weight spike⁻¹, grains spike⁻¹, weight of grains spike⁻¹ and 1000-grain weight (Table 1 and 2). Among the wheat varieties, PBW-343 recorded higher values for all these attributes studies followed by K-307 and lowest in K-402. Similarly, seed yield, straw yield and biological yield were also highest recorded with PBW-343 followed by K-307 and lowest under K-402. The corresponding value of grain, straw and biological yield was increased to the extent of 21.92, 11.70, 15.34, 9.36 and 17.96, 10.31 per cent when compared to K-402 and K-307, respectively. Harvest index also followed similar trend.

The yield attributes *viz.*, spike length (cm), spikelets spike⁻¹; weight spike⁻¹, grains spike⁻¹, weight of grains spike⁻¹ and 1000-grains weight were significantly increased with increasing levels of K₂O up to 80 kg ha⁻¹. The application of 80 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ gave higher value of these yield attributes which was at with 60 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ and significantly superior over control and 40 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. Application of 80 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ produced higher 4.43 per cent spike length (cm), 4.20 per cent spikelets spike⁻¹, 13.98% weight spike⁻¹, 13.20% grains spike⁻¹, 13.39% weight of grains spike⁻¹ and 0.96%, 1000-grains weight than that of 40 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. Seed yield is the final expression of growth and yield attributing traits of the plants. This might be due to wheat variety PBW-343 produced higher growth and yield attributes

Table 1: Effect of application of potassium levels on growth and yield attributes of wheat varieties at harvest

Treatment	Plant height (cm)	Fresh weight plant ⁻¹ (g)	Dry weight plant ⁻¹ (g)	Number of ear head (m ⁻²)	Effective tillers (m ⁻²)	Ineffective tillers (m ⁻²)	Total tillers (m ⁻²)	Spike length (cm)	Spikelet spike ⁻¹	Weight spike ⁻¹ (g)
Varieties										
PBW-343	82.18	19.88	18.53	391.20	266.44	51.10	317.54	9.32	19.91	2.62
K-402	84.58	20.47	19.23	369.44	245.05	55.79	300.84	8.56	17.86	2.35
K-307	83.50	20.24	18.93	380.97	256.42	52.96	309.38	8.87	18.55	2.44
SEm ±	1.31	0.38	0.31	2.78	2.40	1.30	2.91	0.05	0.12	0.04
CD (p=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	5.76	4.98	2.70	6.04	0.11	0.25	0.08
Potassium levels (kg ha ⁻¹)										
Control	79.32	19.19	17.95	361.66	236.73	60.78	297.51	8.66	18.07	2.19
40	82.64	20.06	18.78	378.83	254.46	54.75	309.21	8.81	18.59	2.36
60	85.17	20.58	19.26	388.56	264.19	50.66	314.85	9.00	19.07	2.64
80	86.54	20.96	19.58	393.09	269.83	45.62	315.45	9.20	19.37	2.69
SEm ±	1.51	0.379	0.36	3.21	2.77	1.51	3.36	0.06	0.14	0.04
CD (p=0.05)	3.14	0.79	0.74	6.66	5.75	3.12	6.98	0.12	0.29	0.09

Table 2: Effect of application of potassium levels on yield attribute and yield of wheat varieties

Treatment	Grain spike ⁻¹ (no.)	Grain weight spike ⁻¹ (g)	1000-grain weight (g)	Grain yield (q ha ⁻¹)	Straw yield (q ha ⁻¹)	Biological yield (q ha ⁻¹)	Harvest index (%)
Varieties							
PBW-343	46.30	1.83	39.42	48.89	69.78	118.67	41.20
K-402	41.67	1.63	39.13	40.10	60.5	100.60	39.86
K-307	43.30	1.70	39.23	43.77	63.81	107.58	40.68
SEm ±	0.84	0.03	0.21	0.98	1.38	2.42	0.29
CD (p=0.05)	1.74	0.05	NS	2.04	2.86	5.02	0.59
Potassium levels (kg ha⁻¹)							
Control	39.44	1.52	38.45	35.93	56.92	92.85	38.69
40	41.81	1.65	39.35	41.94	62.23	104.17	40.26
60	46.33	1.83	39.49	48.42	69.45	117.87	41.08
80	47.44	1.88	39.73	50.72	70.19	120.91	41.95
SEm ±	0.97	0.03	0.24	1.13	1.59	2.80	0.33
CD (p=0.05)	2.01	0.06	0.50	2.35	3.30	5.80	0.68

finally reflect on dry matter of crop. Singh (2000) and Muhammad *et al.* (2008) reported similar results.

Similarly, application of 80 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ gave significantly higher grain, straw and biological yield over control and 40 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ but it was statistically at par 60 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. The highest grain yield of 50.72 q ha⁻¹ was produced with 80 kg K₂O ha⁻¹, showing an increase of 20.93 per cent over 40 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. Similarly, application of 80 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ gave straw and biological yield of 70.19 and 120.91 q ha⁻¹, registering an increase of 12.79 and 16.06 per cent, respectively over 40 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. Harvest index also significantly influenced by different levels of K₂O. Maximum harvest index (41.95) was recorded with K₂O @ 80 kg ha⁻¹ which was significantly higher over control, 40 and 60 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. The data indicated that the cumulative effect of yield contributing characters, such as effective tillers plant⁻², spikelets spike⁻¹, grains spike⁻¹ and 1000-grain weight had positive contribution to higher grain yield obtained from 80 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. Appropriate potassium nutrition with the increase in leaf area, chlorophyll and absorption of other nutrients, will increase photosynthetic capacity and yield. Although K is a co-factor for several enzymes yet its effect on starch synthesis is well established. Starch synthesis is one of the major events in grains. Therefore, availability of potassium can have a profound effect on grain development. Similar response of K on grain yield has also been reported by Dwivedi (2001), Khan *et al.* (2007).

REFERENCES

- Alam, M. R., Ali, M. A., Molla, M. S. H., Momin, M. A. and Mannan, M. A. 2009. Evaluation of different levels of potassium on the yield and protein content of wheat in the high Ganges river floodplain soil. *Bangladesh J. Agricultural Research*. **34(1)**: 97-104.
- Chhokar, R. S., Sharma, R. K., Chauhan, D. S. and Mongia, A. D. 2006. Evaluation of herbicides against phalaris minor in wheat in north-western Indian plains. *European Weed Research*. **46(1)**: 40-49.
- Dwivedi, B. S. 2001. Response of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*), potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) and Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) to varying particle size of potassium applied through muriate of potash. *Indian J. Agricultural Sciences*. **71(10)**: 634-638.
- Gomez, K. A. and Gomez, A. A. 1984. Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research (2nd Ed.). J. Wiley and Sons, New York. pp. 20-30.
- Islam, M. S., Haque, M. M., Khan, M. M., Hidaka, T. and Karim, M. A. 2004. Effect of fertilizer potassium on growth, yield, water relations of bushbean under water stress conditions. *Japanese J. Tropical Agriculture*. **48**: 1-9.
- Khan, R., Gurmani, A. R., Gurmani, A. H. and Zia, M. S. 2007. Effect of potassium application on crop yields under wheat- rice system. *Sarhad J. Agricultural*. **23(2)**: 277-280.
- Mengel, K. and Kirkby, E. A. 1987. Principles of plant nutrition. *International Potash Ins., Berne, Switzerland*. pp. 427-454.
- Muhammad, T., Tanveer, A., Ali, A., Ashraf, M. and Wasaya, A. 2008. Growth and yield response of two wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) varieties to different potassium levels. *Pakistan J. Life Society Science*. **6(2)**: 92-95.
- Singh, J. P. 2000. Effect of levels and phases of potassium application on growth and yield of rice and wheat. *J. Potassium Research*. **16(1/4)**: 35-40.
- Toledo, M. Z., Castro, G. S. A., Crusciol, C. A. C., Soratto, R. P., Nakagawa, J. and Cavarani, C. 2011. Physiological quality of soybean wheat seed produced with alternative potassium sources. *Revista Brasileira de Sementes*. **33(2)**: 363-371.

INSTRUCTION TO AUTHORS

The Bioscan

An International Quarterly Journal of Life Science

THE JOURNAL

The Bioscan is an international quarterly journal of life sciences with international editorial board. The journal is online and details can be seen (downloaded from the site. www.thebioscan.in). For any query e-mail at m_psinha@yahoo.com & dr.mp.sinha@gmail.com can be used.

AIM & SCOPE

The journal aims to publish original peerly reviewed/ refereed research papers/reviews on all aspects of life sciences.

SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT

Only original research papers are considered for publication. The authors may be asked to declare that the manuscript has not been submitted to any other journal for consideration at the same time. Two hard copies of manuscript and one soft copy, complete in all respects should be submitted. The soft copy can also be sent by e-mail as an attachment file for quick processing of the paper.

FORMAT OF MANUSCRIPT

All manuscripts must be written in English and should be typed double-spaced with wide margins on all sides of good quality A4 paper.

First page of the paper should be headed with the title page, (in capital, font size 16), the names of the authors (in capitals, font size 12) and full address of the institution where the work was carried out including e-mail address. A short running title should be given at the end of the title page and 3-5 key words or phrases for indexing.

The main portion of the paper should be divided into Abstract, Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results, Discussion (or result and discussion together), Acknowledgements (if any) References and legends.

Abstract should be limited to 200 words and convey the main points of the paper-outline, results and conclusion or the significance of the results.

Introduction should give the reasons for doing the work. Detailed review of the literature is not necessary. The introduction should preferably conclude with a final paragraph stating concisely and clearly the aims and objectives of your investigation.

Materials and Methods should include a brief technical description of the methodology adopted while a detailed description is required if the methods are new.

Results should contain observations on experiment done illustrated by tables and figures. Use well known statistical tests in preference to obscure ones.

Discussion must not recapitulate results but should relate the author's experiments to other work on the subject and give their conclusions.

All tables and figures must be cited sequentially in the text. Figures should be abbreviated to Fig., except in the beginning of a sentence when the word Figure should be written out in full.

The figures should be drawn on a good quality tracing/ white paper with black ink with the legends provided on a separate sheet. Photographs should be black and white on a glossy sheet with sufficient contrast.

References should be kept to a minimum and listed in alphabetical order. Personal communication and unpublished data should not be included in the reference list. Unpublished papers accepted for publication may be included in the list by designating the journal followed by "in press" in parentheses in the reference list. The list of reference at the end of the text should be in the following format.

1. **Witkamp, M. and Olson, J. S. 1963.** Breakdown of confined and non-confined Oak Litter. *Oikos*. **14**:138-147.
2. **Odum, E.P. 1971.** *Fundamentals of Ecology*. W. B. Sauder Co. Publ. Philadelphia.p.28.
3. **Macfadyen, A. 1963.** The contribution of microfauna to total soil metabolism. In: *Soil organism*, J. Doeksen and J. Van Der Drift (Eds). North Holland Publ. Comp., pp 3-16.

References in the text should be quoted by the **author's name and year** in parenthesis and presented in year order. When there are more than two authors the reference should be quoted as: first author followed by *et al.*, throughout the text. Where more than one paper with the same senior author has appeared in on year the references should

Cont. P. 1382