

Sāṅkhya Darśana: An Analytical Review of Prakṛti, Puruṣa and Tattva Evolution with Special Reference to Ayurveda

Dr. Sheela Hosamani¹, Dr. M R Sajjanshetty²

¹P.G. Scholar, Department of PG Studies in Samhita Siddhanta, S.V.M. Ayurvedic Medical College, Ilkal, Karnataka.

sheelahosmani4242@gmail.com

²Professor & HOD, Department of Samhita Siddhanta, S.V.M. Ayurvedic Medical College, Ilkal, Karnataka.

DOI: [https://doi.org/10.63001/tbs.2026.v21.i04.S.I\(4\).pp648-651](https://doi.org/10.63001/tbs.2026.v21.i04.S.I(4).pp648-651)

KEYWORDS

Sāṅkhya, Puruṣa, Prakṛti,
Triguṇa, Tattva,
Satkāryavāda, Ayurveda,
Mahat, Ahaṁkāra.

Received on: 23/09/2026

Revised on: 29/09/2026

Accepted on: 10/10/2026

Published on: 23/10/2026

Abstract

Sāṅkhya Darśana is one of the important philosophical systems of Indian thought and provides a systematic explanation of the nature of existence, consciousness and the evolution of the manifest universe. The fundamental principles of Sāṅkhya are Puruṣa, the conscious principle, and Prakṛti, the primordial material principle. Prakṛti is constituted by the three guṇas—Sattva, Rajas and Tamas—and undergoes progressive evolution into the manifest principles known as tattvas. The classical Sāṅkhya system enumerates twenty-five tattvas, comprising Puruṣa, Prakṛti, Mahat/Buddhi, Ahaṁkāra, Manas, five Jñānendriyas, five Karmendriyas, five Tanmātras and five Mahābhūtas. The doctrine of Satkāryavāda explains that the effect is already present in its material cause in a potential form. Sāṅkhya further explains bondage as the result of failure to discriminate between Puruṣa and Prakṛti and liberation as the result of discriminative knowledge. Several Sāṅkhya concepts are incorporated or adapted within Ayurvedic philosophical discussions, particularly in relation to Puruṣa, Prakṛti, Mahat, Ahaṁkāra, Manas, Indriyas and Mahābhūtas. The present article analyses the fundamental concepts of Sāṅkhya Darśana and discusses their relevance to the philosophical and theoretical foundations of Ayurveda.

1. INTRODUCTION

Indian philosophy has developed through systematic inquiry into the nature of reality, consciousness, knowledge and liberation. Among the major philosophical traditions, Sāṅkhya Darśana occupies an important position because of its detailed analytical description of the constituents of existence.

The term Sāṅkhya is traditionally associated with systematic enumeration and knowledge. The classical formulation of Sāṅkhya is particularly associated with Īśvarakṛṣṇa's Sāṅkhyakārikā, which presents the philosophical system through a structured analysis of reality. The classical system distinguishes between the conscious principle, Puruṣa, and the material principle, Prakṛti.

Sāṅkhya explains manifestation through a sequential evolution of tattvas. Prakṛti, constituted by Sattva, Rajas and Tamas, is regarded as the source of the manifest world, whereas Puruṣa is consciousness and is not itself a product of Prakṛti. The classical system therefore provides both an ontology and an explanation of human experience.

The importance of Sāṅkhya extends beyond philosophy. Its concepts have influenced several Indian knowledge systems, including Ayurveda and Yoga. Ayurvedic literature discusses concepts such as Puruṣa, Prakṛti, Mahat, Ahaṁkāra, Manas, Indriya and Mahābhūta, making an understanding of Sāṅkhya particularly relevant to students and scholars of Ayurveda.

2. AIM AND OBJECTIVES

Aim: To analyse the fundamental principles of Sāṅkhya Darśana and discuss their philosophical relevance to Ayurveda.

Objectives:

1. To describe the historical and conceptual background of Sāṅkhya Darśana.
2. To explain the concepts of Puruṣa and Prakṛti.
3. To describe Triguṇa Siddhānta.
4. To explain the twenty-five tattvas and their evolutionary sequence.
5. To understand Satkāryavāda.
6. To describe the Sāṅkhya concept of bondage and liberation.
7. To discuss the relationship between Sāṅkhya principles and Ayurveda.

3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present work is a conceptual review based on classical Ayurvedic texts, philosophical literature and relevant secondary scholarly sources. Classical concepts were analysed comparatively to understand their philosophical structure and their relevance to Ayurveda. The principal areas of analysis included Puruṣa, Prakṛti, Triguṇa, Tattva evolution, Satkāryavāda and Mokṣa.

HISTORICAL AND PHILOSOPHICAL BACKGROUND

Sāṅkhya is traditionally recognised as one of the six major orthodox philosophical systems of India. Its classical systematic presentation is associated with Īśvarakṛṣṇa's Sāṅkhyakārikā. The philosophical system is characterised by a detailed enumeration of reality and a distinction between consciousness and material nature.

The central philosophical problem addressed by Sāṅkhya is the experience of suffering. Human beings experience different forms of suffering and seek freedom from them. Sāṅkhya proposes that correct knowledge of the distinction between Puruṣa and Prakṛti ultimately leads to liberation.

Thus, Sāṅkhya can be understood through three closely related dimensions: Tattva – Evolution – Liberation. The system first explains what exists, then how manifestation occurs, and finally how discriminative knowledge results in liberation.

FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES OF SĀṆKHYA

The two fundamental principles are:

1. Puruṣa: conscious, eternal, unchanging, inactive, witness of experience and multiple in number.
2. Prakṛti: primordial material principle, unconscious, productive, constituted by three guṇas, basis of manifestation and subject to transformation.

Classical Sāṅkhya therefore presents a distinction between consciousness and material nature. Puruṣa is inactive consciousness, whereas Prakṛti is productive but unconscious.

PURUṢA

Puruṣa represents pure consciousness in Sāṅkhya.

Characteristics of Puruṣa:

- Caitanya – consciousness
- Nirguṇa – beyond the three guṇas
- Nirvikāra – unchanging
- Akartṛ – non-agent
- Nitya – eternal
- Asaṅga – unattached
- Sākṣī – witness

Puruṣa does not actively perform the processes of thinking, perceiving or acting. These functions belong to Prakṛti and its evolutes. Puruṣa is the conscious principle because of whose association the activities of the psychophysical system are experienced.

Why is Puruṣa considered inactive? Puruṣa is pure consciousness and is not constituted by the guṇas. Activity and transformation belong to Prakṛti. Therefore, Puruṣa is regarded as the witness rather than the doer.

PRAKṚTI

Prakṛti is the primordial material principle and is the root cause of the manifest universe. It is also described through terms such as Mūlaprakṛti, Pradhāna and Avyakta.

Prakṛti is unmanifest in its original condition. The three guṇas remain in a state of equilibrium. When this equilibrium is disturbed, evolution proceeds into successive tattvas.

Prakṛti is therefore unconscious, productive, changing, constituted by three guṇas and the source of the twenty-three evolutes.

TRIGUṆA SIDDHĀNTA

Prakṛti consists of three guṇas:

1. **Sattva** – lightness, clarity, illumination, knowledge and harmony.
2. **Rajas** – activity, movement, energy, stimulation and desire.

3. **Tamas** – heaviness, inertia, obstruction, dullness and stability. The guṇas are not simply ordinary qualities. They are fundamental constituents of Prakṛti and operate in combination. Their relative predominance contributes to differences in mental and material manifestations.

The Triguna concept provides Sāṅkhya with a framework for explaining diversity within Prakṛti.

TWENTY-FIVE TATTVAS

The classical Sāṅkhya system recognises 25 tattvas.

1. Puruṣa
2. Prakṛti
3. Mahat/Buddhi
4. Ahaṁkāra
5. Manas
- 6–10. Five Jñānendriyas – Śrotra, Tvak, Cakṣu, Jihvā, Ghrāṇa
- 11–15. Five Karmendriyas – Vāk, Pāṇi, Pāda, Pāyu, Upastha
- 16–20. Five Tanmātras – Śabda, Sparśa, Rūpa, Rasa, Gandha
- 21–25. Five Mahābhūtas – Ākāśa, Vāyu, Tejas, Āpas, Pṛthvī.

EVOLUTION OF TATTVAS

The evolutionary sequence can be represented as:

Prakṛti → Mahat/Buddhi → Ahaṁkāra → Manas + Jñānendriyas + Karmendriyas + Tanmātras → Mahābhūtas.

Puruṣa remains distinct from this evolutionary chain. The sequence describes progressive manifestation from the unmanifest material principle towards gross material existence.

MAHAT / BUDDHI

Mahat is the first evolute of Prakṛti and is also referred to as Buddhi. Its important functions include Adhyavasāya, determination, discrimination, decision and understanding.

Buddhi is subtle compared with the gross manifestations of Prakṛti. Although it is not itself consciousness, it appears conscious because of its association with Puruṣa. This distinction between actual consciousness and the apparently conscious intellect is philosophically important.

AHAṆKĀRA

Ahaṁkāra develops from Mahat. The term indicates the principle of “I-making” or self-reference. It gives rise to the differentiation of experience into “I”, “mine”, “I am the doer” and “I am the experiencer”.

Ahaṁkāra serves as an important link between Buddhi and the subsequent development of mind, sensory and motor faculties and subtle elements.

MANAS AND INDRIYAS

Manas occupies an important position in the Sāṅkhya evolutionary scheme. It is associated with coordination of sensory information, attention, selection and mental processing.

The five Jñānendriyas receive sensory information, while the five Karmendriyas facilitate action. A conceptual sequence may be expressed as: Object → Sense faculty → Manas → Buddhi → Conscious experience.

TANMĀTRAS AND MAHĀBHŪTAS

The five Tanmātras represent subtle sensory potentials: Śabda, Sparśa, Rūpa, Rasa and Gandha. From the subtle level, the evolutionary process proceeds towards the gross Mahābhūtas: Ākāśa, Vāyu, Tejas, Āpas and Pṛthvī.

This philosophical sequence is important for Ayurveda because

Pañcamahābhūta Siddhānta is fundamental to Ayurvedic understanding of the body, substances, tastes and physiological processes.

SATKĀRYAVĀDA

One of the important philosophical doctrines of Sāṅkhya is Satkāryavāda. The doctrine proposes that the effect exists in its cause in a potential or unmanifest form before manifestation.

For example, curd is produced from milk. According to Satkāryavāda, production is not the creation of an absolutely unrelated entity from nothing; the effect has causal continuity with its material basis.

Satkāryavāda provides the theoretical basis for understanding the transformation of Prakṛti into its successive evolutes.

PRAKṚTI-PURUṢA RELATIONSHIP

Puruṣa and Prakṛti are fundamentally different but their association explains embodied experience.

Puruṣa: conscious, inactive and witness.

Prakṛti: unconscious, active and productive.

Their association makes experience possible. A traditional analogy compares their cooperation to a lame person and a blind person: one can see but cannot move, while the other can move but cannot see. Together they accomplish what neither can accomplish alone.

BANDHA AND MOKṢA

According to Sāṅkhya, the fundamental problem is the failure to distinguish Puruṣa from Prakṛti and its evolutes. The individual identifies with body, senses, mind, Ahaṁkāra, Buddhi, pleasure and pain, resulting in bondage.

Liberation is achieved through Vivekajñāna, the discriminative knowledge that Puruṣa is different from Prakṛti and its evolutes. When this distinction becomes firmly established, attachment to Prakṛti ceases and Puruṣa is understood in its own nature.

SĀṆKHYA DARŚANA AND AYURVEDA

The relationship between Sāṅkhya and Ayurveda is important because several philosophical categories used in Ayurveda have conceptual connections with Sāṅkhya.

Ayurvedic philosophical discussions employ concepts such as Puruṣa, Prakṛti, Mahat/Buddhi, Ahaṁkāra, Manas, Indriyas and Pañcamahābhūta. However, Ayurveda should not be treated as simply identical with classical Sāṅkhya. Ayurveda incorporates philosophical concepts according to its own objectives of understanding life, health, disease and treatment.

Similarities should therefore be interpreted as philosophical relationships rather than complete identity between the two systems.

AYURVEDIC SIGNIFICANCE OF SĀṆKHYA PRINCIPLES

Understanding Puruṣa helps explain the conscious dimension of the individual. Prakṛti provides a framework for understanding material manifestation and individual differences. Trigūṇa is particularly useful in discussions of mental characteristics. The Mahābhūta framework is important for Ayurvedic interpretation of substances and the body. The relationship among sensory faculties, Manas and Buddhi provides a conceptual framework for perception and cognition.

Ayurveda does not regard the human being merely as a physical structure. The broader Ayurvedic concept of life includes body, senses, mind and consciousness. Sāṅkhya's distinction between consciousness and material processes therefore contributes to a philosophical understanding of the multidimensional individual.

SĀṆKHYA AND CARAKA SAṂHITĀ

Caraka Saṁhitā discusses Puruṣa and examines different views regarding the origin and constitution of the human being. Its discussion of Puruṣa includes the relationship of consciousness, senses, mind and sense objects.

In Sūtrasthāna 25, Yajña Puruṣīya Adhyāya, different philosophical positions concerning the origin of Puruṣa and disease are presented. This demonstrates that Ayurvedic literature contains an active philosophical discussion rather than simply adopting one philosophical system without modification.

For Ayurvedic scholarship, philosophical concepts should therefore be examined contextually within the Ayurvedic texts.

4. DISCUSSION

Sāṅkhya Darśana presents a structured analysis of reality. Its most distinctive contribution is the systematic distinction between consciousness and material nature.

The twenty-five-tattva framework gives a progressive account of manifestation, beginning with unmanifest Prakṛti and proceeding through Mahat, Ahaṁkāra, Manas, sensory and motor faculties, Tanmātras and Mahābhūtas.

From an Ayurvedic perspective, the importance of Sāṅkhya lies not merely in memorising the list of twenty-five tattvas. Its greater significance is in understanding the philosophical relationship between consciousness, mind, senses and material constituents.

Satkāryavāda establishes continuity between cause and effect. In the Sāṅkhya framework, manifestation represents transformation or expression of what is causally present in Prakṛti.

At the same time, similarities between Sāṅkhya and Ayurveda should not be interpreted as complete philosophical identity. Ayurveda uses philosophical principles within a distinct medical framework concerned with Ayus, health, disease, diagnosis, prevention and treatment.

5. CONTEMPORARY RELEVANCE

Sāṅkhya originated in an ancient philosophical context, but several of its conceptual questions remain relevant to interdisciplinary discussions. The distinction between consciousness, cognition, mind, sensory processing and material body continues to be examined in philosophy and related fields.

For Ayurveda scholars, its analytical approach encourages deeper examination of Manas, Indriya, Buddhi, Puruṣa, Prakṛti, Trigūṇa and Mahābhūta. Contemporary interpretations should nevertheless be made cautiously and should not equate philosophical categories directly with modern scientific entities without adequate evidence.

LIMITATIONS

The present article is a conceptual review and does not attempt to establish an empirical relationship between Sāṅkhya concepts and modern biomedical mechanisms. Interpretation may differ among classical commentators and philosophical traditions. Further comparative research involving primary Sanskrit sources and established commentaries would strengthen the understanding of Sāṅkhya–Ayurveda relationships.

6. CONCLUSION

Sāṅkhya Darśana provides a systematic philosophical framework for understanding reality through the distinction between Puruṣa and Prakṛti. Prakṛti, constituted by Sattva, Rajas and Tamas, evolves into a series of tattvas culminating in the gross Mahābhūtas. The twenty-five-tattva theory, Satkāryavāda and discriminative knowledge form the central structure of the system.

Its relevance to Ayurveda is particularly evident in the philosophical understanding of Puruṣa, Prakṛti, Manas, Buddhi, Ahaṁkāra, Indriya and Pañcamahābhūta. Nevertheless, Ayurveda should be understood as an independent medical discipline that selectively incorporates and develops philosophical concepts for its own objectives. The study of Sāṅkhya provides Ayurvedic scholars with a deeper conceptual background for interpreting the human being not merely as a physical entity but as an integrated system involving body, senses, mind and

consciousness.

7. REFERENCES

1. Īśvarakṛṣṇa. Sāṅkhyakārikā. Classical Sāṅkhya philosophical text.
2. Larson GJ. Classical Sāṃkhya: An Interpretation of Its History and Meaning. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass; 1969.
3. Hiriyanna M. Outlines of Indian Philosophy. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass; 1993.
4. Chatterjee SC, Datta DM. An Introduction to Indian Philosophy. Kolkata: University of Calcutta.
5. Radhakrishnan S, Moore CA. A Source Book in Indian Philosophy. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
6. Sharma PV, editor. Caraka Saṃhitā. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Orientalia.
7. Sharma PV. Suśruta Saṃhitā. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Viśvabhāratī.
8. Murthy KRS. Aṣṭāṅga Hṛdaya of Vāgbhaṭa. Varanasi: Krishnadas Academy.
9. Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy. Sāṅkhya.
10. A comprehensive review on Basic Principles of Samkhya Darshana and their implications in Charaka Samhita. Journal of Ayurveda and Integrated Medical Sciences.