

# EFFECT OF BIOFERTILISERS, MACRONUTRIENTS AND MICRONUTRIENTS ON ABELMOSCHUS ESCULENTUS (L.)

L. P. DALAL\* AND P. B. NANDKAR<sup>1</sup>

Department of Botany, J. B. College of Science, Wardha (M. S.) - 442 001

<sup>1</sup>Department of Botany, R. T. M., Nagpur University, Nagpur (M. S.) - 440 033

E-mail: lalchand\_dalal@rediffmail.com

## KEY WORDS

*Ablemoschus esculentus* (L)  
Biofertilisers  
Macronutrients  
Micronutrients  
Yield

Received on :  
03.02.2010

Accepted on :  
07.05.2010

\*Corresponding  
author

## ABSTRACT

A field experiment conducted to find out the effect of biofertilisers, micronutrients and macronutrients. Study reveals an increase yield upto 10921.0 kg/ha (10.921t/ha) at 30N: 30P: 30K kg/ha with the combination of dual inoculums of biofertiliser viz, *Pseudomonas striata* and *Azotobacter chroococcum* which was significantly superior than control. In control, the yield was 4521.4 kg/ha (4.52t/ha), where as in with chemical fertilisers i.e. NPK with macro and micronutrients, the yield were 9057.62kg/ha (9.05t/ha) and with 30S:50Ca:20Mg: 5.0Zn: 15.0Fe: 20 Mn: 1.25Cu:2.0Bkg/ha and the yield were 12778.06kg/ha (12.778t/ha). It is evident that the integration of macro and micronutrients with the application of two strains of biofertilisers viz, *Pseudomonas striata* and *Azotobacter chroococcum* results into higher yields i.e. 12778.06kg/ha (12.778t/ha) in *Ablemoschus esculentus* (L.).

## INTRODUCTION

The Vidarbha region mainly consist of ten districts. The soil type varies from black cotton soil to sand stony upto loamy and alluvial type and average rainfall is 90-120 cm per year. The cultivation of *Ablemoschus esculentus* (L.) is limited and its production per unit area is also very low. Sable *et al.*, (2000) reported the influence of seed inoculation with *Rhizobium* and molybdenum on Soyabean roots. It is due to lack of agronomic management practices, including poor fertilization. There is a good scope for increasing the production. Dimal, (1992) reported the effect of *Azotobacter* on germination, growth and yield of some vegetables. Wange and Patil, (1996) reported the response of Pigeon pea cultivars to *Rhizobium* inoculation under rainfed condition. The present investigation was under taken to study the effect of biofertilisers, macro and micro nutrients on production of *Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.)

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted in a randomized block design with three replications, there were thirty seven treatments and the details have been listed in Table 1 to 4. The distance between the two rows and two plants was 60 x 45 cm respectively. The experimental soil was black cotton soil, medium in depth (60 to 75cms) and slightly alkaline in reaction (pH 7.5). The macronutrient in soil was high. The available nitrogen (322.4 Kg/ha), was low in P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (32.19 Kg/ha), and rich in K<sub>2</sub>O (228.9 Kg/ha). 21, 20, 18 kg Ca, Mg, and S/ha was

found. The micro nutrients in soil were 15, 20, 20, 18, 10 and 10 Kg Zn, Cu, Fe, Mn, B and Mo/ha respectively.

The 8-days old plantlets were treated with 1mL of biofertiliser. The half doses of macro and micro-nutrients was applied as a basal dose at the time of sowing and half dose after three weeks. The seed was sown on 27.6.2002, 29.6.2002, 27.6.2003 and 29.6.2003.

## RESULTS

*Ablemoschus esculentus* (L.):

I. Chemical treatments: *Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.)

N-level	Symbol	P-level	Symbol	K-level	Symbol
0 kg N/ha	N <sub>0</sub>	0 kg P/ha	P <sub>0</sub>	0 kg K/ha	K <sub>0</sub>
10 kg N/ha	N <sub>10</sub>	10 kg P/ha	P <sub>10</sub>	10 kg K/ha	K <sub>10</sub>
20 kg N/ha	N <sub>20</sub>	20 kg P/ha	P <sub>20</sub>	20 kg K/ha	K <sub>20</sub>
30 kg N/ha	N <sub>30</sub>	30 kg P/ha	P <sub>30</sub>	30 kg K/ha	K <sub>30</sub>
40 kg N/ha	N <sub>40</sub>	40 kg P/ha	P <sub>40</sub>	40 kg K/ha	K <sub>40</sub>
50 kg N/ha	N <sub>50</sub>	50 kg P/ha	P <sub>50</sub>	50 kg K/ha	K <sub>50</sub>

II. Biofertiliser treatments (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.))

Treatment without chemical and biofertiliser (control) T<sub>0</sub>

Treatment with *Pseudomonas striata* T<sub>1</sub>

Treatment with *Azotobacter chroococcum* T<sub>2</sub>

Treatment with *Pseudomonas striata* + *Azotobacter chroococcum* T<sub>3</sub>

III. Chemical fertilisers + Biofertiliser treatments (I + II).

T<sub>3 select</sub> + N<sub>10</sub> + P<sub>10</sub> + K<sub>10</sub> T<sub>4</sub>

T<sub>3 select</sub> + N<sub>20</sub> + P<sub>20</sub> + K<sub>20</sub> T<sub>5</sub>

T<sub>3 select</sub> + N30 + P<sub>30</sub> + K<sub>30</sub>  
 T<sub>3 select</sub> + N40 P<sub>40</sub> + K<sub>40</sub>  
 T<sub>3 select</sub> + N50 + P<sub>50</sub> + K<sub>50</sub>  
 Treatment only with N50 + P<sub>50</sub> + K<sub>50</sub>(Only chemical treatment)

T<sub>6</sub>  
 T<sub>7</sub>  
 T<sub>8</sub>  
 T<sub>9</sub>

**IV. Treatment with major and minor Chemical fertilisers + Bio-fertilisers**

(I + II + III) with T<sub>9 select</sub>

T<sub>6 select</sub> + 20 Kg S/ha  
 T<sub>6 select</sub> + 30 Kg S/ha  
 T<sub>6 select</sub> + 40 Kg S/ha  
 T<sub>11 select</sub> + 40 Kg Ca/ha  
 T<sub>11 select</sub> + 50 Kg Ca /ha  
 T<sub>11 select</sub> + 60 Kg Ca /ha  
 T<sub>14 select</sub> + 10 Kg Mg/ha  
 T<sub>14 select</sub> + 20 Kg Mg /ha  
 T<sub>14 select</sub> + 30 Kg Mg /ha  
 T<sub>17 select</sub> + 5.0 Kg Zn/ha  
 T<sub>17 select</sub> + 7.5 Kg Zn /ha  
 T<sub>17 select</sub> + 10.0 Kg Zn /ha  
 T<sub>19 select</sub> + 5.0 Kg Fe/ha  
 T<sub>19 select</sub> + 10.0 Kg Fe /ha  
 T<sub>19 select</sub> + 15.0 Kg Fe /ha  
 T<sub>24 select</sub> + 10 Kg Mn/ha  
 T<sub>24 select</sub> + 15Kg Mn /ha  
 T<sub>24 select</sub> + 20 Kg Mn /ha  
 T<sub>27 select</sub> + 1.25 Kg Cu /ha  
 T<sub>27 select</sub> + 1.75 Kg Cu /ha  
 T<sub>27 select</sub> + 2.50Kg Cu /ha  
 T<sub>28 select</sub> + 1.0 Kg B /ha  
 T<sub>28 select</sub> + 1.5Kg B /ha  
 T<sub>28 select</sub> + 2.0 Kg B /ha  
 T<sub>33 select</sub> + 0.5Kg Mo /ha  
 T<sub>33 select</sub> + 1.0 Kg Mo /ha  
 T<sub>33 select</sub> + 1.5 Kg Mo /ha

T<sub>10</sub>  
 T<sub>11</sub>  
 T<sub>12</sub>  
 T<sub>13</sub>  
 T<sub>14</sub>  
 T<sub>15</sub>  
 T<sub>16</sub>  
 T<sub>17</sub>  
 T<sub>18</sub>  
 T<sub>19</sub>  
 T<sub>20</sub>  
 T<sub>21</sub>  
 T<sub>22</sub>  
 T<sub>23</sub>  
 T<sub>24</sub>  
 T<sub>25</sub>  
 T<sub>26</sub>  
 T<sub>27</sub>  
 T<sub>28</sub>  
 T<sub>29</sub>  
 T<sub>30</sub>  
 T<sub>31</sub>  
 T<sub>32</sub>  
 T<sub>33</sub>  
 T<sub>34</sub>  
 T<sub>35</sub>  
 T<sub>36</sub>

**V. Treatments with macro and micronutrients only (without biofertilisers)**

T<sub>9 select</sub> + 30 Kg S + 50 Kg Ca + 20 Kg Mg + 5.0 Kg Zn + 15 Kg Fe + 20 Kg Mn + 1.25 Kg Cu + 2.0 Kg B + 0.5 Kg Mo(All in kg/ha)

T<sub>37</sub>

**Table 4: Effects of NPK, some other Macro and Micro-nutrients with *Pseudomonas striata* and *Azotobacter chroococcum* on the yields of fruits of *Ablemoschus esculentus* (L.)(Bhindi) with T6 select**

1	2	3	4
T6 select with S-Level			2.02 t/ha
20	T <sub>10</sub>	10.935	
30	T <sub>11</sub>	11.144	
40	T <sub>12</sub>	11.00	
T11 select with Ca-Level			
40	T <sub>13</sub>	11.18	
50	T <sub>14</sub>	11.297	
60	T <sub>15</sub>	11.237	
T14 select with Mg-Level			
10	T <sub>16</sub>	11.39	
20	T <sub>17</sub>	11.41	
30	T <sub>18</sub>	11.40	
T17 select with Zn-Level			
5	T <sub>19</sub>	11.72	
7.5	T <sub>20</sub>	11.70	
10	T <sub>21</sub>	11.67	
T19 select with Fe-Level			
5	T <sub>22</sub>	12.22	
10	T <sub>23</sub>	12.25	
15	T <sub>24</sub>	12.27	
T24 select with Mn-Level			
10	T <sub>25</sub>	12.24	
15	T <sub>26</sub>	12.29	
20	T <sub>27</sub>	12.31	
T27 select with Cu-Level			
1.25	T <sub>28</sub>	12.42	
1.75	T <sub>29</sub>	12.43	
2.5	T <sub>30</sub>	12.44	
T28 select with B-Level			
1	T <sub>31</sub>	12.61	
1.5	T <sub>32</sub>	12.72	
2	T <sub>33</sub>	12.72	
T33 select with Mo- Level			
0.5	T <sub>34</sub>	12.75	
1	T <sub>35</sub>	12.75	
1.5	T <sub>36</sub>	12.77	
Only mixed treatment			T <sub>37</sub> = 9.05t/ha

1 = Application of Nutrients levels kg/ha; 2 = Treatments; 3 = Fruits Yields in gram per plants at 30N: 30P: 30K t/ha with *Pseudomonas striata*. and *A. chroococcum*; 4 = Fruit yields/plant only in chem. fert. 50: 50: 50 NPK t/ha

**Table 1: *Ablemoschus esculentus* (L.) Effect of *Pseudomonas striata*, *Azotobacter chroococcum* and chemical fertiliser (NPK) on the plant height per plant (cms) of *Ablemoschus esculentus* (L)**

Treatment	T <sub>0</sub>	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>3</sub>	T <sub>4</sub>	T <sub>5</sub>	T <sub>6</sub>	T <sub>7</sub>	T <sub>8</sub>	T <sub>9</sub>
Year2002-03	81	100	105.5	107.5	126	136	138	148	120	146
Year2003-04	80	100	108.5	107	127	132	134	148	120	145

**Table 2: *Ablemoschus esculentus* (L.) Effect of *Pseudomonas striata*, *Azotobacter chroococcum* and chemical fertiliser (NPK) on the yield of fruits per plant of *Ablemoschus esculentus* (L.) t/ha**

Treatments	T <sub>0</sub>	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>3</sub>	T <sub>4</sub>	T <sub>5</sub>	T <sub>6</sub>	T <sub>7</sub>	T <sub>8</sub>	T <sub>9</sub>
Year2002-03	30.52	33.21	34.62	36.12	38.12	38.21	76.7	72.3	72.27	49.63
Year2003-04	32.05	33.34	34.41	37.02	37.61	37.89	77.73	72.61	72.26	48.91
Average of 2-years t/ha)	31.28	33.27	34.51	36.57	37.57	38.05	77.22	72.45	72.26	49.27

**Table 3: *Ablemoschus esculentus* (L.) Effect of *Pseudomonas striata*, *Azotobacter chroococcum* and chemical fertiliser (NPK) on the fruit yield per year in t/ha (2-years data) *Ablemoschus esculentus* (L)**

Treatment	T <sub>0</sub>	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>3</sub>	T <sub>4</sub>	T <sub>5</sub>	T <sub>6</sub>	T <sub>7</sub>	T <sub>8</sub>	T <sub>9</sub>
Year 2002-03	4.52	4.91	5.12	5.35	7.44	10.70	10.91	10.25	9.52	8.92
Year 2003-04	4.74	4.93	5.09	5.48	7.45	10.72	10.92	10.27	9.53	8.94
(Average of 2-years t/ha)	4.63	4.92	5.10	5.41	7.44	10.71	10.91	10.26	9.52	8.93

## DISCUSSION

The combined treatments (T<sub>3</sub>) of biofertilisers showed significant growth (Table 1 and 2) and yields over individual and control treatments. Same type of growth response was reported by Butani *et al.*, (2007). Similarly, it was reported that the application of combined inoculum of *Pseudomonas striata* + *Azotobacter chroococcum* and NPK (T<sub>6</sub>) reveals the increase in yields over the control, individual, combined and chemical treatments (NPK) (Table 2 and 3). A similar type of results was reported by Pawar *et al.*, (1996). A individual chemical treatments of NPK (T<sub>9</sub>) fails to show much influence over the treatments T<sub>6</sub>. These results were co-related with Ilavasi, (2007). Treatments with T<sub>6</sub> and macronutrients treatments (Table 2, 3 and 4) T<sub>11</sub>, T<sub>14</sub> and T<sub>17</sub> reveals an increase in yields over T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>6</sub> and T<sub>9</sub> treatments. Kalaghatagi *et al.*, (1996); Shrivastava *et al.*, (1998) reported the similar results. Treatments with T<sub>17</sub> and micronutrient treatments T<sub>19</sub>, T<sub>24</sub>, T<sub>27</sub>, T<sub>28</sub>, T<sub>33</sub> and T<sub>36</sub> reveals the most promising results in increased yields (12.79 t/ha) over the control and integrated chemical treatments T<sub>37</sub>. These results were in conformity with Dimal, (1992), Agrawal *et al.*, (2008) and Jadhao *et al.*, (1988).

## REFERENCES

- Agrawal, B., Sharma, H. G. and Harmukh, N. 2008. Effect of Trickle Irrigation Along with Micronutrient on Growth and Yield of Tomato F1-hybrid Avinash-2. *Ad. Plant Sci.* **21(1)**: 299-302.
- Butani, B. M., Akbari, K. N. and Polara, J. V. 2007. Response of Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Monech) to Humic Acid on Vertic Ustochrepts. *Ad. Plant Sci.* **20(1)**: 215-216.
- Dimal, K. N. 1992. Effect of *Azotobacter* on Germination, Growth and Yield of Some Vegetables. *J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ.* **17(3)**: 800.
- Ilavasi, K., Anuja, S., Shaikh, A. and Angayarkani, A. 2007. Effect of Phosphorus and Potassium Application on Soil NPK Availability, Their Uptake and Pod Yield of Cowpea *Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp cv. TUX944. *Ad. Plant Sci.* **20(1)**: 205-206.
- Jadhao, A. S., Shaikh, A. A., Shinde, A. B. and Harinaryana, G. 1988. Effect of Growth, Hormones, Biofertilizer and Micro-nutrients on the Yield of Pearl Millet. *J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ.* **18(3)**: 473-74.
- Kalaghatagi, S. B., Itnal, C. J. and Jirali, D. I. 1996. Economising Application of Nitrogen and And Nagod, M. S. Phosphorus Through Biofertilizers in Pearl Millet Under Dryland Conditions. *J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ.* **21(1)**: 28-30.
- Pawar, K. B., Kalbhor, H. B. and Konde, B. K. 1996. A Study on Combined Effects of *Azotobacter* And Patil, P. L. and *Azospirillum* with Nitrogen on Yield of Sorghum. *J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ.* **21(3)**: 403-406.
- Sable, S., Sontakey, P. Y., Nair, B., Manapure, P. and Deotale, R. D. 2000. Influence of Seed Inoculation with *Rhizobium* and Molybdenum on Soybean Roots. *J. Soils and Crops.* **10(2)**: 126-130.
- Srivastava, T. K., Ahlawat, I. P. S. and Panwar, J. D. S. 1998. Effect of Phosphorus and Molybdenum and Biofertilizers On Productivity of *Pisum sativum* (L.) *Indian J. Plant Physiology.* **3(3)**: 237-239.
- Wange, S. S. and Patil, P. L. 1996. Response of Pigeonpea Cultivars To *Rhizobium* Inoculation Under Rainfed Conditions. *J. Maharashtra agric. Univ.* **21(1)**: 81-83.

