

# GENOTYPIC ADAPTABILITY FOR SEED YIELD AND PHYSIOLOGICAL TRAITS IN SESAME (*SESAMUM INDICUM* L.)

NARENDRA KUMAR\*, S. B. S. TIKKA, M. C. DAGLA<sup>1</sup>, BHAGIRATH RAM<sup>2</sup> AND H. P. MEENA<sup>3</sup>

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, S. D. Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar, Banaskantha - 385 506, Gujarat, INDIA

<sup>1</sup>Directorate of Groundnut Research, Ivnagar road, P. B. No. 5, Junagadh - 362 001, Gujarat, INDIA

<sup>2</sup>Directorate of Rapeseed-Mustard Research, Sewar, Bharatpur - 321 303, Rajasthan, INDIA

<sup>3</sup>Directorate of Oilseeds Research, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad - 500 030, Andhra Pradesh, INDIA

e-mail: narendrapb09@gmail.com

## KEYWORDS

Genotypes  
Leaf area index  
Photosynthetic rate  
pooled analysis  
Stability

## Received on :

17.09.2013

## Accepted on :

28.11.2013

\*Corresponding author

## ABSTRACT

A study was carried out using thirty six sesame genotypes under four environments to assess the genotype × environment interaction and their stability across the environments for seed yield and physiological traits. The pooled analysis of variance due to genotypes, environments as well as genotype × environment interactions were highly significant for all the characters except for photosynthetic rate at 40 days after sowing (DAS) for genotypes, environments and genotype × environment interaction. Genotype × environment (linear) variance component was more than that of pooled deviations for mostly characters including seed yield. Grain yield per plant had significant positive correlation with harvest index (0.82) and leaf area index at 60 DAS (0.32) at low fertility condition, whereas it was also positive and highly significant with harvest index (0.55), leaf area index at 60 DAS (0.58) and photosynthetic rate at 60 DAS (0.44) at high fertility condition. It indicated that these physiological traits had greater magnitude of correlation with seed yield under high input conditions irrespective of timely and late sown environments. Therefore, selection under high fertility conditions leads to genetic improvement of physiological traits.

## INTRODUCTION

Sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) belongs to the family Pedaliaceae, and is one of the oldest crops in the world, and is under cultivation in Asia for over 5000 years (Bisht *et al.*, 1998). This is evidenced by presence of archaeological remnants of the crop dating back to 5500 BC in the Harappa Valley in the Indian subcontinent (Weiss, 2000; Ashri, 2007). Sesame seed is rich in oil, protein, carbohydrate, fiber and minerals. The chemical composition of sesame shows that the seed is an important source of oil (44-58%), protein (18-25%), carbohydrate (~13.5%) and ash (~5%) (Borchani *et al.*, 2010). Globally it is cultivated in an area about 6.63 million ha with production of 4.09 million ton. In India, it occupies in an area of 1.78 million ha with production of 0.77 million ton. The productivity of sesame is very low (432 kg/ha) in India compared to world average (617 kg/ha) (FAOSTAT, 2011).

Sesame is short day plant and sensitive to photoperiod, temperature and moisture stress, the yield is not stable and varies widely (Velu and Shunmugavallig, 2005). Although sesame is grown in different seasons in different regions of the country covering practically all agro-ecological zones (Sharma, 1994). Seed yield is influenced by many morphological and physiological characters. As it is a quantitative trait, governed by number of genes, thus direct selection for high yield may often be misleading. In addition to yield contributing

characters, physiological traits also play a considerable role for increasing yield (Mehrotra *et al.*, 1976). Loomis (1993) suggested crop yields can be raised by optimization of crop structure and physiology. Physiological traits such as leaf area index and photosynthetic rate are important physiological components of yield, and efforts should be made to utilize these characters in breeding programs (Kumaresan and Nadarajan, 2002). Ramkrishnan and Soundarapandian (1990) reported that significant positive correlation of seed yield with photosynthetic efficiency in sesame. Physiological traits like harvest index and leaf area index had direct effect on seed yield in sesame (Pawar *et al.*, 2002; Babu *et al.*, 2004). Liu *et al.* (2005) and Yoshida *et al.* (2007) reported that strong influence of leaf area index on yield in soybean and rice, respectively. Harvest index could be used as a selection criterion in segregating generations to identify physiologically superior lines with improved partitioning of total assimilate into grain (Sharma *et al.*, 1991).

It is common observation that the relative performance of different genotypes varies from one environment to another *i.e.* a genotype × environment (G × E) interaction always play important role. G × E interaction results in change of the relative ranking of the genotypes and also in altering the magnitude of differences in performance among genotypes. Progress from selection is also reduced due to the effects of large G × E interaction, as shown by Comstock and Moll (1963). Since the distribution of rainfall is a major

environmental factor and early and late date of sowing can often be used to obtain an extra environment at each location. Similarly medium and high dose of fertilizers can be used to increase the number of environments possible from a fixed number of locations, and at the same time provide a greater range of environmental conditions (Eberhart and Russell, 1966). The studies on genotype  $\times$  environment interaction and phenotypic stability for different morphological characters are very common in sesame (John *et al.*, 2001; Boshim *et al.*, 2003; Mekonnen and Mohammed, 2009; Suvarna *et al.*, 2011). But currently sufficient information is lacking on the use of stability parameters for assessment of genotypic stability and their response under different environmental conditions for physiological traits in sesame. Hence, there is the need to understand environmental response of genotypes towards the stability of physiological traits. Keeping above in the view, the present study has been hypothesized to understand the differential genotype  $\times$  environment interactions of cultivars and their hybrids over the environments and to assess the stability of different genotypes under timely and late sowing conditions with recommended and high dose of fertilizers with a view to identify high yielding genotypes with stable performance in ideal and specific environments for seed yield and physiological traits.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experimental material consisted of 36 genotypes, of which eight cultivars (GT-1, GT-2, GT-10, TMV-3, Pbt1-1, PT-64, AT-124 and C-1013) and 28  $F_1$  hybrids were produced utilizing  $8 \times 8$  half diallel mating design following method-2, model-I (Griffing, 1956). A set of 36 genotypes comprising of eight cultivars and their 28  $F_1$  hybrids were sown in a randomized complete block design with three replications in four environments at Main Castor and Mustard Research Station, Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar, Gujarat, India. The four environments were created by two dates of sowing (one month interval) and two fertility levels *viz.*,  $E_1$ : low input timely sowing (N: 25 kg/ha,  $P_2O_5$ : 25 kg/ha, S: 20 kg/ha),  $E_2$ : high input timely sowing (N: 50 kg/ha,  $P_2O_5$ : 50 kg/ha, S: 40 kg/ha),  $E_3$ : low input delayed sowing (N: 25 kg/ha,  $P_2O_5$ : 25 kg/ha, S: 20 kg/ha) and  $E_4$ : high input delayed sowing (N: 50 kg/ha,  $P_2O_5$ : 50 kg/ha, S: 40 kg/ha). Sardarkrushinagar is located at 24°12' N Latitude, 72°12' E Longitude and 154.5 m above mean sea level. The climate of this area is typical sub-tropical type characterized by semi-arid and arid conditions. The soil texture of experimental plot was sandy loam with pH 7.5. The average weather data during the period of crop growth were as follows: maximum and minimum temperature (27.8-40.2°C) and (10.3–29.3°C), respectively, relative humidity (68.1-95.9%) and sunshine hours (1.510.1 h/day) with total rainfall of 873.1mm.

The cultivars and  $F_1$  crosses were grown in one row of 5 meters length per plot keeping the distance of 45 cm between rows and 15 cm within rows. The experimental area was provided with border rows on all the side of each block. The recommended agronomical practices and plant protection measures were adopted for raising a good crop. The observations were recorded on ten competitive randomly selected plants of each genotype in each replication for seed

yield/plant (g), harvest index (%) and five randomly selected plants for leaf area index at 40 and 60 days after sowing (DAS) and photosynthetic rate at 40 and 60 DAS ( $\mu\text{mol}/\text{m}^2/\text{s}$ ). To measure leaf area index, destructive sampling was done by uprooting whole plants from one meter row length in each replication of each environment. Leaf area of all the selected genotypes was recorded with a leaf area meter. Leaf area index was calculated as the ratio of the cumulative leaf area per plant to the total ground area. LAI: A/L

Where, A: leaf area/plant and L: ground area covered by the leaves.

The photosynthesis rate ( $P_N$ ) was measured by computerized Portable Photosynthetic System CI-310 (CID Inc., USA). The photosynthetic rate was measured twice (40 and 60 days after sowing) at fourth fully opened leaves from the top at 10:00 hrs in the field. Mean values of genotypes were computed and statistically analyzed to assessed genotype  $\times$  environment interaction and stability parameters for seed yield and physiological traits across the environments following the method of Eberhart and Russell (1966). The phenotypic correlation for seed yield and physiological traits under different environments were worked out according to Miller *et al.* (1958).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The pooled analysis of variance revealed that the mean squares due to genotypes as well as environments were found to be highly significant for all the characters for genotypes except for photosynthetic rate ( $P_N$ ) at 40 DAS, indicating that significant amount of variations exist among genotypes and environments under studied characters (Table 1). These results are in agreement with the findings of Shim-Kangbo *et al.* (2003). He also reported that environment had largest effects on the yield of the crop followed by genotype  $\times$  environment and genotype. The mean squares due to  $G \times E$  interaction were found significant for all characters, which suggested that genotypes reacted differentially to different environments for physiological traits. For seed yield these results are in agreement with the findings of Laurentin *et al.* (2007) and Kumar *et al.* (2008). The mean sums of square due to  $G \times E$  interaction were partitioned into linear and non-linear components. The mean sum of squares due to  $G \times E$  (linear) were significant for all the characters except for photosynthetic rate at 40 DAS, suggested that the behavior of the genotypes could be predicted over the environments more accurately for these traits as the  $G \times E$  interaction was the outcome of the linear function of the environmental components.

The mean sums of squares due to pooled deviation (non-linear) were highly significant for all the characters except for harvest index and leaf area index at 40 DAS. This indicated the role of unpredictable factors affecting stability because the unpredictable factors differ from environment to environment and cannot be predicted in advance. Hence, prediction of genotypes over environments based on regression analysis for these traits might not be very reliable. Eberhart and Russell (1966) and Westerman (1971) emphasized that both linear (b) and non-linear ( $S^2d$ ) components of  $G \times E$  interaction should be considered in judging the phenotypic stability of a particular genotype. Kumaresan and Nadarajan (2005) also

reported that both linear and nonlinear components were significant for seed yield. The variance of  $G \times E$  (linear) variance component was more than the magnitude of pooled deviations (non-linear) component for all the characters including seed yield, suggested that possibility of prediction of performance for seed yield and physiological traits over the

environments (Table 1). The higher magnitude of linear components for seed yield as compared to non-linear was also reported by Raghuvanshi *et al.* (2003); Anuradha and Reddy (2005) and Kumaresan and Nadarajan (2005), but the Suvarna *et al.* (2011) observed that the magnitude of the pooled deviations was more than the  $G \times E$  (linear) variance for seed

**Table 1: Pooled analysis of variance for phenotypic stability of seed yield and physiological traits under four environments in sesame**

| Sources of variation  | Genotype (G) | Genotype $\times$ Environments | Environments (E) | Environment (linear) | Genotype $\times$ Environment (linear) | Pooled deviation (Non-linear) | Pooled error |
|---|--------------|--------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|-------------------------------|--------------|
| d.f.  | 35           | 105                            | 3                | 1                    | 35                                     | 72                            | 280          |
| Seed yield/plant (g)  | 4.529**      | 0.920**                        | 142.521**        | 427.566**            | 1.899**                                | 0.418*                        | 0.289        |
| Harvest index (%)   | 10.763**     | 2.744*                         | 505.274**        | 1515.839**           | 4.198**                                | 1.960                         | 1.823        |
| Leaf area index at 40 DAS   | 0.251**      | 0.104**                        | 213.450**        | 64.035**             | 0.141*                                 | 0.082                         | 0.029        |
| Leaf area index at 60 DAS   | 1.339*       | 0.848**                        | 104.873**        | 314.619**            | 1.610**                                | 0.454**                       | 0.090        |
| Photosynthetic rate at 40 DAS ( $\mu\text{mol}/\text{m}^2/\text{s}$ ) | 0.483        | 0.374**                        | 73.499**         | 220.496**            | 0.297                                  | 0.402**                       | 0.084        |
| Photosynthetic rate at 60 DAS ( $\mu\text{mol}/\text{m}^2/\text{s}$ ) | 3.751**      | 1.010**                        | 246.365**        | 739.097**            | 1.423*                                 | 0.781**                       | 0.317        |

\*significant at  $P = 0.05$  level, \*\*significant at  $P = 0.01$  level. df = Degree of freedom

**Table 2: Estimates of stability parameters of different genotypes for seed yield and leaf area index in sesame**

| Genotypes               | Seed yield/plant (g) |        |          | Leaf area index at 40 DAS |        |          | Leaf area index at 60 DAS |        |          |
|-------------------------|----------------------|--------|----------|---------------------------|--------|----------|---------------------------|--------|----------|
|                         | Mean                 | $b_i$  | $s^2d_i$ | Mean                      | $b_i$  | $s^2d_i$ | Mean                      | $b_i$  | $s^2d_i$ |
| GT-1                    | 7.05                 | 0.94   | 0.30     | 3.33                      | 0.89   | 0.23**   | 5.60                      | 1.37   | 0.79**   |
| GT-2                    | 7.58                 | 0.96   | 0.55     | 3.30                      | 1.02   | 0.02     | 5.82                      | 1.24   | 1.08**   |
| GT-10                   | 7.25                 | 1.06   | 0.38     | 3.37                      | 1.10   | 0.02     | 4.83                      | 1.06   | 0.09     |
| TMV-3                   | 7.38                 | 1.30*  | 0.48     | 3.26                      | 0.91   | 0.22**   | 5.33                      | 1.11   | 0.36     |
| Pbtil-1                 | 5.99                 | 0.40** | 0.14     | 3.37                      | 1.09** | 0.00     | 5.49                      | 0.94   | 0.59*    |
| PT-64                   | 6.54                 | 0.78*  | 0.24     | 3.41                      | 0.86   | 0.22**   | 4.78                      | 0.96   | 0.05     |
| AT-124                  | 6.52                 | 0.94   | 0.08     | 3.32                      | 0.96   | 0.03     | 5.39                      | 0.92   | 0.71**   |
| C-1013                  | 5.49                 | 0.30** | 0.18     | 3.30                      | 0.84   | 0.30**   | 5.08                      | 0.67   | 0.55*    |
| GT-1 $\times$ GT-2      | 6.42                 | 0.82   | 1.36*    | 3.19                      | 1.18   | 0.30**   | 4.24                      | 0.23** | 0.22     |
| GT-1 $\times$ GT-10     | 6.90                 | 0.59** | 0.00     | 3.16                      | 0.94   | 0.47**   | 3.99                      | 0.40** | 0.22     |
| GT-1 $\times$ TMV-3     | 6.39                 | 0.87   | 0.38     | 3.24                      | 1.18   | 0.23**   | 4.83                      | 0.41** | 0.27     |
| GT-1 $\times$ Pbtil-1   | 5.60                 | 0.30** | 0.08     | 2.83                      | 0.53   | 0.68**   | 3.92                      | 0.43** | 0.69**   |
| GT-1 $\times$ PT-64     | 5.97                 | 0.59** | 0.30     | 3.02                      | 1.00   | 0.01     | 4.34                      | 1.01   | 0.08     |
| GT-1 $\times$ AT-124    | 6.35                 | 0.60** | 0.11     | 3.34                      | 1.08   | 0.38**   | 5.27                      | 0.97   | 1.48**   |
| GT-1 $\times$ C-1013    | 5.83                 | 0.46** | 0.35     | 2.97                      | 0.72   | 0.10     | 4.87                      | 0.75   | 0.35     |
| GT-2 $\times$ GT-10     | 7.58                 | 1.38   | 2.05**   | 3.07                      | 1.02   | 0.16*    | 5.98                      | 1.46** | 0.09     |
| GT-2 $\times$ TMV-3     | 7.01                 | 1.06   | 0.85     | 3.15                      | 1.25** | 0.03     | 5.54                      | 1.17   | 1.01**   |
| GT-2 $\times$ Pbtil-1   | 7.28                 | 0.92   | 0.05     | 3.09                      | 1.25   | 0.11     | 5.08                      | 0.99   | 0.13     |
| GT-2 $\times$ PT-64     | 8.79                 | 2.00** | 1.48*    | 2.84                      | 0.64   | 0.18*    | 4.80                      | 0.70   | 2.90**   |
| GT-2 $\times$ AT-124    | 7.18                 | 0.94   | 1.17     | 2.94                      | 0.80   | 0.06     | 5.44                      | 1.00   | 1.32**   |
| GT-2 $\times$ C-1013    | 7.61                 | 1.35** | 0.13     | 2.93                      | 0.69** | 0.03     | 5.59                      | 1.60** | 0.29     |
| GT-10 $\times$ TMV-3    | 9.44                 | 1.57** | 0.57     | 3.00                      | 1.04   | 0.00     | 5.67                      | 1.26   | 1.24**   |
| GT-10 $\times$ Pbtil-1  | 9.30                 | 1.71** | 1.45*    | 3.34                      | 1.28   | 0.47**   | 6.44                      | 1.09   | 3.36**   |
| GT-10 $\times$ PT-64    | 7.86                 | 1.15   | 0.16     | 3.68                      | 1.36** | 0.01     | 5.53                      | 1.41   | 1.00**   |
| GT-10 $\times$ AT-124   | 9.00                 | 1.23   | 1.70*    | 3.37                      | 1.43*  | 0.16*    | 5.30                      | 1.57** | 0.03     |
| GT-10 $\times$ C-1013   | 6.96                 | 1.27   | 2.93**   | 3.36                      | 0.93   | 0.17*    | 6.26                      | 1.73*  | 1.42**   |
| TMV-3 $\times$ Pbtil-1  | 8.73                 | 1.37   | 1.00     | 3.48                      | 1.07   | 0.11     | 5.46                      | 1.89*  | 3.38**   |
| TMV-3 $\times$ PT-64    | 7.48                 | 0.94   | 0.08     | 3.35                      | 1.40*  | 0.10     | 4.96                      | 1.28   | 0.67**   |
| TMV-3 $\times$ AT-124   | 7.20                 | 1.35   | 2.14**   | 3.20                      | 1.55** | 0.13*    | 5.97                      | 1.01   | 1.06**   |
| TMV-3 $\times$ C-1013   | 9.26                 | 1.44** | 0.07     | 3.11                      | 1.28*  | 0.04     | 5.74                      | 1.21   | 0.93**   |
| Pbtil-1 $\times$ PT-64  | 7.69                 | 1.08   | 0.07     | 3.22                      | 0.91   | 0.06     | 4.98                      | 0.51   | 1.18**   |
| Pbtil-1 $\times$ AT-124 | 8.39                 | 1.19   | 8.95**   | 2.60                      | 0.36   | 0.40**   | 5.17                      | 0.29** | 0.49*    |
| Pbtil-1 $\times$ C-1013 | 6.63                 | 0.45** | 0.05     | 3.08                      | 0.86** | 0.00     | 4.85                      | 0.12** | 1.27**   |
| PT-64 $\times$ AT-124   | 6.03                 | 0.51** | 0.03     | 2.57                      | 1.19** | 0.00     | 4.60                      | 0.99   | 1.17**   |
| PT-64 $\times$ C-1013   | 7.43                 | 1.03   | 0.09     | 2.62                      | 0.28** | 0.20**   | 5.41                      | 1.37   | 1.58**   |
| AT-124 $\times$ C-1013  | 7.62                 | 0.99   | 0.03     | 3.05                      | 0.95   | 0.15*    | 5.22                      | 0.72   | 0.50*    |
| Mean                    | 7.27                 | 1.00   |          | 3.15                      | 1.00   |          | 5.22                      | 1.00   |          |
| S.E. $\pm$              | 0.37                 | 0.188  |          | 0.16                      | 0.21   |          | 0.38                      | 0.22   |          |

\*significant at  $P = 0.05$  level, \*\*significant at  $P = 0.01$  level. DAS = Days after sowing

**Table 3: Estimates of stability parameters of different genotypes for photosynthetic rate and harvest index in sesame**

| Genotypes               | Photosynthetic rate at 40 DAS( $\mu\text{mol}/\text{m}^2/\text{s}$ ) |        |          | Photosynthetic rate at 60 DAS( $\mu\text{mol}/\text{m}^2/\text{s}$ ) |        |          | Harvest index (%) |        |          |
|-------------------------|--|--------|----------|--|--------|----------|-------------------|--------|----------|
|                         | Mean   | $b_i$  | $s^2d_i$ | Mean   | $b_i$  | $s^2d_i$ | Mean              | $b_i$  | $s^2d_i$ |
| GT-1                    | 6.52   | 1.20** | 0.04     | 13.61  | 1.02   | 2.18*    | 31.29             | 0.91   | 0.29     |
| GT-2                    | 6.74   | 0.91   | 0.04     | 14.16  | 0.98   | 0.11     | 32.08             | 1.17   | 5.46     |
| GT-10                   | 6.57   | 0.78   | 0.23     | 14.69  | 0.78*  | 0.42     | 30.71             | 1.03   | 1.09     |
| TMV-3                   | 5.84   | 0.68** | 0.01     | 13.84  | 0.50** | 0.37     | 30.87             | 0.98   | 2.56     |
| Pbtil-1                 | 6.17   | 1.14   | 0.89**   | 13.68  | 0.67*  | 0.81     | 30.13             | 0.87   | 7.50     |
| PT-64                   | 6.20   | 0.65** | 0.09     | 13.12  | 1.06   | 0.25     | 30.03             | 0.73*  | 1.33     |
| AT-124                  | 6.42   | 0.67   | 1.09**   | 13.36  | 1.22   | 3.75**   | 30.43             | 0.90   | 0.94     |
| C-1013                  | 6.50   | 0.73   | 0.23     | 13.76  | 0.86   | 0.93     | 29.71             | 0.89   | 5.12     |
| GT-1 $\times$ GT-2      | 5.81   | 1.06   | 0.04     | 11.20  | 1.22** | 0.14     | 30.19             | 1.09   | 1.11     |
| GT-1 $\times$ GT-10     | 5.85   | 1.07   | 0.09     | 10.98  | 0.84   | 1.50*    | 28.42             | -0.06  | 27.49**  |
| GT-1 $\times$ TMV-3     | 6.12   | 0.97   | 0.85**   | 12.11  | 1.24   | 8.24**   | 29.84             | 0.83   | 1.54     |
| GT-1 $\times$ Pbtil-1   | 6.21   | 1.39   | 1.18**   | 11.11  | 0.79   | 2.86**   | 30.33             | 0.89   | 0.64     |
| GT-1 $\times$ PT-64     | 6.01   | 1.00   | 0.04     | 12.12  | 0.74** | 0.03     | 30.18             | 1.02   | 1.35     |
| GT-1 $\times$ AT-124    | 6.07   | 1.16** | 0.02     | 13.36  | 1.05   | 1.05     | 30.80             | 1.21   | 5.59     |
| GT-1 $\times$ C-1013    | 5.13   | 0.69   | 5.62**   | 13.23  | 1.14   | 1.50*    | 30.64             | 1.01   | 0.81     |
| GT-2 $\times$ GT-10     | 5.95   | 0.93   | 0.02     | 13.42  | 1.52** | 0.43     | 32.21             | 1.34** | 0.87     |
| GT-2 $\times$ TMV-3     | 6.37   | 0.62   | 0.50*    | 13.21  | 0.66** | 0.04     | 32.69             | 0.84** | 0.04     |
| GT-2 $\times$ Pbtil-1   | 6.18   | 0.89   | 0.16     | 12.45  | 0.76*  | 0.56     | 33.05             | 0.88   | 5.77     |
| GT-2 $\times$ PT-64     | 6.40   | 1.20*  | 0.11     | 14.28  | 0.73** | 0.34     | 33.62             | 1.70*  | 7.34     |
| GT-2 $\times$ AT-124    | 6.99   | 1.34   | 2.43**   | 11.89  | 0.87*  | 0.12     | 31.91             | 1.17   | 0.83     |
| GT-2 $\times$ C-1013    | 6.55   | 1.25   | 1.07**   | 12.84  | 1.12   | 1.60*    | 31.91             | 1.37** | 0.37     |
| GT-10 $\times$ TMV-3    | 6.11   | 1.17   | 0.15     | 14.31  | 1.43** | 0.93     | 34.67             | 1.45*  | 4.20     |
| GT-10 $\times$ Pbtil-1  | 6.17   | 1.13** | 0.02     | 14.13  | 1.03   | 0.05     | 34.04             | 1.13   | 3.09     |
| GT-10 $\times$ PT-64    | 6.42   | 0.95   | 0.33     | 12.67  | 1.11   | 0.92     | 33.25             | 0.85   | 1.74     |
| GT-10 $\times$ AT-124   | 6.30   | 0.88   | 1.87**   | 14.53  | 1.45   | 6.55**   | 33.87             | 1.30** | 0.12     |
| GT-10 $\times$ C-1013   | 6.60   | 1.05   | 0.19     | 12.30  | 0.94   | 4.36**   | 31.34             | 1.26   | 7.07     |
| TMV-3 $\times$ Pbtil-1  | 6.72   | 1.00   | 0.08     | 14.22  | 0.77   | 0.72     | 34.27             | 0.68   | 2.58     |
| TMV-3 $\times$ PT-64    | 6.28   | 0.78   | 0.48*    | 13.34  | 0.62   | 2.82**   | 32.92             | 0.89   | 15.20**  |
| TMV-3 $\times$ AT-124   | 6.49   | 1.44** | 0.22     | 12.67  | 0.75   | 2.49**   | 31.53             | 1.36** | 0.13     |
| TMV-3 $\times$ C-1013   | 6.43   | 1.12   | 0.97**   | 14.39  | 1.12   | 1.66*    | 33.33             | 0.57   | 20.30**  |
| Pbtil-1 $\times$ PT-64  | 6.52   | 1.15   | 0.80**   | 14.13  | 0.91   | 0.14     | 32.58             | 1.09   | 1.28     |
| Pbtil-1 $\times$ AT-124 | 6.42   | 1.22   | 0.31     | 13.84  | 0.95   | 0.07     | 35.41             | 0.54** | 0.54     |
| Pbtil-1 $\times$ C-1013 | 6.10   | 0.71   | 3.71**   | 13.34  | 1.36   | 3.00**   | 33.25             | 0.56*  | 2.53     |
| PT-64 $\times$ AT-124   | 6.83   | 0.92   | 0.95**   | 12.79  | 0.89   | 3.02**   | 30.56             | 1.04   | 0.05     |
| PT-64 $\times$ C-1013   | 6.56   | 1.10   | 0.07     | 13.14  | 1.52** | 1.20     | 32.71             | 1.20   | 1.99     |
| AT-124 $\times$ C-1013  | 5.94   | 0.89   | 3.86**   | 12.95  | 1.20   | 0.95     | 32.96             | 1.14   | 2.13     |
| Mean                    | 6.29   | 1.00   |          | 13.20  | 1.00   |          | 31.88             | 1.00   |          |
| S.E. $\pm$              | 0.36   | 0.25   |          | 0.51   | 0.19   |          | 0.80              | 0.21   |          |

\*significant at P = 0.05 level, \*\*significant at P = 0.01 level. DAS = Days after sowing

yield.

Eberhart and Russell (1966) suggested three stability parameters *viz.*, regression coefficient ( $b_i$ ) and deviation from regression ( $S^2d_i$ ) along with mean performance ( $\mu$ ) of genotypes for various characters to assess the stability and suitability of performance over the location. He defined a stable genotype as one, which produces high mean yield, depicts regression coefficients ( $b_i$ ) around unity and deviations from regression ( $S^2d_i$ ) near zero. The perusal of stability parameters for seed yield/plant revealed that among the cultivars, GT-2, GT-10 and GT-1 had high mean than average (7.2 g/plant) along with non-significant regression coefficient near to unity ( $b_i = 0.9$  to 1.0) and least deviation from regression ( $S^2d_i = 0.3$  to 0.5), indicated average stable across all the environments (Table 2). Therefore, these cultivars considered to be most stable with wide adaptability in all the environments. The cultivar TMV-3 was highly responsive to favourable environments *ie.*, high fertility, which registered high mean (7.3 g/plant), significant regression coefficient more than unity ( $b_i = 1.3^*$ ) and non-significant

least deviations from regression ( $S^2d_i = 0.4$ ). Therefore, this cultivar could be suitable for high input and good management conditions. Rajarathinam and Muppudathi (1996) studied that TMV 3 was the most stable genotype with high mean yield and average response in changing environmental conditions. Kumaresan and Nadarajan (2005) also reported that the genotype TMV 3 was identified as stable which exhibited high mean, unit regression coefficient and non significant mean square deviation.

Physiological traits like leaf area index and photosynthetic rate have received little attention in breeding programme because of sequential and destructive methods of sampling from leaves at different growth stages. The information on the stability parameters is very limited on these traits in sesame. In general, the cultivars found stable for seed yield also depicted their stability of performance across the environments for physiological traits. Harvest index is the ratio of grain yield and biological yield. The high yielding cultivars namely GT-2, TMV-3, GT-10 and GT-1 had high mean (32%, 30.8%, 30.7%

**Table 4: Stable cultivars and top ten stable hybrids for seed yield and physiological traits in sesame**

| Parents                      | Seed yield/ plant (g) | Average stable                     | Favorable environment | Unfavorable environment    |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| GT-2                         | 7.59                  | SY, LAI 40, $P_N$ 40, $P_N$ 60, HI |                       |                            |
| TMV-3                        | 7.39                  | LAI 60, HI                         | SY                    | $P_N$ 40, $P_N$ 60         |
| GT-10                        | 7.26                  | SY, LAI 40, LAI 60, HI             |                       | $P_N$ 40, $P_N$ 60         |
| GT-1                         | 7.05                  | SY, HI                             | $P_N$ 40              |                            |
| PT-64                        | 6.54                  | LAI 60, $P_N$ 60                   |                       | SY, $P_N$ 40, HI           |
| AT-124                       | 6.52                  | SY, LAI 40                         |                       | HI                         |
| Pbtil-1                      | 6.00                  | LAI 40                             |                       | SY, $P_N$ 60, HI           |
| C-1013                       | 5.49                  |                                    |                       | SY, $P_N$ 40, $P_N$ 60, HI |
| <i>F<sub>1</sub></i> hybrids |                       |                                    |                       |                            |
| GT-10 × TMV-3                | 9.45                  | LAI 40, $P_N$ 40                   | SY, $P_N$ 60, HI      |                            |
| TMV-3 × C-1013               | 9.26                  |                                    | SY, LAI 40            |                            |
| GT-10 × PT-64                | 7.86                  | SY, $P_N$ 40, $P_N$ 60             | LAI 40                | HI                         |
| Pbtil-1 × PT-64              | 7.70                  | SY, LAI 40, $P_N$ 60, HI           |                       |                            |
| AT-124 × C-1013              | 7.63                  | SY, HI                             | $P_N$ 60              |                            |
| GT-2 × C-1013                | 7.61                  |                                    | SY, LAI 60, HI        | LAI 40                     |
| TMV-3 × PT-64                | 7.48                  | SY                                 | LAI 40                |                            |
| PT-64 × C-1013               | 7.43                  | SY, $P_N$ 40                       | $P_N$ 60              |                            |
| GT-2 × Pbtil-1               | 7.29                  | SY, LAI 60                         | LAI 40                | $P_N$ 40, $P_N$ 60, HI     |
| GT-2 × TMV-3                 | 7.01                  | SY                                 | LAI 40                | $P_N$ 60, HI               |

SY = Seed yield/plant (g), HI = Harvest index (%), LAI = Leaf area index at 40 and 60 days after sowing;  $P_N$  = Photosynthetic rate at 40 and 60 days after sowing ( $\mu\text{mol}/\text{m}^2/\text{s}$ )

and 31.2% respectively) along with regression coefficient near to unity ( $b_1 = 1$ ) and least deviation from regression (Table 3). Hence, these cultivars had wider adaptability for harvest index along with seed yield under studied environments. The cultivars GT-2, GT-10, AT-124 and Pbtil-1 had high mean than the average (3.1), unit regression coefficient ( $b_1 = 1$ ) and least deviation from regression, indicating more stable in diverse environment for leaf area index at 40 days after sowing (DAS) while, the cultivars TMV-3, GT-10 and PT-64 were found average stable for leaf area index at 60 DAS as they had high or at par mean than the average (5.2), near to unity ( $b_1 = 1$ ) and non significant deviation from regression, indicating more stable in diverse environment (Table 2). The cultivar GT-2 was more stable for photosynthetic rate at 40 and 60 DAS as it had high mean along with regression coefficient near unity ( $b_1 = 1$ ) and least deviation from regression ( $S^2d_1$ ) whereas, the cultivars TMV-3, GT-10 and C-1013 were insensitive to environment changes and had high adaptability to poor environments for photosynthetic rate at 40 and 60 DAS (Table 3), as it had registered with regression coefficient ( $b_1 < 1$ ) and non significant least deviation from regression ( $S^2d_1 = 0$ ). Similarly the stable genotypes were also identified in sesame for seed yield by Manivannan and Ganesan (2001); Iwo *et al.* (2002); Chaudhari *et al.* (2005); Kumar *et al.* (2006); Kumar *et al.* (2008) and Kumaresan and Nadarajan (2010).

The most stable hybrids based on high yield were presented in Table 4 revealed that none of the hybrids were stable for all the traits. However, trait wise results of genotypes showing specific adaptation to average, favourable (better management conditions) and unfavourable (poor management conditions) environments were presented. For seed yield/plant, ten hybrids were found stable for ideal and specific environments (Table 4), as they recorded high mean than the than average (7.2 g/plant). For harvest index, the hybrid Pbtil-1 × PT-64 and AT-124 × C-1013 were found stable for average environment, while the hybrid GT-10 × TMV-3 and GT-2 × C-1013 were more sensitive to environmental changes. Therefore these

hybrids were found stable for favourable environment and hybrids GT-10 × PT-64, GT-2 × Pbtil-1 and GT-2 × TMV-3 had above average stability. Hence, these hybrids were considered to be stable under poor environmental conditions for harvest index.

The hybrids, GT-10 × TMV-3 and Pbtil-1 × PT-64 for leaf area index at 40 DAS and hybrid GT-2 × Pbtil-1 for leaf area index at 60 DAS were found stable and had wide adaptability for these traits. The hybrids GT-10 × TMV-3, GT-10 × PT-64 and PT-64 × C-1013 for photosynthetic rate at 40 DAS and the hybrids GT-10 × PT-64 and Pbtil-1 × PT-64 for photosynthetic rate at 60 DAS were found average stable, as they had high mean, non significant regression coefficient near to unity ( $b_1 = 1$ ) and least deviation from regression. Therefore, these hybrids could be considered stable in diverse environments (Table 4). The potential yield of each hybrid can be realized under a particular set of agronomical practices. It is suggested that in order to identify stable hybrid, actual testing under variable environments, including average, favourable and unfavourable, would be advantageous. During the selection process, the focus should be on stability of characters for getting the maximum stability for seed yield in sesame.

Phenotypic correlation coefficients ( $r_p$ ) under timely sown at recommended dose of fertilizers namely N, P, S ( $E_1$ ) showed that seed yield was significant and positively correlated with harvest index (0.82\*\*) and leaf area index at 60 DAS (0.32\*), whereas it was also positive and highly significant with harvest index (0.55\*\*), leaf area index at 60 DAS (0.58\*\*) and photosynthetic rate at 60 DAS (0.44\*\*) at high dose of fertilizers application ( $E_2$ ). It indicated that harvest index, leaf area index and photosynthetic rate had high correlation with seed yield under high input environment (Table 5). Similarly under late sown condition at recommended dose of fertilizers ( $E_3$ ) revealed that seed yield was significant and positively correlated with harvest index (0.65\*\*) and photosynthetic rate at 60 DAS (0.34\*), however it was highly significant correlation with

**Table 5: Correlation coefficient of seed yield and physiological traits under E<sub>1</sub> (below diagonal) and E<sub>2</sub> (above diagonal) environments in sesame**

|                   | SY      | HI      | LAI 40 | LAI 60  | P <sub>N</sub> 40 | P <sub>N</sub> 60 |
|-------------------|---------|---------|--------|---------|-------------------|-------------------|
| SY                | -       | 0.554** | 0.084  | 0.585** | 0.227             | 0.440**           |
| HI                | 0.825** | -       | -0.276 | 0.482** | 0.463**           | 0.471**           |
| LAI 40            | -0.274  | -0.324* | -      | 0.248   | -0.354*           | -0.062            |
| LAI 60            | 0.317*  | 0.341*  | -0.161 | -       | 0.196             | 0.338*            |
| P <sub>N</sub> 40 | 0.036   | 0.007   | -0.021 | 0.074   | -                 | 0.119             |
| P <sub>N</sub> 60 | 0.289   | 0.211   | -0.129 | 0.100   | -0.131            | -                 |

\*significant at P < 0.05 level, \*\*significant at P < 0.01 level. SY = Seed yield/plant (g); HI = Harvest index (%), LAI = Leaf area index, P<sub>N</sub> = Photosynthetic rate (μmol/m<sup>2</sup>/s)

**Table 6: Correlation coefficient of seed yield and physiological traits under E<sub>3</sub> (below diagonal) and E<sub>4</sub> (above diagonal) environments in sesame**

|                   | SY      | HI      | LAI 40 | LAI 60 | P <sub>N</sub> 40 | P <sub>N</sub> 60 |
|-------------------|---------|---------|--------|--------|-------------------|-------------------|
| SY                | -       | 0.641** | 0.224  | 0.070  | 0.411**           | 0.373*            |
| HI                | 0.657** | -       | 0.162  | 0.070  | 0.459**           | 0.337*            |
| LAI 40            | -0.025  | 0.065   | -      | 0.104  | 0.447**           | 0.419**           |
| LAI 60            | 0.071   | 0.301   | 0.096  | -      | -0.141            | 0.158             |
| P <sub>N</sub> 40 | -0.133  | 0.136   | 0.219  | -0.186 | -                 | 0.445**           |
| P <sub>N</sub> 60 | 0.336*  | 0.143   | 0.360* | -0.022 | 0.307             | -                 |

harvest index (0.64\*\*), photosynthetic rate at 40 DAS (0.41\*\*) and photosynthetic rate at 60 DAS (0.37\*) at high dose of fertilizers application (E<sub>4</sub>). It also showed that harvest index and photosynthetic rate at 40 and 60 DAS had high correlation with seed yield under high input conditions in late sown environments (Table 6).

This was observed that all the traits had greater magnitude of correlation under high input conditions irrespective of timely and late sown environments. Reduction in leaf area and photosynthetic rate under low input environment (N,P,S) was because of nitrogen and phosphorus are essential components of virtually all the key molecules and tissues that make plant life possible. Deficiencies in either element result in quantitative decreases in the physiological activity of the crop. Leaf area development is one of the more sensitive responses of crop growth to N and P deficiencies. Photosynthesis rates are also decreased in response to severe limitations of N and P accumulation in the plant (Sinclair and Vadez, 2002). Physiological characters like leaf area index, photosynthetic rate had positively significant association with seed yield was reported by Ramakrishnan and Soundarapandian (1990); Reddy *et al.* (1993) and Kumaresan and Nadarajan (2002). It was observed that leaf area index and harvest index showed a significant and positive association with yield per plant in sesame. These results were in agreement with those of Pawar (2002); Babu *et al.* (2004) and Mohammed (2009). Haruna *et al.* (2012) reported positive and significant correlation between leaf area index and the yield ( $r = 0.15^*$ ). Kurdistan *et al.* (2011) reported that seed yield was positive and significantly correlated with harvest index.

It was very interesting that seed yield had no correlation with leaf area index at 40 DAS and photosynthetic rate at 40 DAS under all the environments except for photosynthetic rate at 40 DAS under late sown and high fertilizer applications environment (E<sub>4</sub>). Hence, selection for these traits could not much reliable for improving seed yield in sesame. Leaf area index at 60 DAS was positive and significantly correlated with seed yield in environment E<sub>1</sub> (0.31\*) and E<sub>2</sub> (0.58\*\*), whereas it had no correlation with seed yield under late sown environment (E<sub>3</sub> and E<sub>4</sub>). However, the photosynthetic rate at

60 DAS was significant and positively correlated with seed yield under the environment E<sub>3</sub> and E<sub>4</sub> (late sown at normal and high fertilizer application respectively), and environment E<sub>2</sub> (timely sown at high fertilizer application). Liu *et al.* (2005) recorded that LAI at reproductive stage strongly influenced yield in soybean. This suggested that leaf area index at 60 DAS could be used as selection criteria for improving seed yield under timely sown environments irrespective of low and high fertility conditions, while for photosynthetic rate at 60 DAS under high fertility even though timely and late sown environments. Thus, these physiological traits are very important in sesame breeding programme and improvement in yield may be possible while selecting for these traits.

It is concluded that the high yielding cultivars like GT-2, GT-10 and GT-1 had stable performance over the environment with broad adaptability and cultivar TMV-3 had specific adaptability to favourable environments for seed yield/plant. The cultivar GT-10 for leaf area index at 40 and 60 DAS and the cultivar GT-2 for photosynthetic rate at 40 and 60 DAS were found most stable across the environments. The hybrids like GT-10 × TMV-3, TMV-3 × C-1013, GT-10 × PT-64, Pbt1-1 × PT-64, AT-124 × C-1013, GT-2 × C-1013, TMV-3 × PT-64, PT-64 × C-1013, GT-2 × Pbt1-1 and GT-2 × TMV-3 were found most stable across the environments for seed yield/plant and physiological traits. Therefore, these hybrids could be valuable for large scale testing over the environments and subsequently for further exploited through the isolation of transgressive segregants for seed yield and physiological traits to develop high yielding stable sesame cultivars with broad adaptability over the environments.

## REFERENCES

- Anuradha, T. and Reddy, G. L. 2005. Phenotypic stability of yield and yield attributes in Sesame, *Sesamum indicum* L. *J. Oilseeds Res.* 22: 25-28.
- Ashri, A. 2007. Sesame (*sesamum indicum* L.). In: Singh, R.J., ed.: Genetic Resources, and Chromosome Engineering, and Crop Improvement. Vol. 4: *Oilseed Crops*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, USA, 231-289.

- Babu, J. S., Reddy, C. D. R. and Reddi, N. S. 2004.** Character association and path coefficient analysis in a set of white seeded genotypes of sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). *Annals. Of Agri. Bio. Research.* **19:** 13-18.
- Bisht, I. S., Mahajan, R. K., Loknathan, T. R. and Agrawal, R. C. 1998.** Diversity in Indian sesame collection and stratification of germplasm accessions in different diversity groups. *Genet Resour Crop Evol.* **45:** 325–335.
- Borchani, C., Besbes, S., Blecker, C. H. and Attia, H. 2010.** Chemical Characteristics and Oxidative Stability of Sesame Seed, Sesame Paste, and Olive Oils. *J. Agr. Sci. Tech.* **12:** 585-596.
- Boshim, K., Churl-Whan, K., Dong-Hee, K. and Jang-Whan, P. 2003.** Interpretation of genotype × environment interaction effects on yield in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). *Sesame and Safflower Newsletter.* **18:** 20-24.
- Chaudhari, G. B., Jadhav, M. G., Patil, R. B. and Rajput, S. D. 2005.** Stability analysis for seed yield in sesamum (*Sesamum indicum* L.) under rainfed condition in Maharashtra. National Seminar on Strategies for Enhancing Production and Export of Sesame and Niger, 2005 Organized at ARS, Mandor, Jodhpur, Rajasthan, India.
- Comstock, R. E. and Moll, R. H. 1963.** Genotype-environment interactions. In *Statistical Genetics and Plant Breeding* (Ed. W.D. Hanson and Robinson, H.F.) NAS-NRC Publ. 1982. 164-196.
- Eberhart, S. A. and Russell, W. A. 1966.** Stability parameters for comparing the varieties. *Crop. Sci.* **6:** 36-40.
- FAOSTAT 2011.** <http://faostat3.fao.org/home/index.html>.
- Griffing, B. 1956.** Concept of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing system. *Aust. J. Biol. Sci.* **9:** 463-493.
- Haruna, I. M., Aliyu, L., Olufajo, O. O. and Odion, E. C. 2012.** Contributions of some growth characters to seed yield of sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). *ISABB. J. Food Agric. Sci.* **2:** 9-14.
- Iwo, G. A., Idowo, A. A. and Ochigbo, A. A. 2002.** Evaluation of (*Sesamum indicum* L.) genotypes for yield stability and selection in Nigeria. *Niger Agric. J.* **33:** 76-82.
- John, A., Subbaraman, N. and Jebbaraj, S. 2001.** Genotype by environment interaction in sesame (*Sesame indicum* L.). *Sesame and Safflower Newsletter.* **16:** 13-15.
- Kangbo, S., Churlwhan, K., Donghee, K., Jangwhan, P., Shim, K. B., Kang, C. W., Kim, D. H. and Park, J. W. 2003.** Genotype by environment interaction in yield of sesame. *Korean J. Crop Sci.* **48:** 65-67.
- Kumar, P. S., Sasivannan, S. and Ganesan, J. 2006.** Stability analysis in sesamum (*Sesamum indicum* L.). *Crop Res.* **31:** 394-395.
- Kumar, S. T., Velusami, P. A., Balamurugan, R., Eswaran, R. and Thangavelu, P. 2008.** G × E interaction and stability of sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) genotypes over environments. *Adv. Plant Sci.* **21:** 617-619.
- Kumaresan, D. and Nadarajan, N. 2002.** Association of yield with some biometrical and physiological characters over different environments in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). *Sesame and Safflower Newsletter.* **17:** 13-16.
- Kumaresan, D. and Nadarajan, N. 2005.** Stability analysis for yield and its components in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). *Indian J. Agric. Res.* **39:** 60-63.
- Kumaresan, D. and Nadarajan, N. 2010.** Genotype × environment interactions for seed yield and its components in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). *Electronic J. Plant Breeding.* **1:** 1126-1132.
- Kurdistani, R., Tohidinejad, E., Nejad, G. M. and Zareie, S. 2011.** Yield potential evaluation and path analysis of different sesame genotypes under various levels of iron. *Afr. J. Plant Sci.* **5:** 862-866.
- Laurentin, H., Montilla, D. and Garcia, V. 2007.** Interpreting genotype × environment interaction in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). *J. Agril. Sci.* **145:** 263-271.
- Liu, X. B., Jin, J., Herbert, S. J., Zhang, Q. and Wang, G. 2005.** Yield components, dry matter, LAI and LAD of soybeans in Northeast China. *Field Crops Research.* **93:** 85-93.
- Loomis, R. S. 1993.** Optimization theory and crop improvement. In: Buxtion DR, Shibles R, Forsberg RA, Blad BL, Asay KH, Paulsen GM, Wilson RF (eds) *International Crop Science I.* Crop Science Society of America, Madison. pp. 583-588.
- Mannivannan, N. and Ganesan, J. 2001.** Stability of sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) hybrids in multilocation trials. *J. Oilseeds Res.* **18:** 10-16.
- Mehrotra, O. N., Sexena, H. and Moosa, H. 1976.** Physiological analysis of varietal differences in seed yield of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). *Ind. J. Plant Physiol.* **19:** 1-2.
- Mekonnen, Z. and Mohammed, H. 2009.** Study on genotype × environment interaction of yield in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). *J. Phytol.* **1:** 199-205.
- Miller, P. A., Williams, J. C., Robinson, H. F. and Comstock, R. E. 1958.** Estimation of genotypic and environmental variances and covariances in upland cotton and their implications in selection. *Agron. J.* **50:** 126-131.
- Mohammed, I. J. 2009.** Evaluation of six sesame varieties for yield variability in relation to their physiological traits in South Kordofan. [M.Sc. Thesis (Crop production)] Sudan academy of Sciences, Khartoum, Sudan.
- Pawar, K. N., Chetti, M. J. and Jahagirdar, S. 2002.** Association between seed yield and yield attributing characters in sesamum (*Sesamum indicum* L.). *Agric. Sci. Digest.* **22:** 18-20.
- Raghuwanshi, K. M. S., Duhoon, S. S., Singh, R. B. and Singh, B. R. 2003.** Stability parameters of some yield attributes in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). *J. Interacademia.* **7:** 396-399.
- Rajarathinam, S. and Muppudathi, N. 1996.** Stability of seed yield in sesame under rainfed conditions. *Madras Agric. J.* **83:** 774-775.
- Ramakrishnan, M. and Soundrapandian. 1990.** Association studies in sesame. *Madras Agric. J.* **77:** 580-581.
- Reddy, O. U. K., Dorairaj, M. S. and Padmavathi, N. 1993.** Character association in *Sesamum indicum* L. *Sesame and Safflower Newsletter.* **8:** 41-44.
- Sharma, R. C., Smith, E. L. and Mcnew, R. W. 1991.** Combining ability analysis for harvest index in winter wheat. *Euphytica.* **55:** 229-234.
- Sharma, S. B. 1994.** Utilization of natural collections of sesame in India. In: Arora RK, Riley KW (Eds) *Sesame biodiversity in Asia—conservation, evaluation and improvement.* IPGRI Office for South Asia, New Delhi, India.
- Sinclair, T. R. and Vadez, V. 2002.** Physiological traits for crop yield improvement in low N and P environments. *Plant and Soil.* **245:** 1-15.
- Suvarna, Manjunath, M. H., Nehru, S. D. and Manjunath, A. 2011.** Stability analysis of sesame varieties during early kharif. *Indian J. Agric. Res.* **45:** 244-248.
- Velu, G. and Shunmugavalli, N. 2005.** Genotype × Environment interaction in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). *Sesame and Safflower Newsletter.* **20:** 1-5.
- Weiss, E. A. 2000.** Oilseed crops. Blackwell Science Ltd., Landon.
- Westerman, J. M. 1971.** Genotype × environment interaction and developmental regulation in *Arabidopsis thaliana* L. Inbred lines analysis. *Heredity.* **26:** 93-106.
- Yoshida, H., Horie, T., Katsura, K. and Shiraiwa, T. 2007.** A model explaining genotypic environmental variation in leaf area development of rice based on biomass growth and leaf N accumulation. *Field Crops. Research.* **102:** 228-238.

