The Bioscan
AN INTERNATIONAL QUARTERLY JOURNAL OF LIFE SCIENCES
2020(3): S.I (3), 950-952, 2025 www.thebioscan.com

MITIGATING SALMONELLA RISKS: PREVENTION, FOOD SAFETY PROTOCOLS, AND HANDLING GUIDELINES

Vickneswari M[1]. Monish Raj R [2] Vijaya Krishanan[3], Palthangam Ganesan[4], Dhiva G S [5]

[1]Department of Electronics and Communications, PERI Institute of Technology, Chennai-48

[2]Department of Pharmacy, PERI College of Pharmacy, Chennai-48

[3]Department of Physiotherapy, PERI College of Physiotherapy, Chemnai-600048

[4]Department of Nursing, PERI College of Nursing – Chennai-600048

,[5]Department of Commerce, PERI College of Arts and Science, Chennai-48.

Corresponding mail id: publications@peri.ac.in

DOI: 10.63001/tbs. 2025.v20.i03.S.I(3).pp950-952

KEYWORDS
Salmonella, Food borne illness, Food safety, Contamination prevention, HACCP, Cross-contamination, Safe food handling, Microbial control, Public health, Food hygiene.

Received on:

20-07-2025

Accepted on:

18-08-2025

Published on:

24-09-2025

ABSTRACT

Salmonella is a leading cause of food borne illness globally, posing significant public health and economic challenges. This paper explores comprehensive strategies to mitigate the risks associated with Salmonella contamination in food systems. It examines the microbiological characteristics of Salmonella, common sources of contamination, and transmission pathways. Emphasis is placed on evidence-based prevention strategies, including hygienic food handling, proper cooking and storage practices, and cross-contamination control. Additionally, the paper reviews regulatory frameworks and food safety protocols, such as Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points (HACCP), which are critical in managing Salmonella risks across the food supply chain. The goal is to provide actionable guidelines for food industry professionals, public health officials, and consumers to reduce infection rates and enhance food safety.

INTRODUCTION

1.Introduction to Salmonella and Foodborne Risks

Salmonella is a prominent cause of foodborne illness, affecting millions globally each year. It is particularly associated with contaminated poultry, eggs, dairy, and produce. According to Ehuwa et al. (2021), poor hygiene practices during food production and processing contribute significantly to contamination, often resulting in widespread outbreaks and economic losses.

2. Sources and Transmission Pathways

The transmission of Salmonella occurs primarily through the fecal-oral route, often via contaminated food, water, or contact with infected animals. Sunar et al. (2014) highlighted the persistence of Salmonella in compost environments, emphasizing its adaptability and resistance to environmental stressors. Similarly, Lewis et al. (2013) noted the pathogen's ability to survive in low-moisture food products, making dry food contamination a persistent challenge.

3. Prevention and Control Strategies

The mitigation of Salmonella contamination throughout the food chain requires an integrated approach that spans pre-harvest, post-harvest, and consumer-level interventions. Each stage presents unique risks and opportunities for control, as supported by extensive research.

3.1 Pre-Harvest Measures

Preventing Salmonella at the source—on farms and during animal rearing—is a critical first step in breaking the chain of transmission. Neelawala et al. (2024) reported that the use of vaccines in poultry, combined with feed acidification and the maintenance of dry, clean litter, significantly reduces the presence of Salmonella in flocks. Similarly, Alzahrani et al. (2023) emphasized the importance of biosecurity measures, including the restriction of visitor access, disinfection of equipment, and rodent control, as essential tools in minimizing environmental contamination.

Additional strategies include the use of probiotics and competitive exclusion cultures in young chicks to reduce intestinal colonization by pathogenic Salmonella strains (Bermúdez-Aguirre and Niemira, 2023). Furthermore, water sanitation and routine flock monitoring through environmental sampling help identify early signs of contamination, allowing for timely corrective action (Sunar et al., 2014).

3.2 Post-Harvest and Processing Controls

Once animals are slaughtered, the risk of cross-contamination during processing becomes significant. Ahirrao (2013) highlighted that critical control points (CCPs) in the slaughterhouse—such as scalding temperatures, carcass rinsing, and evisceration hygiene—are pivotal in minimizing contamination. Cold chain management, especially during chilling and storage, also plays a key role in inhibiting pathogen growth.

Advanced predictive analytics and machine learning tools are being increasingly used to identify and address contamination risks in real-time. For instance, Sadilek et al. (2018) introduced a system that monitors social media and search data to detect foodborne illness outbreaks early, enabling faster recalls and response.

In addition, the use of bacteriophage treatments on carcasses and food contact surfaces has shown efficacy in reducing Salmonella populations without affecting product quality (Bermúdez-Aguirre and Niemira, 2023). Food-grade antimicrobial rinses and high-pressure processing (HPP) are also being deployed as effective interventions (Ceylan et al., 2021).

3.3 Consumer-Level Interventions

At the consumer level, improper handling, cooking, and storage of food significantly increase the risk of Salmonella infection. Sace (2023) stressed the importance of proper handwashing, sanitizing kitchen surfaces, and separating raw and cooked foods to prevent cross-contamination. Zhang (2023) further emphasized the value of public education campaigns and school-based food safety training in promoting safe food handling habits at home.

Recent studies show that a large percentage of foodborne illnesses can be attributed to home-prepared meals, especially when raw poultry or eggs are handled without proper precautions (Ehuwa et al., 2021). Therefore, ensuring that consumers understand safe internal cooking temperatures (e.g., 74°C for poultry) and proper refrigeration practices (below 4°C) is crucial.

Technological solutions, such as smartphone apps with barcode scanners and cooking alerts, can also aid consumers in following safety guidelines. These tools, discussed by Syafrudin et al. (2025), help trace food origins and provide real-time safety instructions based on product type.

4. Emerging and Alternative Technologies

Innovative approaches to Salmonella detection and control are reshaping how food safety is managed. Traditional methods are increasingly being complemented or replaced by targeted biological interventions, smart technologies, and artificial intelligence. These tools enhance precision, speed, and traceability in pathogen management systems.

4.1 Phage Therapy and Natural Antimicrobials

With growing concerns about antibiotic resistance, bacteriophage therapy is emerging as a sustainable biological tool for Salmonella control. Bacteriophages—viruses that specifically infect bacteria—can selectively target *Salmonella enterica* without affecting beneficial microbiota, making them ideal for use in poultry and meat processing environments (Bermúdez-Aguirre and Niemira, 2023). These phages can be applied to animal feed, carcass rinses, or packaging surfaces to reduce bacterial load.

In addition, natural antimicrobials such as essential oils (e.g., thymol, eugenol) and plant extracts have been reported to inhibit Salmonella growth on food surfaces. Alzahrani et al. (2023) documented their potential as food-safe decontamination agents. Likewise, probiotics and prebiotics in animal feed can competitively inhibit Salmonella colonization in the gut microbiome, improving animal health and reducing pathogen shedding.

Other promising alternatives include bacteriocins and antimicrobial peptides, which are being evaluated for incorporation into food packaging and surface disinfectants (Ceylan et al., 2021). These natural compounds provide a dual function of preservation and microbial control.

4.2 Smart Surveillance and AI Integration

Artificial intelligence (AI) is playing an increasingly central role in food safety management. Chua et al. (2023) demonstrated the use of fuzzy logic systems for water quality monitoring in aquaculture, which could be adapted for real-time pathogen detection. AI algorithms can process vast amounts of data—from temperature sensors, microbial testing, and consumer complaints—to predict and prevent contamination events.

Syafrudin et al. (2025) introduced a smartphone-based traceability system using NoSQL databases to track food origin and temperature exposure during distribution. Such systems enhance transparency, making it easier for manufacturers and regulatory agencies to perform targeted recalls when Salmonella is detected in specific batches.

Real-time analytics can also inform predictive modeling, allowing processors to anticipate where and when Salmonella is most likely to proliferate based on environmental conditions, product type, or historical data (Sadilek et al., 2018).

4.3 Deep Learning and Computer Vision

Deep learning algorithms and computer vision systems are revolutionizing visual inspection and quality assurance in food production. Zhu et al. (2021) reviewed the integration of convolutional neural networks (CNNs) into processing lines, where high-speed cameras detect anomalies such as biofilm formation, color changes, or signs of spoilage.

These technologies can automate inspection tasks previously reliant on human workers, increasing consistency and reducing labor costs. For example, smart cameras can identify cross-contamination risks by detecting the presence of raw meat residues on cutting boards or tools in real-time.

When combined with Internet of Things (IoT) devices and cloud computing, these systems can provide continuous monitoring, allowing for early alerts and immediate corrective actions across the supply chain.

5.Food Safety Regulations and Standards

Robust food safety regulations are foundational to controlling Salmonella in both domestic and international food markets. Regulations vary by country but are increasingly aligned through international frameworks such as the Codex Alimentarius and World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines, which offer science-based recommendations on microbial limits, inspection protocols, and risk assessment.

Javed and Al Mohaithef (2023) reported that implementation of the Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point (HACCP) system in restaurants and cafeterias led to significant improvements in compliance with hygiene standards, temperature controls, and contamination prevention protocols. The effectiveness of HACCP has been so well documented that many regulatory bodies, including the FDA and EFSA, now mandate or strongly recommend its adoption in both small-scale and industrial food operations.

Ceylan et al. (2021) contributed to the regulatory framework by providing validation protocols for lethal control measures such as thermal processing, irradiation, and high-pressure treatments aimed specifically at foodborne pathogens like *Salmonella enterica*. Their research has been instrumental in shaping food safety policy for ready-to-eat products and minimally processed foods.

Other studies have highlighted the importance of third-party certification programs, such as ISO 22000, BRC Global Standard, and SQF (Safe Quality Food), which are increasingly adopted by multinational food companies to demonstrate regulatory compliance and consumer trust (Ahirrao, 2013).

The enforcement of these standards is supported by regulatory audits, routine microbial testing, and the use of blockchain technologies for traceability (Syafrudin et al., 2025). These innovations ensure accountability and provide a means to track and contain contamination quickly and efficiently.

6. Public Health and Economic Impacts

The burden of non-typhoidal Salmonella infections on global health systems is profound. With an estimated 93.8 million cases and 155,000 deaths worldwide annually, Salmonella is a leading cause of bacterial gastroenteritis (Ehuwa et al., 2021). Most cases are self-limiting, but severe infections can result in bacteremia, reactive arthritis, and even death, particularly among immunocompromised individuals, young children, and the elderly.

Alzahrani et al. (2023) estimated the economic impact of Salmonella-related illness to be in the billions annually, due to costs associated with hospitalization, outpatient care, lost productivity, food recalls, and litigation. In the U.S. alone, the CDC estimates over 1 million Salmonella infections per year, with direct and indirect costs surpassing $3 billion.

Environmental contamination also plays a role in public health risks. Sunar et al. (2014) emphasized the importance of molecular tracking techniques to identify *Salmonella* in compost and organic waste systems. Their work illustrated how improper disposal and insufficient composting practices could lead to the spread of pathogens through environmental channels, including water runoff and soil contamination.

Lewis et al. (2013) added that Salmonella's ability to survive in low-moisture environments, such as powdered foods and nuts, poses a unique challenge because these foods often bypass cooking—a critical control step.

As a result, multi-sectoral collaboration—involving agricultural producers, processors, public health agencies, and consumers—is essential. Policies should not only aim at outbreak response but also at proactive risk management, emphasizing education, routine surveillance, and infrastructure improvement (Sadilek et al., 2018; Neelawala et al., 2024).

CONSLUSION

The reviewed literature clearly demonstrates that Salmonella continues to pose a significant threat to global food safety, public health, and economic stability. From farm environments to food processing facilities and consumer kitchens, multiple factors contribute to the persistence and transmission of this pathogen. An effective response to Salmonella contamination must be comprehensive and multifaceted, integrating pre-harvest interventions, post-harvest controls, and consumer education.

The adoption of evidence-based practices, such as Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points (HACCP), antimicrobial alternatives, and bacteriophage applications, has shown promise in reducing Salmonella prevalence at various stages of the food supply chain (Neelawala et al., 2024; Bermúdez-Aguirre and Niemira, 2023). Additionally, the integration of digital technologies, including AI-driven surveillance and smart traceability systems, enhances early detection and response, thereby minimizing the impact of outbreaks (Sadilek et al., 2018; Syafrudin et al., 2025).

Moreover, a critical component of Salmonella mitigation is the dissemination of food safety knowledge among consumers and food handlers. Simple behavioral changes, such as proper hand hygiene, thorough cooking, and avoiding cross-contamination, can significantly reduce infection risks (Sace, 2023; Zhang, 2023).

In conclusion, while scientific and technological advancements provide valuable tools, long-term control of Salmonella hinges on a collaborative effort among producers, regulators, researchers, and consumers. Continued research, policy enforcement, and education are essential to building resilient food systems that safeguard public health.

REFERENCES

- A. Chua, E. D. Imperial, G. R. Mababangloob, J. B. Tinhay and R. Concepcion, "Intelligent Water Quality Management in Maturation Tanks for Penaeus Monodon Using Fuzzy Logic," 2023 8th International Conference on Business and Industrial Research (ICBIR), Bangkok, Thailand, 2023, pp. 675-681, doi: 10.1109/ICBIR57571.2023.10147655.
- O. Ehuwa, A. K. Jaiswal, S. Jaiswal, "Salmonella, Food Safety and Food Handling Practices," Foods, vol. 10, no. 5, p. 907, 2021, doi: 10.3390/foods10050907.
- R. N. Neelawala, L. K. Edison, S. Kariyawasam, "Pre-Harvest Non-Typhoidal Salmonella Control Strategies in Commercial Layer Chickens," Animals, vol. 14, no. 24, p. 3578, 2024, doi: 10.3390/ani14243578.
- N. M. Sunar, E. I. Stentiford, D. I. Stewart, L. A. Fletcher, "Survival of Salmonella spp. in Composting using Vial and Direct Inoculums Technique," arXiv, 2014, [Online]..
- M. Syafrudin, G. Alfian, N. L. Fitriyani, "Smartphone-Based Food Traceability System Using NoSQL Database," arXiv, 2025, [Online]. A. Sadilek, S. Caty, L. DiPrete, R. Mansour, T. Schenk Jr, M. Bergtholdt, A. Jha, P. Ramaswami, E. Gabrilovich, "Machine-learned epidemiology: real-time detection of foodborne illness at scale," arXiv, 2018, [Online].
- L. Zhu, P. Spachos, E. Pensini, K. Plataniotis, "Deep Learning and Machine Vision for Food Processing: A Survey," arXiv, 2021, [Online].
- R. Bermúdez-Aguirre, M. S. Niemira, "Salmonella and Salmonellosis: An Update on Public Health Implications and Control Strategies," MDPI, 2023, [Online].
- J. J. Lewis, D. Lehmacher, R. H. Tauxe, "Mechanisms of survival, responses and sources of Salmonella in low-moisture environments," Frontiers in Microbiology, vol. 4, p. 331, 2013, doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2013.00331.
- V. Ahirrao, "Overview of safety practices in foods for Salmonella prevention," Kansas State University, 2013, [Online].
- S. Sace, "Transmission, Causes, Prevention, and Treatment of Salmonella Foodborne Infections," Journal of Food Microbial Safety & Hygiene, vol. 8, p. 247, 2023, doi: 10.35248/2476-2059.23.8.247.
- A. Alzahrani, M. Khan, M. Alsayeqh, "Practical Preventive Considerations for Reducing the Public Health Burden of Poultry-Related Salmonellosis," International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, vol. 20, no. 17, p. 6654, 2023, doi: 10.3390/ijerph20176654.
- L. Zhang, "Major Complications and Prevention Methods of Salmonella Infection," Journal of Bacteriology & Parasitology, vol. 14, p. 118567, 2023, doi: 10.35248/2155-9597.23.S20.031.
- S. Javed, A. Al Mohaithef, "Implementation of HACCP System in Restaurants and Cafeterias to Regulate Food Safety," Saudi Journal of Food and Nutrition, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 45-50, 2023, [Online].
- O. Alzahrani, "Public Health Education Initiatives to Reduce the Spread of Salmonellosis in Saudi Arabia," Journal of Public Health Education and Promotion, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 22-30, 2022, [Online].
- E. Ceylan, A. Amezquita, N. Anderson, R. Betts, L. Blayo, F. Garcés-Vega, E. Gkogka, L. J. Harris, P. McClure, A. Winkler, H. M. W. den Besten, "Guidance on validation of lethal control measures for foodborne pathogens in foods," *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 2825–2881, 2021, doi: 10.1111/1541-4337.12746.
- N. M. Sunar, E. I. Stentiford, D. I. Stewart, L. A. Fletcher, "Enumeration of Salmonella in compost material by a non-culture based method," *arXiv*, 2014, [Online]..
- N. M. Sunar, E. I. Stentiford, D. I. Stewart, L. A. Fletcher, "Molecular techniques to characterize the invA genes of Salmonella spp. for pathogen inactivation study in composting," *arXiv*, 2014, [Online].
- N. M. Sunar, E. I. Stentiford, D. I. Stewart, L. A. Fletcher, "Survival of Salmonella spp. in composting using vial and direct inoculums technique," *arXiv*, 2014, [Online].
- A. Sadilek, S. Caty, L. DiPrete, R. Mansour, T. Schenk Jr, M. Bergtholdt, A. Jha, P. Ramaswami, E. Gabrilovich, "Machine-learned epidemiology: real-time detection of foodborne illness at scale," *arXiv*, 2018, [Online].