GENETIC ANALYSIS OF RICE (*Oryza sativa* L.) GENOTYPES UNDER WET DIRECT SEEDING SITUATION

B. SRINIVAS*[1]. Y CHANDRAMOHAN[2], D PADMAJA[1], S. THIPPESWAMY[3] AND S. LAXMAN[4]

[1] Regional Agricultural Research Station, Jagtial, PJTSAU, Telangana -505 529

[2] RRC, Agricultural Research Institute, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad – 500 0 30

[3] Syngenta India Limited, Hyderabad

[4] PJTSAU, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana – 500 0 30

e-mail: srinu.bdd@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Present investigation was carried out with 35 rice genotypes under wet direct seeding condition at Regional Agricultural Research Station, Jagtial, PJTSAU, Telangana during kharif, 2016. High GCV and PCV values for important yield contributing traits *viz*., 1000 grain weight (24.41, 24.62) and number of grains per panicle (26.17, 29.30) were observed. High heritable and genetic advance values for 1000 grain weight (98.30, 49.86) and number of grains per panicle (79.80, 48.16) indicated the role of additive genes and these traits can be improved by simple selections. Effective bearing tillers/M2 recorded low values of heritability (25.40) and genetic advance (5.19) suggesting the exploitation of heterosis for improvement of this important yield character. Effective bearing tillers/M2, plant height and panicle length exhibited significant correlations with yield in desirable direction, hence direct selection for these traits could improve the yield. Highest inter cluster distance was observed between clusters IV and VI (27.75) followed by III and VI (24.69), IV and V (22.34) and III and V (22.23) thus high heterotic hybrids can be developed by making crosses between genotypes of the clusters. 1000 grain weight (44.2%) contributed highest towards total divergence followed by days to 50% flowering (40.17%) and plant height (10.76). cluster II and IV recorded lowest means for plant height and hence genotypes from these clusters can be used as parents for development of dwarf varieties desirable for wet direct seeding condition.

INTRODUCTION

Rice, a major food crop of the globe is being cultivated in wider area of 1.58 billion hectares in the world. At present, over 70% of global rice is grown in wetlands with puddling followed by transplanting which requires large quantities of water (Chakraborthy *et al*., 2107). Compared with other cereal crops such as wheat and maize, transplanted flooded rice consumes two or three times more water (Barker *et al*. (1998), Carriger and Vallee (2007)). It has been reported that water up to 5000 liters is used to produce 1 kg of rough rice (Bouman, 2009). Crop establishment in transplanted rice consists of major operations like nursery raising for 25-30 days in nursery bed, seedling uprooting and transplanting in the main field and requires much time, labour and water. The productivity and sustainability of rice-based systems are threatened because of the increasing scarcity of resources, especially water and labor, changing climate, the emerging energy crisis and the rising cost of cultivation (Ladha *et al*., 2009). These factors triggered the scientific community in search of alternate methods of paddy cultivation in place of traditional transplanting method. Wet direct seeding is one of the alternate crop establishment methods in which sowing of germinated seedlings is done on perfectly leveled soil in puddled condition. Wet direct seeding method skips the major part of transplanting method of paddy cultivation i.e nursery raising thereby saves much of time and labor and reduces the crop period by 7-10 days compared to traditional method. Many factors like present high yielding varieties with lodging nature, shallow root system, increased weed growth, heavy rains during sowing time are the reasons for low yield levels in direct seeding condition compared to transplating method. Li Xu *et al*. (2019) reported 12% lower yields in direct seeding method over traditional practice. Development of suitable varieties with non lodging nature could be one of the best approaches to reduce these yield gaps. Hill seeding, lodging resistant cultivars, optimum nitrogen dose, seeding rates, depth and method of sowing can help to overcome lodging (Jagmohan Kaur and Avtar Singh, 2017). A little research has been done regarding the genetic analysis of rice under wet direct seeding condition, hence, the present investigation was carried out to study the gene action governing the yield traits and type of association among the characters under wet direct seeding situation and to exploit the heritable variation present in the experimental material in framing the breeding methodologies to develop varieties suitable for wet direct seeding conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out at Regional Agricultural Research Station, Jagtial, PJTSAU, Telangana situated at 18º50'20.24" N latitude, 78º56'54.20" E longitude and 249 m above mean sea level during Kharif, 2016. 35 rice genotypes (Table 1) were evaluated for genetic analysis under

wet direct seeding condition. Germinated seeds were sown on puddled and perfectly leveled field with a spacing of 20 cm between the rows and 10 cm between the plants. Each entry is sown in two replications with a plot size of 6.0 M². The crop was grown with the application of N, P and K fertilizer @ 100, 50 and 40 kgha^{-1} respectively and necessary plant protection measures were taken for raising the healthy crop. Data were recorded at maturity on 10 random plants for each entry from each replication for effective bearing tillers/M$_2$, plant height (cm), panicle length (cm) and number of grains per panicle. Days to 50% flowering and grain yield (kg/ha) were recorded on whole plot basis and random sample was used for recording 1000 grain weight. After computation the mean data was subjected to analysis of variance (Panse and Sukhatme, 1985). Genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variations were estimated as per Falconer, 1981. The estimates of PCV and GCV were classified as low (< 10%), medium (10-20%), and high (> 20%) (Sivasubramanian and Madhavamenon, 1973). Broad-sense heritability (h2) was calculated as the ratio of the genotypic variance to the phenotypic variance using the formula according to Allard (1960) and they were categorized using the criteria of Robinson *et al.* (1949): 0-30% = low; 31-60% = moderate; > 60% = high. Genetic advance was calculated as per Burton, (1952) following standard procedures. Correlation coefficients were calculated as per the method suggested by Johnson *et al.* (1955) and the analysis of genetic divergence was done using Mahalanobis (1936) D2 statistics. Grouping of genotypes into clusters was carried out following Tocher's method (Rao, 1952). Intra and inter-cluster distances and cluster means for the characters were also computed. Contribution of each trait towards total genetic divergence was estimated from the number of times it appeared in first rank. WINDOSTAT software version 8.1was used for statistical analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The highest and lowest extreme values for each trait offers ample chances for the genetic improvement of the crops through selection and recombination of lines with the desired levels of expression (Assefa *et al.*, 2000). Mean values and range for all 7 traits under study were depicted in Table 2. The present investigation under wet direct seeding condition disclosed all three levels of magnitude of phenotypic and genotypic coefficient of variation. Low PCV and GCV values were noted for days to 50% flowering, effective bearing tillers/M², plant height and panicle length indicating less variability among the genotypes studied for these traits and thus less scope for improvement. Selection in segregating generations

i.e., from F$_2$ to F$_6$ of divergent crosses for these characters under wet direct seeding condition or exploring and exploitation of natural source of variation in breeding programme could generate the variability which can be used for development of varieties suitable for direct seeding method of cultivation. High values were obtained for 1000 grain weight and number of grains per panicle whereas, grain yield recorded moderate values for PCV and GCV. Thus, it can be manifested that present experimental material has exhibited ample variability for yield contributing traits *viz.*, 1000 grain weight and number of grains per panicle and hence there is much scope for improvement of these traits through simple selection, in turn, the dependent variable yield can be maximized under wet direct seeding condition. All the characters exhibited narrow differences between PCV and GCV values indicated minimal interference of environment on expression of these traits thus selection to improve the characters could be the best practice and needs further investigation under this specific situation. Yadav *et al.* (2011), Thippeswamy *et al.* (2016) and Jadhav *et al.* (2020) noted similar findings from their studies. .

The extent of transmission of a trait to its offsprings is estimated by heritability. The characters studied in the present investigation expressed low to high heritability estimates ranging from 25.40 (Effective bearing tillers/M²) to 98.30 (Days to 50% flowering and 1000 grain weight) (Table 2). Based on heritability studies, transmission of days to 50% flowering, plant height, panicle length, 1000 grain weight and number of grains per panicle was found high to its progeny. Hence, selection of dwarf plant types in segregating generations based on phenotypic appearance can be done that result in development of non lodging varieties which are suitable for wet direct seeding condition. Non lodging nature in rice is one of the desirable traits that contribute much for development of varieties suitable for direct seeding method, Ravikanth Bendi *et al.* (2017). Similarly, as the variation present in flowering duration is highly heritable, selection of early genotypes could be an added advantage. Besides, the present material is the good source for development of high yielding rice varieties suitable for wet direct seeding situations as important yield contributing characters *viz.*, 1000 grain weight and number of grains per panicle exhibited high heritable variation. Based on data, it was observed moderate levels of transmission of dependent and complex trait *i.e* grain yield to its offspring. Characters with higher heritability values are not subject to a great amount of non-heritable or environmental variability, instead they are genetically fixed. Such values for quantitative traits are useful to plant breeders who can make selections for these traits on a phenotypic basis Kaul and Bhan (1974). The

Table 1: Details of genotypes used in the present study

Genotype	Source
JGL 26973, JGL 18047, JGL 24497, JGL 24267, JGL 24527, JGL 24548, JGL 25154, JGL 26956, JGLH 1, JGLH 37, , JGL 24423, , JGL 30090, JGL 18629, JGL 19607, JGL 21078, JGL 21820, JGL 21831, JGL 25925, JGL 27361, JGL 27371, JGL 28542, JGL 3844, JGL 24309, JGL 24513, JGL 26959, JGL 26961, JGL 23183, JGLH 6	Jagtial, Telangana
MTU 1010, MTU 1156, MTU 1121	Maruteru, Andhra Pradesh
NDR 359	Faziabad, Uttar Pradesh
NLR 34449	Nellore, Andhra Pradesh
PSBRC 1-2-1-2	IRRI. Philippines
Pusa 44	IARI, New Delhi

Table 2: Genetic parameters for yield and yield attributes in rice

Character	Mean	Range		GCV	PCV	h2 (Broad sense)	GA in % over Mean
		Min	Max				
Days to 50% flowering	78.29	65.5	94.5	8.22	8.29	98.3	16.77
Effective bearing tillers/M2	227.86	197	273	5	9.93	25.4	5.19
Plant height (cm)	90.01	69	98.8	6.11	6.4	91.1	12
Panicle length (cm)	23.85	20.7	26.1	4.11	5.27	61	6.61
1000 grain weight (g)	19.98	11.55	28.21	24.41	24.62	98.3	49.86
Number of grains/panicle	203.04	110	367	26.17	29.3	79.8	48.16
Grain yield (kg/ha)	6573.31	5091.5	8308	9.22	14.79	38.9	11.84

Table 3 : Phenotypic and Genotypic correlation coefficients among yield and yield contributing traits in rice

Character		Days to 50% flowering	Effective bearing tiller/M2	Plant height	Panicle length	1000 grain weight	Number of grain/ panicle	Grain Yield
Days to 50% flowering	P	1	0.0344	-0.071	-0.0221	-0.1477	0.0672	-0.1044
	G	1	0.0554	-0.06	0.0005	-0.1493	0.0605	-0.1027
Effective bearing tillers/M2	P		1	-0.081	0.146	-0.0142	-0.246*	0.2344
	G		1	-0.172	0.3998**	-0.0249	-0.5307**	0.7097**
Plant height	P			1	0.4389**	0.347**	-0.1635	0.3484**
	G			1	0.5071**	0.3588**	-0.1974	0.5014**
Panicle length	P				1	0.3342**	-0.1422	0.3219**
	G				1	0.4261**	-0.1946	0.1183
1000 grain weight	P					1	-0.5763**	0.1429
	G					1	-0.6522**	0.2018
Number of grains/panicle	P						1	-0.0697
	G						1	-0.132

P: Phenotypic correlation coefficients, G: Genotypic correlation coefficients

Table 4: Grouping of genotypes into different clusters in rice

Cluster	No. of genotypes	Genotype
I	16	JGL 26973, JGL 18047, JGL 24497, MTU 1010, JGL 24267, JGL 24527, JGL 24548, JGL 25154, JGL 26956, JGLH 1, JGLH 37, WDR 359, JGL 24423, MTU 1156, JGL 30090
II	10	JGL 18629, JGL 19607, JGL 21078, JGL 21820, JGL 21831, JGL 25925, JGL 27361, JGL 27371, JGL 28542, JGL 3844 NLR 34449
III	6	JGL 24309, JGL 24513, JGL 26959, JGL 26961, PSBRC 1-2-1-2, MTU 1121
IV	1	JGL 23183
V	1	Pusa 44
VI	1	JGLH 6

Table 5: Average intra (diagonal) and inter cluster distances (Tocher method) for 35 rice genotypes

	Cluster I	Cluster II	Cluster III	Cluster IV	Cluster V	Cluster VI
Cluster I	6.77	13.63	14.01	17.91	12.75	13.14
Cluster II		7.33	15.15	11.58	13.97	19.76
Cluster III			8.34	11.16	22.23	24.69
Cluster IV				0	22.34	27.75
Cluster V					0	10.35
Cluster VI						0

Table 6: Cluster means and percent contribution of each character towards total divergence of 35 rice genotypes for 7 characters

Cluster	Days to 50% flowering	Effective bearing tillers/M2	Plant height (cm)	Panicle length (cm)	1000 grain weight (g)	Number of grains /panicle	Grain yield (kg/ha)
Cluster I	75	226	91.5	24.3	23.43	184	6808
Cluster II	80	228	86.2	23	13.61	259	6383
Cluster III	87	231	92.6	23.9	22.52	169	6664
Cluster IV	89	227	92.2	25.1	14.12	229	6242
Cluster V	66	219	93.4	23.1	16.29	150	5717
Cluster VI	66	246	82.9	24	22.73	173	5367
% Contribution of character	40.17	0	10.76	1.18	44.2	1.85	1.85
Number of times ranked first	239	0	64	7	263	11	11

variation present in the experimental material for the trait effective bearing tillers per M^2 might be highly influenced by the environment under present method of cultivation and is not heritable, thus improvement of this trait through simple selection is not possible and hence there is need to improve this important yield contributing character through various breeding approaches.

Generally, large heritable values show the relative ease with which selection can be made based on phenotype, but their practical utility in breeding is further enhanced if accompanied by concomitantly high genetic advance estimates (Johnson *et al.*, 1955). Thus, estimates of genetic advance along with heritability provide a clear cut platform for selection. It also indicates the presence of additive genes in the characters and further suggests reliable crop improvement through selection for such traits. In the present investigation, genetic advance values (Table 2) ranged from low (5.19) for effective bearing tillers per M^2 to high (49.86) for 1000 grain weight. High genetic advance coupled with high heritability was observed for 1000 grain weight and number of grains per panicle. Since these two traits are important yield contributing characters and as evident by being governed by additive genes, large amount of genetic gain in yield under wet direct seeding situation could be achieved by simply selecting superior genotypes based on phenotypic performance of these two traits. Whereas, even though high heritability was observed for days to 50% flowering, plant height and panicle length, genetic advance is at low to moderate levels indicating equal role of additive and non additive genes on these traits, thus selection along with other breeding approaches like heterosis breeding or recurrent selection could be effective for improvement of these characters. Effective bearing tillers per M^2, which is most important trait contributes to higher yields in rice exhibited low levels of both heritability and genetic advance and completely governed by non additive genes hence, improvement of this character is possible by heterosis breeding approach. Yield, a complex and dependant trait exhibited moderate levels of heritability and genetic advance governed by both additive and non additive genes. Jamal *et al.* (2009), Ketan and Sarkar (2014), Khaire *et al.* (2017), Kunkerkar *et al.* (2017), Dev Nidhi Tiwari *et al.* (2019) and Jadhav *et al.* (2020) quoted similar results from their findings.

 Study of association between yield and yield traits at genotypic level under wet direct seeding condition could be much helpful to the breeders in understanding association among the desirable traits which play a key role in development of varieties suitable for direct seeding. Genotypic correlations, which are based on the heritable part of the observed variation, enable the assessment of the pattern of inherent relationship that existed between various traits (Abdul Fiyaz *et al.*, 2011). In present investigation, the important yield contributing traits *viz.*, effective bearing tillers per M^2, plant height and panicle length exhibited significant correlations with yield in positive direction (Table 3), hence practicing of strong selection for these traits for generations would ultimately endup with high yielding elite genotypes which can be either used as varieties for wet direct seeding situations or could be used as source for development of varieties. The remaining traits exhibited very week association with yield. Effective bearing tillers per

M^2 and plant height exhibited higher and significant correlations at genotypic level compared to corresponding phenotypic correlations which might be due to masking effect of the environment (Singh, 1980). Significant and positive correlations among the traits indicated strong association of effective bearing tillers per M2 with panicle length, plant height with panicle length and 1000 grain weight and panicle length with 1000 grain weight clearly denote that selection for any one of these traits leads to simultaneous improvement of other trait. The similar findings were reported by Yadav *et al.* (2011), Abdul Fiyaz *et al.* (2011), Ratna *et al.* (2015), Ronghua *et al.* (2019), Keerthiraj *et al.* (2019) and Dev Nidhi Tiwari *et al.* (2019).

The distribution of 35 genotypes into 6 clusters (Table 4) is random and highest number of genotypes (16) were grouped into cluster I followed by cluster II (10) and cluster III (6). Single genotype is allotted to each of IV, V and VI clusters. Grouping of genotypes into different clusters had suggested the presence of ample amount of divergence in present experimental material studied and allotment of genotypes from various sources to same cluster and genotypes developed from same geographical area into different clusters indicates that grouping pattern does not relate to geographical origin. Murty and Arunachalam (1966) stated that genetic drift and selection in different environments could cause greater diversity than geographical distance. These findings are similar to the reports of Toshimenla *et al.* (2016), Chandramohan *et al.* (2016), Thippeswamy *et al.* (2016) and Sowmiya and Venkatesan (2017). Highest intra cluster distance of 8.34 (Table 5) was observed for cluster III suggesting the exploitation of genotypes from these cluster as parents based on their highest mean performance. Least intra cluster distance (6.77) of cluster I indicate the presence of less divergence among the genotypes of this cluster for the characters studied as these genotypes might be developed by practicing unidirectional selection pressure for one or more traits. Degree of divergence between the parents is the key for a successful breeding programme. Highest inter cluster distance of 27.75 (Table 5) was observed between clusters IV and VI, followed by cluster III and VI (24.69), cluster IV and V (22.34) and cluster III and V (22.23), thus crosses between these clusters would result high heterosis in F_1 progeny. Contribution of each trait to the total divergence (Table 6) indicated that the present experimental material is more divergent for the character 1000 grain weight (44.2%) followed by days to 50% flowering (40.17%) and plant height (10.76%), whereas, panicle length (1.18%), number of grains per panicle (1.85%) and grain yield (1.85%) contributed least to the total divergence. Ravikanth Bendi *et al.* (2017) reported highest contribution of divergence by test weight and plant height from their studies under direct seeding method. Cluster means (Table 6) for the traits under study pointed out that, greater range was observed for 1000 grain weight *i.e* from 13.61 g (cluster II) to 23.43 g (cluster I) followed by for days to 50% flowering *i.e* from 65.5 days to (cluster VI) to 88.5 days (cluster IV). Early maturity character in rice is more advantageous as it accommodates 2-3 crops per year. Thus, genotypes from cluster V and VI can be use as parents for development of extra early varieties. Non lodging nature is most important feature for a rice variety to make it suitable for wet direct seeding method of cultivation. Plant height is one of

the stem morphology traits associated with the lodging anomaly (Assefa *et al.*, 2000) and dwarfness is a desirable trait contributes for non lodging nature. Hence, genotypes fall in clusters II and IV are the best choice for developing high yielding dwarf varieties. As observed greater divergence for the trait 1000 grain weight, present experimental material could be the great source for development of fine, super fine and coarse grain varieties. Genotypes from clusters II, IV and V can be used for development of fine varieties whereas, coarse grain varieties could be developed by using genotypes from clusters I, III and VI as parents in breeding programmes.

The present investigation provided the basic idea regarding the gene action governing the traits and type of correlations among the yield and yield attributing characters under wet direct seeding condition. Direct selection for effective bearing tillers per M^2, plant height and panicle length would maximize the yields under this situations. Present material could be the good source for development of varieties with different grain segments and maturity groups. Earliness in rice is a wanted trait for development of short duration varieties; hence cultivars from clusters V and VI can be utilized in breeding programmes to develop high yielding extra early genotypes. Dwarfness in rice is most desirable trait associated with non lodging nature and hence, genotypes from clusters II and IV with low mean values for plant height are the choice of the breeders for development of non lodging cultivars suitable for wet direct seeding method.

REFERENCES

Abdul Fiyaz, R., Ramya, K.T., Chikkalingaiah, Ajay, B.C., Gireesh, C and Kulkarni, R.S. 2011. Genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis studies in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under alkaline soil condition. *Electronic J. Plant Breeding*. **2(4):**531-537.

Allard, R.W. 1960. Principles of plant breeding. Publishers by John Wiley and Sons Inc. New York USA. 485.

Assefa, K., Ketema, S., Tefera, H., Kefyalew, T and Hundera, F. 2000. Trait diversity, heritability and genetic advance in selected germplasm lines of tef [Emgmstis tef (Zucc.) Trotter]. *Hereditas*.**133:** 29-37.

Barker, R., Dae, D., Tuong, T., Bhuiyan, S and Guerra, L. 1998. The outlook for water resources in the year 2020: challenges for rice research on water management in rice production. IRRI, Los Banos. 2.

Bouman, B.A.M. 2009. How much water does rice use? Rice Today. **8:**28–29.

Burton, G.W. 1952. Quantitative inheritance in grasses. Proceeding of sixth International Congress. PP.277-283.

Carriger, S and Vallée, D. 2007. More crop per drop. Rice Today. **6:**10–13.

Chakraborty, D., Ladha, J.K., Rana, D.S., Jat, M.L., Gathala, M.K., Yadav, S., Rao, A.N., Ramesha, M.S and Raman, A.A. 2017. Global analysis of alternative tillage and crop establishment practices for economically and environmentally efficient rice production. *Sci. Rep.* **7:** 1-11.

Chandramohan, Y., Srinivas, B., Thippeswamy, S and Padmaja, D. 2016. Diversity and variability analysis for yield parameters in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes. *Indian J. Agric. Res.* **50(6):** 609-613.

Dev Nidhi Tiwari , Santosh Raj Tripathi, Mahendra Prasad Tripathi , Narayan Khatri, and Bishwas Raj Bastola. 2019. Genetic Variability

and Correlation Coefficients of Major Traits in Early Maturing Rice under Rainfed Lowland Environments of Nepal. *Advances in Agriculture*. PP.1-9.

Falconer, D.S. 1981. Introduction to quantitative genetics. Oliver and Boyd, London. 3.

Jadhav, S.P., Kunkerkar, R.L,, Thorat, B.S., Gawai, M.P and Keluskar, M.H. 2020. Variability and genetic parameters studies in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *J. Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. **9(1):** 1375-1377.

Jagmohan Kaur and Avtar Singh. 2017. Direct seeded rice: Prospects, problems/constraints and researchable issues in India. *Current Agriculture Research J.* **5(1):** 13-32.

Jamal, Ifftikhar, H., Khalil, Abdul Bari, Sajid Khan, Islam Zada. 2009. Genetic variation for yield and yield components in rice. *J. Agricultural and Biological Science Asian Research Publishing Network (ARPN)*. **4(6):**1990-6145.

Johnson, H.W., Robinson, H.F and Comstock, R.E. 1955. Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybean. *Agron. J.* **47:** 314-318.

Kaul, M.L.H and Bhan, A.K. 1974. Studies on some Genetic Parameters of Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*. **44:** 178-183.

Keerthiraje, B., Biju, S. and Anju, M. Job. 2020. Character association and path analysis for seed yield and lodging traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes. *The Bioscan*. **15(1):** 135-140.

Ketan, R and Sarkar, G. 2014. Studies on variability, heritability, genetic advance and path analysis in some indigenous Aman rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *J Crop and Weed*. **10:**308-315.

Khaire, A.R., Kunkerkar, R.L., Thorat, B.S., Gavai, M.P and Bhave, S.G. 2017. Studies on genetic variability for yield and yield contributing traits in local rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *J. Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. **6(5):**1376-1378.

Kunkerkar, R.L., Ingale, S.N., Thorat, B.S and Devmore, J.P. 2017. Studies on genetic variability for quantitative and qualitative traits in North-East Indian Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *J. Rice Research*. **10(2):**18-22.

Ladha, J.K., Singh, Y., Erenstein, O. and Hardy, B. 2009. Integrated Crop and Resource Management in the Rice. Wheat System of South Asia. PP.69-108.

Le Xu, Xiaoxiao Li, Xinyu Wang, Dongliang Xiong and Fei Wang. 2019. Comparing the Grain Yields of Direct-Seeded and Transplanted Rice: *A Meta-Analysis. Agronomy*. **9:** 1-14.

Mahalanobis, P.C. 1936. On the generalized distance in statistics. Proceedings of the National Institute of Sciences of India. **2:** 49–55.

Murty, B. R and Arunachalam, V. 1966. The nature of divergence in relation to breeding system in some crop plants. *Indian J. Genet.* **26:** 188-198.

Panse, V.G and Sukhatme, P.V. 1985. Statistical methods for agricultural workers, 2nd edition ICAR, New Delhi. P.361.

Rao, C.R. 1952. Advance Statistical Methods in Biometrical Research. John Wiley and Sons, New York.

Ratna, M., Begum, S., Husna, A., Dey, S.R and Hossain, M.S. 2015. Correlation and path coefficients analyses in basmati rice. Bangladesh *J. Agril. Res.* **40(1):** 153-161.

Ravikanth Bendi, Satyanarayana, P.V. and Chamundeshwari, N. 2017. Study of genetic diversity among elite genotypes of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) for direct seeding traits. *The Bioscan*. **10:** 54-59.

Robinson, H.F., Comstock, R.E and Harvey, P.H. 1949. Estimation of heritability and the degree of dominance in corn. *Agron. J.* **41:** 353-359.

Ronghua, Li., Meijuan, Li., Umair Ashraf, Shiwei Liu and Jiaen Zhang. 2019. Exploring the Relationships Between Yield and Yield-Related

Traits for Rice Varieties Released in China From 1978 to 2017. *Frontiers in Plant Science.* **10:** 1-12.

Singh, R.P. 1980. Association of grain yield and its component in F1 and F2 population of rice. *Oryza.* **17:** 200-204.

Sivasubramanian and Madhavamenon. 1973. G and P variability in rice. *Madras Agric. J.* **60:**1093-1096.

Sowmiya, C.A and Venkatesan, M. 2017. Studies on Genetic Diverity in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* **6(9):** 1749-1756.

Thippeswamy, S., Chandramohan, Y., Srinivas, B and Padmaja, D. 2016. Selection of diverse parental lines for heterotic hybrid development in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). SABRAO *J. of Breed, and Genet.* **48(3):** 285-294.

Toshimenla, Jogendra Singh and Sapu Changkija. 2016. Genetic divergence studies on upland rice grown in Nagaland. India. I*ndian J. Agric. Res.* **50(6):** 555-560.

Yadav, S.K., Pandey, P., Kumar, B and Suresh, B.G. 2011. Genetic architecture, inter relationship and selection criteria for yield improvement in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Pakistan J. Biological Sciences.* **14(9):** 540-54.