

$\sigma - p.i.c.$ – functors in the category of *Tych* Tikhonov spaces and continuous mappings into themselves and dimension \dim .

Gulchekhra Mukhamedova,

Candidate of pedagogical sciences, docent of the department of "General Mathematics" of Tashkent State Pedagogical University named after Nizami.

e-mail: muxamedovagulchekhra74@gmail.com, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1252-5790>.

Feruza Saydalieva,

Candidate of pedagogical sciences, docent of the department of "General Mathematics" of Tashkent State Pedagogical University named after Nizami.

e-mail: fsaidaliyeva@gmail.com

Dilnoza Ibragimova,

assistant of the department of "General Mathematics" of Tashkent State Pedagogical University named after Nizami.

e-mail: ibragimovadilnoza1983@gmail.com

Kamariddin Zhuvonov,

Assistant of the department of "Higher mathematics" Tashkent Institute of Irrigation and Agricultural Mechanization Engineers, National Research University.

e-mail: qamariddin.j@mail.ru,

Tursunboy Zhuraev,

Doctor of Physical and Mathematical Sciences, Professor of the "Department of General Mathematics" at Tashkent State Pedagogical University named after Nizami.

e-mail: tursunzhuraev@mail.ru, <https://orcid.org/0009-0005-5379-3862>

DOI: [https://doi.org/10.63001/tbs.2024.v19.i02.S.I\(1\).pp09-18](https://doi.org/10.63001/tbs.2024.v19.i02.S.I(1).pp09-18)

KEYWORDS

Normal functor,
locally convex
subfunctor,
weakly countable
dimension,
finitely open functor,
projectively inductively
closed functor,
functor,
finite functor.

Received on:

25-07-2024

Accepted on:

09-11-2024

ABSTRACT

This note studies the geometric, topological and dimensional properties of the $\sigma - p.i.c.$ -functor and its subfunctors in the category of *Tych* – Tychonov spaces and continuous self-maps. It is shown that $\sigma - p.i.c.$ Functors preserve weakly countable-dimensional spaces, Σ –paracompact, σ – paracompact, p – paracompact, metrizable and stratifiable spaces.

Considering a number of subfunctors F of the functor P of probability measures that are $\sigma - p.i.c.$ – functors, their various topological and dimensional properties are studied, properties in the category of *Top* – topological spaces and continuous mappings into themselves.

MSC. 54B15, 54B30, 54B35, 54C05, 54C60, 54O30.

INTRODUCTION

For metric compact sets X there is a simple topological classification of the spaces $P(X)$ of all probability measures. In the case of a finite n -point space $X = \{n\}$ the points μ of the space $P(n) = P_n(n)$ are convex linear combinations of Dirac measures:

$$\mu = m_0\delta(0) + m_1\delta(1) + \dots + m_{n-1}\delta(n-1)$$

Therefore, they are naturally identified with the points of the $(n-1)$ dimensional simplex σ^{n-1} . In this case, Dirac $\delta(i)$ are formed by the vertices of the simplex, and the masses m_i placed at points i are the barycentric coordinates of the measure μ . Thus, the compact set $P(n)$ is affinely homeomorphic to the simplex σ^{n-1} [1-2].

In the case of an infinite compact space X , the space $P(X)$ is also known that any χ_1 -degree of a non-one-point compact set K , the space of all probability measures $P(K^{\chi_1})$ is homeomorphic to the Tikhonov cube I^{χ_1} , $P(K^{\chi_1}) = I^{\chi_1}$, I - segment $[0,1]$. Note, in particular, that all these spaces are topologically homogeneous. A for spaces $P(K^{\chi_1})$ for $\tau > \chi_1$ the situation is different [3].

For an arbitrary compact set X and a measure $\mu \in P(X)$, its support $\text{supp}(\mu)$ is defined, which is

$$\mu = m_1\delta(x_1) + m_2\delta(x_2) + \dots + m_n\delta(x_n)$$

with finite supports, for each of which $m_i \geq \frac{n}{n+1}$ for some i ;

$$P_{f,n}(X) = \{\mu \in P_f(X) : |\text{supp}\mu| \leq n\}.$$

Hence, the compact subspace $P_f(X)$ is also the union of compacts $P_{f,n}(X)$ those.

$$P_f(X) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{f,n}(X). \text{ It is obvious that } P_{f,n}(X) \subseteq P_n(X) \text{ and } P_f(X) \subseteq P_{\omega}(X).$$

Obviously, for a metric compact set X and any

$P(X)$ is also a compact space [3]. Further, it, containing simplices of an arbitrarily large number of dimensions, is infinite-dimensional [4]. By Cayley's theorem, the convex compact set $P(X) \subset R^{C(X)}$ is affinely embedded in ℓ_2 . Consequently, by Keller's theorem, the compact set $P(X)$, as an infinite-dimensional convex compact set lying in ℓ_2 , is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube $Q = I^{\chi_0}$ [2]. On the other hand, the space $P(X)$ of all probability measures on a compact set X is called the set of all regular Borel probability measures on X , equipped with the weakest of the topologies for which each functional $f_u : C(X) \rightarrow R$, which takes the measure μ to $\mu(U)$ (U is an open set in X) [5].

the smallest of the closed sets $F \subset X$ for which $\mu(F) = \mu(X)$ i.e.

$$\text{supp } \mu = \bigcap \{A : \mu(A) = \mu(X)\};$$

$P_n(X) = \{\mu \in P(X) : |\text{supp}\mu| \leq n\}$ - the set of all measures μ at most n supported,

$P_{\omega}(X) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n(X)$ - the set of all probability measures μ with finite supports[4-5]. Recall that the space $P_f(X) \subset P(X)$ consists of all probability measures [1]

$n \in N$, the sets $P_n(X)$, $P_f(X)$, $P_{f,n}(X)$ are closed in $P(X)$.

Consequently, the subspace $P_{\omega}(X) \subset P(X)$ and $P_{\omega}(X)$ is σ -compact, everywhere dense in $P(X)$.

Let $P^c(X)$ denote the set of all measures $\mu \in P(X)$, the support of each of which lies in one of the connected components of the space (compact space) X [2].

The closedness of the set $P^c(X)$ in the compact set $P(X)$ is quite obvious. The inclusion $P(f)(P^c(X)) \subset P^c(Y)$ follows from the fact that the functor P , like any normal functor, preserves supports, i.e.

$$\cdot \text{supp}(P(f))(\mu_1) = f(\text{supp}\mu).$$

Thus, the subfunctor P^c of the functor P is defined. An epimorphism $f: C \rightarrow I$ of a Cantor perfect set onto an interval shows that the functor P^c does not clearly preserve epimorphisms in the same way that $P^c \neq P_1$, while as $P^c(n) = n = P_1(n)$. Therefore, we get $P_f^c \equiv P_f \bigcap P^c$, $P_{f,n}^c \equiv P_f \bigcap P^c \bigcap P_n$, $P_n^c \equiv P^c \bigcap P_n$, $P_\omega(X) \bigcap P^c = P_\omega^c$.

For an infinite compact set $X \in \text{Comp}$ and a normal or seminormal functor $F: \text{Comp} \rightarrow \text{Comp}$ of infinite degree [1]. Let us accept the following notation[6]:

$$1) F_{\nabla}(X) = F(X) \setminus \eta_F(X); \text{ For } n=1$$

we identify $F_{\nabla}(X)$; $F_{\nabla_1}(X)$;

2)

$$F_n(X) = \{a \in F(X) : |\text{supp}(a)| \leq n\}.$$

$\text{supp}(a)$ denotes the support of the point $a \in F(X)$;

$$3) F_{\nabla_n}(X) = F(X) \setminus F_n(X).$$

4)

$$S_F(A) = \{a \in F(X) : \text{supp}(a) \bigcap A \neq \emptyset, A \neq \emptyset \text{ and } A \subset X\};$$

5)

$$F_{nk}(X) = F_n(X) \setminus F_k(X), n > k, n \geq 2;$$

$$6) F_\omega(X) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n(X);$$

$$7) F_{\nabla_\omega}(X) = F(X) \setminus F_\omega(X);$$

$$8) F_{\omega n}(X) = F_\omega(X) \setminus F_n(X);$$

Recall that the topological space Y is called an absolute (neighborhood) retract in the class K (written $Y \in A(N)R(K)$), if $Y \in K$ and for any homeomorphism h , mapping Y onto a closed subset $h(Y)$ of the space X from the class K , the set $h(Y)$ is a retract (neighborhood) of the space X [7].

Recall that a topological space X is called a manifold modeled on the space Y , or a Y -manifold [7], if every point in the space X has a neighborhood homeomorphic to an open subset of the space X .

A Q -manifold is a separable metric space locally homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube Q [7], where

$$Q = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} [-1, 1]_i; \quad \text{Hilbert cube} \quad [8],$$

$$W_i^\pm = \{(g_j) \in Q \mid g_i = \pm 1\} \quad j\text{-th face of the Hilbert}$$

cube Q , $BdQ = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} W_i^\pm$ – is called the pseudo-boundary of the cube Q , and $S = Q \setminus BdQ$ – the pseudo-interior of the cube Q . It is known that

$$S = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (-1, 1)_i.$$

In the theory of infinite-dimensional manifolds, the following objects play an important role: the Hilbert cube Q , the separable Hilbert space ℓ_2 , \sum – the linear hull of the

standard brick $Q' = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} [0, \frac{1}{2^n}]$ in the Hilbert space

ℓ_2 , via ℓ_2^f or σ denotes the linear subspace of the Hilbert space ℓ_2 , consisting of all points only a finite number of coordinates of which is nonzero, and Q^f is the subspace of the Hilbert cube Q , consisting of only a finite number of points the number of coordinates of which is different from zero.

By the Anderson-Kadets theorem [9], the Hilbert space ℓ_2 is homeomorphic to C [9]. From Bessaga-Pelczynski's results it follows that \sum is homeomorphic to $\text{rint}Q$ and σ ; ℓ_2^f ; Q^f [9]. Here $\text{rint}Q$ denotes the set $\{x = (x_n) \in Q \mid |x_n| < t < 1 \text{ for all } n \in N\}$. Further, it is obvious that $\text{rint}Q \approx BdQ$, which means it is true: $BdQ \approx \sum$.

It is known that the spaces Q , \sum and ℓ_2 are strongly infinite-dimensional, and the spaces ℓ_2^f , σ and Q_f are weakly infinite-dimensional and all these spaces are homogeneous.

Definition [10]. A closed set A of space X is called Z -set in X if the identity mapping id_X of the space X can be approximated arbitrarily closely by the mappings $f: X \rightarrow X \setminus A$.

A countable union of Z -sets in X is called a σ - Z -set in X .

Following [9], a σ - Z -set B of a Hilbert cube Q is called a boundary set in Q (denoted by $B(Q)$) if $Q \setminus B \approx \ell_2$. More generally, a boundary set in a Q -manifold is a σ - Z -set whose complement is a ℓ_2 -manifold.

From the above it follows that the pseudo-boundary

BdQ of the Hilbert cube Q is its boundary set.

Let X be a topological space.

A set $A \subset X$ is called homotopy dense in X [10] if there is a homotopy $h(x, t) : X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ such that $h(x, 0) = id_X$ and $h(X \times (0, 1]) \subset A$.

An A set $A \subset X$ is homotopy negligible in X if $X \setminus A$ is homotopy dense in X .

An embedding $e : Y \rightarrow X$ is homotopy dense (resp. homotopy negligible) if $e(Y)$ is a homotopy dense set (resp. homotopy negligible) in X [5].

Definition 1. A covariant functor $F : Comp \rightarrow Comp$ is called normal [3] if the following conditions are met: continuous; monomorphic; epimorphic; saves: weight; intersections; prototypes; point and empty set;

Definition 2. The functor $F : Comp \rightarrow Comp$ is called [11] regular if the following are satisfied: monomorphic; epimorphic, continuous, preserving intersections and preimages.

Definition [2]. A normal subfunctor F of a functor P_n is said to be locally convex if the subset $F(n)$ of the simplex $P_n(n)$ is locally convex.

Let F and G be functors. A natural transformation $T : F \rightarrow G$ between us is the system of mappings $T_X : F \rightarrow G, T_Y : F \rightarrow G$, where X is a space such that for any mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between the spaces X and Y the following holds: $G(f) \circ T_X = T_Y \circ F(f)$.

A functor F is called a subfunctor of a functor G if there is a natural transformation $T : G \rightarrow F$ such that T_X embedding for any X .

Main part

For a continuous mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between the Tikhonov spaces X and Y , a mapping $\beta f : \beta X \rightarrow \beta Y$ is defined, which satisfies the conditions

$$F(\beta f)(F(\beta X)) \subset F_\beta(Y) \quad (1)$$

$$f(supp(a)) \supset supp(F(f)(a)) \quad (2)$$

where

$$F_\beta(X) = \{a \in F(\beta X) : supp(a) \subset X\},$$

βX is the Stone Chekhov extension of the space X .

For a functor F and an element $a \in F(X)$, the

support of a point $supp(a)$ is the intersection of all closed sets of the space X such that $a \in F(A)$ i.e. $supp_F(a) = \bigcap \{A \subset X : A \text{ is closed and } a \in F(A)\}$

Definition 3. A functor $F : Tych \rightarrow Tych$ is called compact (σ – compact) if $F(K)$ is compact (σ – compact) for any space $X \in Comp$.

Comment 4. For any functor $F : Comp \rightarrow Comp$, the functor F_β is compact, but not every compact functor in $Tych$ is of type F_β . As examples, we can consider the functors P_R and P_τ of Radon and τ -additive probability measures, respectively (see [11]).

Returning to the $F : Comp \rightarrow Comp$ functors, we obviously have

$$a \in F(supp(a)). \quad (3)$$

If the functor F preserves preimages. then F preserves supports [11], i.e.

$$f(supp(a)) = supp(F(f)(a)). \quad (4)$$

The (1.4) property can be converted.

Proposition 5 [1]. Any functor in $Comp$ is support-preserving if and only if it is preimage-preserving.

From the definition of the functor F_β and the property (4) it follows that

$$f(supp_{F_\beta(X)}(a)) = supp_{F_\beta(Y)} F_\beta(f)(a) \quad (5)$$

for any preimage-preserving functor $F : Comp \rightarrow Comp$, Tikhonov spaces X and Y , continuous mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $a \in F_\beta(X)$.

For the category C we denote by $O(C)$ and $M(C)$ the family of all objects C and the family of all morphisms C respectively. Let G and F be functors in some category $C \subset Top$. A natural transformation $i : G \rightarrow F$ is a family of mappings $i_X : G(X) \rightarrow F(X)$, $X \in O(C)$, such that

$$F(f) \circ i_X = i_Y \circ G(f) \quad (6)$$

for any $f \in M(C)$.

The mappings i_X are called components of the transformation i . A functor G is called a subfunctor of a

functor F if there is a natural transformation $i: G \rightarrow F$ such that all its components i_X are embeddings. This natural transformation of i is called the embedding of G into F . If $i: G \rightarrow F$ is an embedding, then (6) implies

$$G(f) = i_Y^{-1} \circ F(f) \circ i_X \quad (7)$$

Comment 7. Usually subfunctors arise in a fairly natural way. For example, in the category $Comp$ the functor \exp^C of subcontinuum hyperspaces is built into the functor exp of hyperspaces of closed subsets from the very beginning. Let's assume this is a standard situation. This means that the functor G is a subfunctor of F if the natural transformation (embedding) $i: G \rightarrow F$ consists of identical embeddings, i.e. $G(X)$ is a subspace in $F(X)$ for any space X . In this case, the condition (7) is equivalent to the condition

$$F(f)(G(X)) \subset G(Y). \quad (8)$$

Definition 8. Let F_k -subfunctor of the functor F in $Comp$, defined as follows. A-priori. $F_k(\emptyset) = F(\emptyset)$. For a non-empty compactum X , $F_k(X)$ is the image of the mapping $\pi_{F,X,k}$. For a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$, $F_k(f)$ is the restriction of $F(f)$ to $F_k(X)$. Let $\bar{f}: C(k, X) \rightarrow C(k, Y)$ denote the mapping that takes ξ onto the composition $f \circ \xi$. It's easy to see that

$$\pi_{Y,k} \circ \bar{f} \times id_{F(\{k\})} = F(f) \circ \pi_{X,k} \quad (9)$$

Therefore, $F(f)(F_k(X)) \subset F_k(Y)$.

Therefore F_k is a functor. Clear. F_k is a subfunctor of F with unit embedding $F_k(X) \subset F(X)$ for an arbitrary compact set X .

A functor F is called a degree functor k (they write $\deg F = k$) if $F_k(X) = F(X)$ for any compact set X , and $F_{k-1}(X) \neq F(X)$ for some X [3].

Proposition 9[11]. For any continuous functor F and compact set X we have

$$F_k(X) = \{a \in F(X) : |supp(a)| \leq k\}.$$

The media definition and properties (3) imply

Proposition 10[13]. For a functor F , a compact space X and a closed subset A in X we have

$$F(A) = \{a \in F(X) : supp(a) \subset A\}.$$

Proposition 11[13]. Let F be a functor in $Tych$, A be a closed subset of X , $a \in F(X)$ and $supp(a) \subset A$. Then

$F(f)(a) = a$ for any mapping $f: X \rightarrow X$ such that $f|_A = id_A$.

Proposition 12[14]. Let F be a regular functor in $Comp$,

and G its regular subfunctor. Then

$$G(A) = G(X) \cap F(A)$$

for any compact set X and its closed subset A .

From this definition of a carrier we get

Proposition 13[14]. Let F be a functor in $Comp$, and G its subfunctor. Then

$$supp_{F(X)}(a) \subset supp_{G(X)}(a)$$

for any compact set X and $a \in G(X)$.

Proposition 14[14]. Let F be a regular functor in $Comp$, G its regular subfunctor. Then

$$supp_{F(X)}(a) = supp_{G(X)}(a)$$

for any compact set X and $a \in G(X)$.

From propositions 9 and 14 it follows

Proposition 15[15]. Let F be a regular functor of degree $\leq k$ in $Comp$, and let G be its regular subfunctor. Then $\deg G \leq k$.

From the equality (7) we immediately obtain

Proposition 16[15]. Let F be a continuous functor in $Comp$, and G its continuous subfunctor. Then $G_k \subset F_k$ for any natural number k .

Proposition 17[15]. Let F be a regular functor in the category $Comp$, and let G be a regular subfunctor in F . Then

$$G_\beta(X) = G(\beta X) \cap F_\beta(X)$$

for any Tikhonov space X .

Proposition 18[11]. Let F be a functor in the category $Comp$, and G be its subfunctor. Then G_β is a subfunctor of F_β .

About closed subfunctors and their sums

Definition 19[16]. Let F be a functor acting in the category $! \subset Top$, and let G be a subfunctor of F . A functor G is called a closed subfunctor of a functor F if for any $X \in O(C)$ the space $G(X)$ is a closed subspace of $F(X)$.

The next statement is trivial.

Proposition 20[16]. In the category $Comp$, each subfunctor is closed.

From Proposition 8 and Proposition 20 we get

Corollary 21[6]. For every continuous functor

$F: Comp \rightarrow Comp$ and a natural number k , the functor F_k is a closed subfunctor of F .

Returning to the category $Tych$, for a Tikhonov space X , a continuous functor $F: Comp \rightarrow Comp$ and a positive integer k , we set

$$F_k(X) = \pi_{F,\beta,k}(C(k), X) \times F(k). \quad (10)$$

We also put

$$F_k(\emptyset) = F(\emptyset). \quad (11)$$

Let us now denote the restriction of $\pi_{F,\beta,k}$ to

$C(k) \times F(k)$ by $\pi_{F,X,k}$. If $g: X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous map, then

$$F(\beta g)(F_k(X)) \subset F_k(Y),$$

taking into account the equality (9) to display $f = \beta g$. Therefore the setting

$$F_k(g) = F_k(\beta g)|_{F(X)},$$

we obtain the mapping $F_k(g): F_k(X) \rightarrow F_k(Y)$.

Thus, we have defined a covariant functor

$$F_k: Tych \rightarrow Tych,$$

The equality (12) gives

Corollary 23[17]. For every continuous functor

$F: Comp \rightarrow Comp$ and a natural number k , the functor $(F_k)_\beta$ is a closed subfunctor of F_β .

Comment 24[17]. Proposition 9 states that the definitions of the functor F_k [1] and Basmanov [3] coincide for any continuous functor F in $Comp$. However, we will use both definitions depending on the situation and denote them $F_{k,\beta}$ and $F_{k,b}$ respectively. As for the equality (10), we can assume that it defines the functor $(F_\beta)_{k,b}$. Thus, the equality (12) can be written in the form

$$(F_\beta)_{k,b} = (F_{k,b})_\beta = (F_{k,b})_\beta. \quad (13)$$

Proposition 25[17]. If G is a regular subfunctor of a regular functor F in $Comp$, then G_β is a closed subfunctor of F_β .

Definition 26. [11]. A functor F is said to be finitely open if the set $F_k(k+1)$ is open in $F(k+1)$ for any natural number k . The dual of this definition states that $F(k+1) \setminus F_k(k+1)$ is closed in $F(k+1)$.

Comment 27[17]. As an example of a finitely open functor, we can take any finitary functor (or finite functor) F , i.e. a functor F such that $F(k)$ is finite for any positive number k . In particular, the hyperspace functor $\exp[3]$ is finite and therefore finitely open.

Proposition 28[11,17]. If F is a regular finitely open functor, and G is its regular subfunctor, then G is finitely open.

Recall that an epimorphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be inductively closed if there is a closed subset A in X such that $f(A) = Y$ and $f|_A$ is a closed mapping.

Definition 29[16-17]. A continuous functor $F: Comp \rightarrow Comp$ is said to be projectively inductively closed (p.i.c.) if the mapping $\pi_{F,X,k}$ is inductively closed for any Tikhonov space X and a positive integer k .

Theorem 30[16-17]. Every continuous finitely open functor F preserving the empty set and preimages is a p.i.c. functor.

Corollary 31[17]. Every finitary normal functor, in

this extends the functor $F_k: Comp \rightarrow Comp$ to the category $Tych$.

The following statement follows from Proposition 9.

Proposition 22. [17]. If $F: Comp \rightarrow Comp$ is a continuous functor, then $F_k: Tych \rightarrow Tych$ is a subfunctor of the functor F_β , and

$$F_k(X) = F_\beta(X) \cap F_k(\beta X). \quad (12)$$

particular, the functor \exp_k , is a p.i.c.-functor.

Let $F: Tych \rightarrow Tych$ be a functor and $F^n \subset_{cl} F, n \in \omega$. We say that F is a union of F^n (i.e. $F = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} F^n$), if $F(X) = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} F^n(X)$ for any Tikhonov space X [17].

Definition 32[17]. The functor $F: Tych \rightarrow Tych$ is called σ -p.i.c. functor if $F = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (F^n)_\beta$, where each F^n is a p.i.c.-functor of finite degree.

Example 33[17]. Taking into account Remark 27 and Theorem 30, the functor $\exp_\omega = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp_n$ is the functor σ -p.i.c.

The next statement is obvious.

Proposition 34[17]. If $F = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} F^n$, where each F^n is a functor σ -p.i.c., then F is a functor σ -p.i.c. Recall that $P_n: Comp \rightarrow Comp$ denotes the functor of Borel regular probability measures. This functor is normal [1,2,4]. According to Proposition 22 and Remark 24, we will denote $(P_k)_\beta$ by P_k .

Theorem 35. The functor P_k is a σ -p.i.c.-functor for any natural number k .

Comment 36. In fact, we have proven more: the functor P_k is the union of its normal finitely open subfunctors $(P_k^n)_\beta$.

We will say that the σ -p.i.c.-functor F has degree k if in the representation $F = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} F^n$ (from Definition 32[17]) each functor F^n has degree $\leq k$.

Proposition 37[17]. Let G_1 and G_2 be normal subfunctors of the normal functor $F: Comp \rightarrow Comp$ of finite degree $\leq k$. Then their intersection $G = G_1 \cap G_2$ is a normal functor of degree $\leq k$.

Proposition 38. Let G_1, G_2 be normal subfunctors of the normal functor F in $Comp$. Then

$$(G_1)_\beta \cap (G_2)_\beta = (G_1 \cap G_2)_\beta$$

Theorem 39[11,17]. Let F be a normal subfunctor of P_k in $Comp$. Then F_β is a σ -p.i.c.-functor.

Definition 40[18]. A normal space X is said to be weakly countable-dimensional if X is the union of a countable family of closed subsets X_i such that $\dim X_i < \infty$ for each i .

The next two statements are trivial.

Proposition 41[18]. Every closed subspace of a weakly countable-dimensional space is a weakly countable-dimensional space.

Proposition 42[18]. Every normal space that is the union of a countable family of its closed weakly countable-dimensional subspaces is weakly countable-dimensional.

A completely regular space X is called a cirrus (p -space) [19] if there exists a countable family U_n of coverings of the space X by sets covered in its Stone-Cech extension $betaX$ such that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} 3_b(X, U_n) \subset X$ for each $x \in X$.

A space X is called a \sum -space[19] if there exists a σ -discrete family N and covered C consisting of closed countably compact sets such that if $c \in C$ and $C \subset U$, then $C \subset F \subset U$ for some $F \in N$, where U is open in X .

A space that has a σ -locally finite network is called a σ -space[19]. those. X is a countable sum of locally finite families F_i , each of which is a network of the space X .

A T_1 -space X is called a stratifiable (or lace) space (in short, a G -space) [19] if each open set $U \subset X$ can be associated with a sequence $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ open subsets in such a way that the following conditions are satisfied:

- a) $\bar{U}_n \subset U$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$; b) $\bigcup \{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = U$; c) if $U \subset V$, then $U_n \subset V_n$ for all n .

Theorem 43[11]. Let F -p.i.c. be a functor of finite degree transforming finite sets into finite-dimensional compact sets, let X be a weakly countable-dimensional space, and let X belong to one from the following classes:

- a) \sum -paracompact spaces; b) p -paracompact spaces;
c) σ -paracompact spaces; d) stratified spaces;
e) metrizable spaces.

Then $F_\beta(X)$ is a weakly countable-dimensional space.

Corollary 44[11]. Let F be a normal finitary functor of finite degree, in particular, the functor \exp_k , X be a weakly countable-dimensional space, and let X belongs to one of the

following classes:

- a) \sum -paracompact spaces; b) p -paracompact spaces;
c) σ -paracompact spaces; d) stratified spaces;
e) metrizable spaces.

Then $F_\beta(X)$ is a weakly countable-dimensional space.

Corollary 45[11]. Let F be a normal finitary functor of finite degree, in particular, the functor \exp_k , X be a weakly countable-dimensional space, and let X belong to one of the following classes:

- a) \sum -paracompact spaces; b) p -paracompact spaces;
c) σ -paracompact spaces; d) stratified spaces;
e) metrizable spaces.

Then is a weakly countable-dimensional space.

Recall that for a normal functor $F : Comp \rightarrow Comp$, by F_ω they denote the σ -compact subfunctor F_β , defined as:

$$F_\omega(X) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k(X) \text{ for any Tikhonov space } X.$$

$$\text{Thus, } F_\omega = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k.$$

Theorem 46[17]. If X is a weakly countable-dimensional space that is p -paracompact (in particular, metrizable) or stratifiable M , then $P_\omega(X)$ is weakly countable-dimensional.

Proposition 41 and Theorem 46 give

Corollary 47[17]. If X is a weakly countable-dimensional space that is either p -paracompact (in particular, metrizable) or stratifiable, then $F(X)$ is a weakly countable-dimensional space for an arbitrary closed subfunctor $F \subseteq P_\omega$.

Applications

Theorem [2]. Every locally convex subfunctor F of a functor P_n preserves the property of the space to be an $A(N)R$ -compact space and the property of a compact space to be a Q -manifold or a Hilbert brick.

Theorem [4]. Let X be a weakly countable-dimensional $A(N)R(M)$ space, and let F be a locally convex subfunctor of P_n . Then $F(X) \in A(N)R(M)$. In particular, $P_n(X) \in A(N)R(M)$.

Corollary [4]. Separable spaces $P_f(X)$, $P_f^c(X)$, $P_{f,n}(X)$ and $P_{f,n}^c(X)$ is metrizable by a complete metric if and only if X itself is separable and metrizable by a complete metric.

Corollary [8]. Let A be a Z -set of a Q -variety. Then the set A has a neighborhood homeomorphic to

an open subset of the space Q .

From this corollary we can conclude that if a Z – set A is connected in Q , then OA is a neighborhood of the cube Q and \overline{OA} ; Q . those. any connected Z – set A of a Hilbert cube Q has a closed neighborhood homeomorphic to the cube Q itself.

Lemma [5-6]. Let $A \subset Q$ be a Z – set and \overline{OA} ; Q . If \overline{OA} ε – is close to id_Q , where $\overline{O(A)}$ is convex, then there is a retraction $r : Q \rightarrow Q$, ε – close to id_Q .

Has the following

Lemma [5-6]. For any compact set X , if the mapping $f : X \rightarrow P(X)$ ε – is close to id_X , then $\psi \circ P(f) : P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$ then ε – close and $id_{P(X)}$, where $\psi : P^2(X) \rightarrow P(X)$ – retraction of the monad [6].

Theorem [5-6]. Functors $P_f, P_f^c, P_{f,n}, P_{f,n}^c, P_n, P_n^c$ and F – preserves the property of the layer in the mappings being Q – , \sum – , and ℓ_2^f – manifolds, where F – are locally convex subfunctors of the functor P_n .

Corollary [5-6]. Functors $P_f, P_f^c, P_{f,n}, P_{f,n}^c, P_n, P_n^c$ and F –preserves a) ℓ_2^f – varieties. In particular, $P_f(\ell_2^f) \approx P_f^c(\ell_2^f) \approx P_{f,n}(\ell_2^f) \approx P_{f,n}^c(\ell_2^f) \approx P_n(\ell_2^f) \approx P_n^c(\ell_2^f) \approx F(\ell_2^f) \approx \ell_2^f$; where F – is a locally convex subfunctor of the functor P_n ;

b) Functors $P_f, P_f^c, P_{f,n}, P_{f,n}^c, P_n, P_n^c$ and F – preserves \sum – varieties. In particular, $P_f(\sum) \approx P_f^c(\sum) \approx P_{f,n}(\sum) \approx P_{f,n}^c(\sum) \approx P_n(\sum) \approx P_n^c(\sum) \approx F(\sum) \approx \sum$, where F – locally convex subfunctors of the functor P_n .

Theorem [5]. Let F – be a continuous functor with finite supports preserving separable $A(N)R$ – spaces. Then preserves \sum – varieties.

Theorem [5]. For functors $F = P_{f,n}^c, P_{f,n}, P_f$

, P_f^c and locally convex subfunctors of the functor P_n the following pairs are homeomorphic:

$$(F(Q), F(S)); (Q, S)$$

$$(F(Q), BdQ); (Q, BdQ).$$

Note that the subspaces $P(X) \setminus P_n(X)$, $P(X) \setminus P_{f,n}(X)$ and $P(X) \setminus P_f(X)$ are a Q – variety for any infinite compact set X and any $n \in N$. In the particular case $P(Q) \setminus P_n(Q)$, $P(Q) \setminus P_{f,n}(Q)$, $P(Q) \setminus P_f(Q)$ – is a Q – variety. A subspace $P(X) \setminus P_\omega(X)$ is G_σ – set in $P(X)$.

For an infinite compact set X and a functor $\exp X$, the subspace $\exp_\nabla(X)$ and $\exp_{\nabla n}(X)$ are open in $\exp X$, $\exp_{nk}(X)$ is open in $\exp_n(X)$, $\exp_\omega(X)$ is a countable union of compacta in $\exp X$, i.e. $\exp_\omega(X)$ – σ – compact. On the other hand, $\exp_\omega(X)$ is dense everywhere in $\exp X$. a $\exp_{\nabla\omega}(X)$ is a F_δ –subspace of the space $\exp X$, the subspace $F_{\omega n}(X)$ is an open set in $F_\omega(X)$.

The normal subfunctor of the functor P_n is the n – th symmetric degree functor SP^n . The component T_X of the natural transformation $T : SP^n \rightarrow P_n$ is defined by the equality

$$T_X[(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)] = \frac{1}{n}, \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{x_i}$$

From the results of the main part it follows that the functor P_n and all its subfunctors are σ – $p.i.c.$ functors.

Consequently, the functor SP^n is also a σ – $p.i.c.$ functor, i.e. functors $P_f, P_f^c, P_{f,n}, P_n, P_{f,n}^c, P_\omega, P_\omega^c$ and F – are σ – $p.i.c.$ – functors, where F is a locally convex subfunctor of the functor P_n .

Note that the spaces ℓ_2^f and \sum are everywhere dense subsets of the Hilbert cube Q and are preserved by the reduced functors F , then $\overline{F(\ell_2^f)}$ and $\overline{F(\sum)}$ is

homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube Q i.e. $\overline{F(\ell_2^f)} \cong Q$ and $\overline{F(\sum)}; Q$, where F is one of the following functors: locally convex functors, $P_n, P_n^c, P_{f,n}, P_{f,n}^c, SP^n, P_f$ and P_f^c .

On the other hand, for these functors F , by Theorem 5.5[6]-5.8[6], $\overline{F(\ell_2^f)} = F(Q) = Q$ holds and $\overline{F(\sum)} = F(Q) = Q$.

Therefore, the following holds:
 $F(Q) \setminus F(\ell_2^f); S$

$$F(Q) \setminus F(\sum); S.$$

For any compact set X we have:

$$SP^n(X) \subset SP^n(X) \subset P_n(X).$$

Theorem 1. For any infinite compact set X and for any $n \in N$, the subspace $P(X) \setminus SP^n(X)$ is homotopy dense in $P(X)$.

Proof. Let X be an infinite compact space. In this case $P(X); Q$. We fix $n \in N$. Take the measure $\mu_0 = m_1 \delta_{x_1} + \dots + m_k \delta_{x_k}$, where $k > n, \sum_{i=1}^k m_i = 1, m_i > 0, m_i \neq m_j, \forall i \neq j$.

We construct the homotopy $h(\mu, t): P(X) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow P(X)$ with a flat slope $h(\mu, t) = (1-t)\mu + t \cdot \mu_0$
 For $t = 0$,
 $h(\mu, 0) = (1-0)\mu + 0 \cdot \mu_0 = \mu$ those.
 $h(\mu, 0) = id_{P(X)}$

For $t > 0$,
 $h(\mu, t) = (1-t)\mu + t \cdot \mu_0 \in SP^n(X)$, so how $supph(\mu, t)$ consists of than $(n+1)$ -points. This means that the subspace $P(X) \setminus SP^n(X)$ is homotopy dense in $P(X)$.

Since the compact set $SP^n(X)$ is closed in $P(X)$, it follows that for an infinite compact set X and a natural number $n \in N$ the subspace $P(X) \setminus SP^n(X)$

is open in $P(X)$ i.e. $P(X) \setminus SP^n(X)$ are Q -varieties. On the other hand, by virtue of Theorem [13], for any infinitely compact set X and for a natural number $n \in N$, the compact set $SP^n(X)$ is a Z -set in $P(X)$.

For an infinite compact set X we set $SP_\omega(X) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} SP^n(X)$. In this case we have

Theorem 2. For any infinite compact set X and any natural number $n \in N$, the subspace $P(X) \setminus SP^n(X)$ is a Q -manifold and a subspace $SP_\omega^n(X) - \sigma - Z$ -set in $P(X)$.

It is known that $SP^n(Q) = Q$ and $SP^n(X) \in A(N)R$, if $X \in A(N)R$. Note that $SP_\omega(X) \subset P_\omega(X)$. For the functor SP^n and the Hilbert cube Q the following holds:

- 1) $SP_\Delta^n(Q) -$ is a Q -manifold, since the subspace $SP_\Delta^n(Q)$ is open in $SP^n(Q)$;
- 2) $SP_{\Delta k}^n(Q) = SP^n(Q) \setminus SP^k(Q)$ are Q -varieties, since for $k < n$ $SP^n(Q) \setminus SP^k(Q)$ is open in $SP^n(Q)$.

Theorem 3. For any infinite compact set X and for any $n \in N$, the subspace $P_{\omega n}(X)$ is homotopy dense in $P_\omega(X)$.

Proof. Let X be an infinite compact set and $n \in N$. Note that the space $P_\omega(X) \in AR$, since $P_\omega(X)$ is convex and locally convex. We construct the desired homotopy $h(\mu, t): P_\omega(X) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow P_\omega(X)$ flat $h(\mu, t) = (1-t)\mu + t \cdot \mu_0$, where $\mu_0 \in P_{2n}(X)$. those. $|supp \mu_0| = 2n$.

if $t = 0$, then
 $h(\mu, 0) = (1-0)\mu + 0 \cdot \mu_0 = \mu$ those.
 $h(\mu, 0) = id_{P_\omega(X)}$.

if $t > 0$, then
 $h(\mu, t) = (1-t)\mu + t \cdot \mu_0 \in P_n(X)$, since $supph(\mu, t)$ contains more than $(n+1)$ distinct points. those. $h(\mu(0, 1]) \in P_{\omega n}(X)$.

From this Theorem 3 it follows that the compact set $P_n(X)$ is a Z -set in $P_\omega(X)$. those. the space

$P_\omega(X)$ is a $\sigma - Z$ - set. We noted that for any compact set X the following holds: $SP^n(X) \subset P_n(X)$.

From Theorem 3 it follows

Corollary 1. For any infinite compact set X and for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the compact set $SP^n(X)$ is a Z - set in $P_\omega(X)$.

Corollary 2. For any infinite compact set X , the subspace $SP_\omega(X)$ is a $\sigma - Z$ - set in $P_\omega(X)$.

Using the given properties of $\sigma - p.i.c.$ - functors and theorem [10] (Problem 16 § 1.2), the following can be easily proven.

Theorem 4. For $\sigma - p.i.c.$ functors $F : P_n$, $P_n^c, P_{f,n}, P_{f,n}^c, SP^n, SP_\omega, P_\omega, P_\omega^c$ and locally convex subfunctors P_n holds:

$$aF(\ell_2^f); Q;$$

$$aF(\sum); Q.$$

where $aF(X)$ is the one-point Alexandrov compactification of the space $F(X)$.

For a functor of symmetric degree SP^n we have:

1. For the segment $X = [0,1]$ the space $SP^n(X = I)$; σ^n is a standard simplex of dimension n ; those. $SP^n([0,1]) \approx \sigma^n$;

2. If $X = S^2$ is a sphere in R^3 , then $SP^n(S^2)$; CP^n is a projective (complex) space dimensions n ;

3. If $X = S^1$ is a circle in R^2 , then $SP^2(S^1)$; $\exp_2 S^1$; M_2 - Miyobius sheet in R^3 ;

4. If $X = S^1$ - circle in R^2 , then $\exp_3 S^1$; S^3 - sphere of dimension 3 in R^4 , where $\exp_3 S^1$ is a set consisting of no more than

5. If X is a circle in R^2 , then $\exp_c S^1$; B^2 is a closed circle in R^2 , where $\exp_c S^1$ consists of connected continua of the circle $S^1 \subset R^2$.

REFERENCES

- Федорчук В.В. Ковариантные функторы в категории компактов, абсолютные ретракты и Q -многообразия Успехи матем. наук. Москва, 1981. Т.36. Вып.3.с. 177-195.
- Федорчук В.В. Вероятностные меры в топологии. Успехи

матем. наук. Москва, 1991. Т.46. Вып.1 277. с.41-80.

- Щепин Е.В. Функторы и несчетные степени компактов Успехи мат. наук. Москва, 1981. Т.36. 3. с. 3-62.
- Жураев Т.Ф. Некоторые геометрические свойства подфункторов функтора P вероятностных мер. Москва, МГУ, 1989. 60 с., Деп. в ВИНТИ АН СССР 05.07.89. 4471-В89.
- Жураев Т.Ф. Некоторые геометрические свойства функтора вероятностных мер и его подфункторов: Дис. канд. физ.-мат.-М: МГУ, 1989. 90 с.
- Заричный М.М. Абсолютные экстензоры и геометрия умножения монад в категории компактов. Мат. сб. 1991, 182, 9, с. 1261-1280.
- Богатый С.А., Федорчук В.В. Теория ретрактов и бесконечномерные многообразия Итоги науки и техники. Алгебра. Топология. Геометрия, 1986. Т.24. с. 195-270.
- Чепмэн Т. Лекции о -многообразиях. Москва: Мир, 1981.- 170 с.
- Bessaga C., Pelczunski A. Selected topics in infinity dimensional topology. PWN, Warsaw, 1975, 58, 353 p.
- Banakh, T. Radul, M. Zarichnyi Absorbing sets in infinite - dimensional Manifolds, Math Studies Monogh. Ser. V.1. VNTL Publishers, 1996, 232 p.
- Жураев Т.Ф., Геометрические и топологические свойства пространств, являющихся значениями некоторых ковариантных функторов. Ташкент.2022, Доктор.-дис. Мат. инс. Романовского АН Узбекистана, 198 с.
- Banakh Т.О. Topology of probability measure spaces. I. Matem Stud. Proc. Lwow Math. Soc. 5, 1995, p. 65-87.
- Ayupov Sh. A. Zhuraev T. F. On projectively inductively closed subfunctors of the functor P of probability measures. Journal of Mathematical Sciences. 2020. Vol. 245(3). pp. 382-389.
- Zhuraev T.F. On projectively quotient functors. Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae, 2001. V.42. 3. p.p. 561-573.
- Zhuraev T.F. On paracompact spaces and projectively inductively closed functors. Applied General Topology. 2002. Vol.3(1). pp. 33-44.
- Zhuraev T.F. On paracompact spaces, projectively inductively closed functors, and dimension. Mathematica Aeterna, International Journal for Pure and Applied Mathematics. Bulgariya. 2015. 6. pp. 175-189.
- Zhuraev T.F. On dimension and $\sigma - p.i.c.$ functors. Mathematica Aeterna, International Journal for Pure and Applied Mathematics. Bulgariya 2014, 6, pp.577-596.
- Федорчук В.В. Слабосчетномерные пространства Усп. мат. Наук, 2007, Т. 62, 2 (374), с.109-164.
- Энгелькин Р. Общая топология. Москва. Мир, 1986. 752 с.