EFFECT OF FUNGICIDES, TRICHODERMA AND PLANT EXTRACTS ON MYCELIAL GROWTH OF THIELAVIOPSIS PARADOXA, UNDER IN VITRO CONDITION

RANJANA CHAKRABARTY, G. C. ACHARYA* AND T. C. SARMA¹

Central Plantation Crops Research Institute, Kahikuchi, Guwahati - 781 017, Assam ¹Department of Botany, Gauhati University, Guwahati - 781 014, Assam e-mail: gobinda1971@gmail.com

KEYWORDS

Coconut Antagonists Fungicides Botanicals, Thielaviopsis paradoxa

Received on: 04.09.012

Accepted on: 19.01.2013

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

Stem bleeding disease caused by *Thielaviopsis paradoxa* is one of the major diseases of coconut and is prevalent in almost all the coconut growing countries. The present study was carried out to test the efficacy of antagonist, fungicides and plant extracts in inhibiting the pathogen, under *in vitro* condition. *Thielaviopsis* was isolated from young lesion of affected coconut palm of CPCRI, RC, Kahikuchi, Guwahati. Among the three species of *Trichoderma* tested so far, *T. viride* was found to be the most effective with 61.62% inhibition followed by *T. harzianum* and *T. virens* with 60.80 and 59.49 per cent inhibition, respectively, over control after 96h of incubation period. The fungicides, Calixin (Tridemorph 80EC) @ 0.3% showed 100 per cent inhibition over control whereas Ridomil MZ-72, Blitox-50 and Bavistin showed 92.00, 91.55 and 91.44 per cent inhibition over control after 144h of incubation, respectively, Among the forty five plant extracts tested, the aqueous extract (10%) of *Allium sativum*, exerted 100 per cent inhibition of the pathogen over control after 48h, 72h and 96h of incubation compared to other extracts.

INTRODUCTION

Stem bleeding is the most common and well known disease of coconut and is prevalent in almost all the coconut growing countries. It was first reported (Petch, 1906) from Sri Lanka and later from India and other countries. In India, the disease is prevalent in almost all coconut growing states. Survey conducted in different parts of Assam revealed that the per cent disease incidence ranged from 1-16% with maximum incidence in Kamrup district. The consensus of opinion is that Ceratostomella paradoxa (de seynes) Dade, or Thielaviopsis paradoxa (de Seynes) von Hohnel (the imperfect stage of the fungus), is involved in the disease. Petch (1906) observed that Thielaviopsis paradoxa is a weak wound pathogen associated with the disease. The causal organism, Thielaviopsis paradoxa is a soil borne pathogen. Infection usually occurs at the basal portion of the trunk and starts with the exudation of dark reddish liquid from the longitudinal cracks in the bark. Subsequently, the liquid dries up and turns black. The tissues below the lesions become water soaked and get discolored. The lesion spread upwards as the disease progresses. In advance stages, production of bunch is affected, nut shedding takes place. Presence of growth cracks on trunk and severe summer followed by water stagnation, imbalanced nutrition act as predisposing and disease aggravating. Control of the disease through phytosanitation and application of hot coal tar did not offer satisfactory control (Nambiar and Sastry, 1988).

Biological control through the use of antagonistic microorganism and locally available botanicals for the development of integrated management strategy against the disease has emerged as a viable option (Alvindia and Natsuaki, 2008). Trichoderma spp. is considered to be antagonistic to many soils borne and plant pathogenic fungi (Prasad et al., 2002; Ramanujam et al., 2005 and Suleman et al., 2008). Locally available botanicals secrete anti-fungal metabolites that substantiate their action against certain fungi. IDM practices used to develop a combination of specific chemicals with bio-agents and botanicals with an aim to reduce the nonavailability of bio-agents and botanicals at specific period of times and which are also environment-friendly. Since the pathogen is soil borne, it is essential to adopt an integrated approach involving antagonistic organisms, fungicides and aqueous plant extract for effective disease management. The present study was carried out to investigate the role of all three components in inhibiting the growth of the fungus in vitro and subsequent formulation of IDM practices against the pathogen.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The fungus, *T. paradoxa* was isolated from diseased sample collected from stem bleeding affected coconut palm on potato dextrose agar. Bio agents, *Trichoderma harzianum*, *T. viride* and *T. virens*; six fungicides and aqueous extract of forty five locally available plant species were evaluated *in vitro* for their inhibitory efficacy against *T. paradoxa*. *Trichoderma* spp. which

was reported as antagonistic to a number of plant pathogens were isolated from the rhizosphere of coconut palms of CPCRI, RC, Kahikuchi farm, Guwahati on *Trichoderma* specific medium with captan (TSMC) (Elad and Chet, 1983). These isolates were purified and tested for their antagonism against the pathogen.

In vitro assay of antagonists

In vitro antagonism of the three species of *Trichoderma* against *T. paradoxa* was tested by dual culture technique on PDA medium (Dhingra and Sinclair, 1985). A Petri plate inoculated only with *T. paradoxa* served as control. All the plates were incubated at room temperature $(28\pm2^{\circ}\text{C})$. Each experiment was replicated three times. Observation on mycelial growth of the pathogen was recorded upto 96h of incubation. The per cent inhibition over control was calculated.

In vitro assay of fungicides

The comparative toxicity of fungicides on the growth of the fungus under *in vitro* condition was evaluated by poisoned food technique (Nene, 1971). Fungicides viz., Bavistin (Carbendazim 50% WP), Blitox-50W (Copper Oxychloride 50% WP), Calixin (Tridemorph 80 EC), Captaf (Captan 50%WP), Dithane M-45 (Mancozeb), and Ridomil MZ-72 @ 0.3% were used for *in vitro* assay. Stock solutions of the fungicides were prepared in sterile distilled water and added aseptically to sterilized PDA medium to get the required concentrations and then poured into petriplates. The plates prepared without any fungicides served as control. These plates were inoculated with 7 mm disc of four day old culture of the test fungus and incubated at $28 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C for 6 days.

In-vitro assay of botanicals

Forty five locally available botanicals were tested for their antifungal property against *T. paradoxa* by poisoned food technique (Nene, 1971) under *in vitro* condition. Fresh leaves of test plants were taken for preparing crude extracts. The leaves were thoroughly washed with water and fine slurry was prepared by taking 100g leaves with 100 mL of distilled water. The resultant slurry was filtered through muslin cloth and then

Table1: Inhibition of mycelial growth of T. paradoxa by bio-agents

Bio-agent	Per cent inhibition over control			
	48 h	72 h	96 h	
T.viride	35.37	63.91	61.62	
T. harzianum	32.89	63.08	60.80	
T.virens	32.33	57.77	59.49	
C.D. (0.05)	1.05	0.68	0.69	
CV (%)	2.27	0.80	0.83	

Table 2: Efficacy of fungicides against Thielaviopsis paradoxa

Table 2. Efficacy of full gicides against Timela viopsis paradoxa						
S. No.	Fungicides	Per cent inhibition over control				
	-	48h	96h	144h		
1	Bavistin@0.3%	86.95 (9.32)	91.44 (9.56)	91.44 (9.56)		
2	Blitox 50@0.3%	100 (10.00)	100 (10.00)	91.55 (9.57)		
3	Calixin@0.3%	100 (10.00)	100 (10.00)	100 (10.00)		
4	Captaf@0.3%	86.78 (9.31)	91.33 (9.70)	89.67 (9.47)		
5	Ridomil@0.3%	100 (10.00)	100 (10.00)	92.00 (9.59)		
6	Mancozeb@0.3%	84.24 (9.18)	85.89 (9.27)	66.67 (8.16)		
CD (0.05)		0.013	0.067	0.050		
CV (%)		0.08	0.39	0.30		

^{*}Figure in the parenthesis is transformed value.

through Whatman No.1 filter paper and the extracts were used as stock solution. From the stock solution, 10mL was added with 90mL of medium to make 10% concentration. The medium was thoroughly shaken for uniform mixing of extract. Twenty mL of medium was poured into sterile Petri plates. Mycelium of seven mm size discs from periphery of actively growing culture were cut out by sterile cork borer and one such disc was placed at the centre of each agar plate. Control was also maintained by growing the pathogen on PDA plates. Then such plates were incubated at 28±1°C for 96h and radial growth was measured. The efficacy of plant products or botanicals was expressed as percent of radial growth over the control, which was calculated by using the formula (Vincent, 1947).

 $I = \frac{(C - T)}{C} \times 100$

Where,

I = Percent inhibition over control

C = Radial growth in control

T = Radial growth in treatment

The values obtained in different categories are transformed, wherever necessary and subjected to statistical analysis (Panse and Sukhatme, 1995) for treatment comparison.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of bio-agents on mycelial growth of T. paradoxa

Antagonistic fungi viz., Trichoderma harzianum (AF1), T. viride (AF2) and *T. virens* (AF3) were isolated from the soil samples of coconut rhizosphere. The identification was confirmed according to the identification key (Rifai, 1969) based on the branching of conidiophores, shape of phialides, emergence of phialospores and shape of phialospores. The three fungal cultures isolated from soil were found to have inhibitory effect on the mycelial growth of the pathogen (Table 1) and data showed that degree of inhibition was maximum with T. viride (61.62%), followed by T. harzianum (60.80%) and T. virens (59.49%) after 96 h of incubation. No significant difference was observed between T. viride and T. harzianum for percent inhibition after 96h. However, both these bioagents showed significant result when compared to T. virens. Gunasekaran et al. (1986) and Bhaskaran (1990) reported that T. viride and T. harzianum are potentially antagonistic to Ganoderma lucidum and can be successfully employed in the bio-control of basal stem rot disease. Trichoderma virens (Uduma isolates), T. harzianum (Kallangai isolate), T. viride (IISR, Calicut isolate)

Table 3: Efficacy of aqueous extract of botanicals on mycelial growth of *Thielaviopsis paradoxa*, causal organism of stem bleeding of coconut

Family	Botanicals		Per cent inhibition over control			
			48 h	72 h	96 h	
Fam: Amaryllidaceae						
	1	Allium cepa	45.81 (42.60)	35.78 (36.76)	0.00 (0.25)	
	2	Allium sativum*	100.00 (89.76)	100.00 (89.76)	100.00 (89.76)	
Fam: Apocynaceae	2		0.00 (0.05)	0.00 (0.05)	0.00 (0.05)	
	3 4	Calotropis sp. Rauwolfia tetraphyla	0.00 (0.25)	0.00 (0.25)	0.00 (0.25)	
Fam: Araceae	4	Kauwoilia tetraphyla	1.86 (8.50)	0.00 (0.25)	0.00 (0.25)	
ram. /waccac	5	Typhonium trilobatum	0.67 (4.58)	0.00 (0.25)	0.00 (0.25)	
Fam: Asteraceae	9	Typnomam timosatam	0.07 (1.50)	0.00 (0.20)	0.00 (0.25)	
	6	Ageratum houstonianum	8.37 (16.73)	0.00 (0.25)	0.00 (0.25)	
	7	Bidens pilosa	0.19 (5.24)	0.00 (0.25)	0.00 (0.25)	
	8	Carthamus oxyacantha	1.67 (6.14)	0.00 (0.25)	0.00 (0.25)	
	9	Carthamus roseus	0.00 (0.25)	0.00 (0.25)	0.00 (0.25)	
		Stevia	0.37 (4.14)	0.00 (0.25)	0.00 (0.25)	
	11	Tagetes erecta	2.23 (9.24)	0.00 (0.25)	0.00 (0.25)	
Fam: Brassicaceae			C= 0C (= 1.1C)	7 0.44 (06.00)	TC 11 (10 TO)	
Fami Cassalninassas	12	Cleome viscose	65.36 (54.16)	73.11 (36.82)	56.11 (48.53)	
Fam: Caeselpinaceae	12	Cassia tora	14.15 (22.07)	0.00 (0.25)	0.00 (0.25)	
Fam: Cupressaceae	13	Cassia iui a	14.13 (22.0/)	0.00 (0.23)	0.00 (0.23)	
тапі. Сирісэзассас	14	Thuja occidentalis	1.67 (5.43)	0.00 (0.25)	0.00 (0.25)	
Fam: Dryopteridaceae		aja decidentans	(5.15)	0.00 (0.20)	0.00 (0.23)	
	15	Dryopteris flix-mas	0.00 (0.25)	0.00 (0.25)	0.00 (0.25)	
Fam: Euphorbiaceae		•	. ,	. ,	•	
· I	16	Ricinus communis	45.06 (42.13)	49.74 (45.01))	0.00 (0.25)	
Fam: Fabaceae						
ı		Crotolaria juncea	20.66 (22.46)	0.00 (0.25)	0.00 (0.25)	
		Dalbargia sisso	0.00 (0.25)	0.00 (0.25)	0.00 (0.25)	
		Gliricidia sepium	48.79 (44.29)	53.67 (47.08)	26.44 (30.70)	
		Pongamia pinnata	49.72 (44.88)	36.89 (37.40)	0.00 (0.25)	
Fam: Gramineae	21	Cassia fistula	56.05 (48.64)	35.22 (36.40)	22.55 (28.33)	
rain: Grainineae	22	Vetiveria zizanioides	1.86 (7.3)	0.00 (0.25)	0.00 (0.25)	
Fam: Lamiaceae	22	vetiveria zizamoides	1.00 (7.3)	0.00 (0.23)	0.00 (0.23)	
Tam. Lamaceae	23	Leucas aspera	1.11 (6.58)	0.00 (0.25)	0.00 (0.25)	
Fam: Lauraceae		zododa dapora	(0.50)	0.00 (0.20)	0.00 (0.25)	
	24	Cinnamon zelyanicum	18.06 (25.1)	0.00 (0.25)	0.00 (0.25)	
Fam: Lythraceae		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,	, , , ,	,	
	25	Lawsonia inermes	46.74 (43.38)	35.22 (36.38))	0.00 (0.25)	
Fam: Melastomataceae						
	26	Melastoma malabathricum	1.30 (6.58)	0.00 (0.25)	0.00 (0.25)	
Fam: Meliaceae						
	27	Azadirachta indica	38.36 (38.20)	23.22 (28.83)	0.00 (0.25)	
Fam: Myrtaceae		D : !:	= 0.4.4.60.00\	TO 11 (TT 00)	=0.05 (4= ==)	
		Psidium guajava	79.14 (62.92)	70.41 (57.23)	50.96 (45.55)	
Fam: Oxalidaceae	29	Syzigium cumini	2.23 (66.8)	0.00 (0.25)	0.00 (0.25)	
Talli. Oxalluaceae	30	Oxalis corniculata	80.45 (63.80)	74.00 (59.34)	46.55 (42.85)	
Fam: Piperaceae	30	Oxans connectiata	00.43 (03.00)	74.00 (39.34)	40.33 (42.03)	
ram. riperaceae	31	Piper longum	0.37 (3.43)	0.00 (0.25)	0.00 (0.25)	
		Piper nigrum	2.05 (7.9)	0.00 (0.25)	0.00 (0.25)	
Fam: Rubiaceae	-	F 30 1110		(=0)	/	
	33	Coffea arabica (seeds)	0.56 (5.48)	0.00 (0.25)	0.00 (0.25)	
Fam: Rutaceae			•	•		
		Aegle marmelos (lvs)	65.92 (54.28)	58.55 (49.92)	55.44 (48.10)	
		Aegle marmelos **	58.66 (51.00)	35.11 (36.33)	18.67 (25.60)	
	36	Citrus limon	8.37 (16.92)	0.00 (0.25)	0.00 (0.25)	
Fam: Solanaceae		_				
		Datura stramonium	22.57 (24.87)	0.00 (0.25)	0.00 (0.25)	
		Solanum khasianum	0.56 (4.14)	0.00 (0.25)	0.00 (0.25)	
Fam. Varhannan	39	Solanum nigrum	84.37 (66.80)	78.89 (63.20)	75.55 (60.75)	
Fam: Verbenaceae	40	Clerodendron infortunatum	37.43 (37.68)	35.55 (36.82)	0.00 (0.25)	
	70	Cicroacharon infortunatulli	J1.7J (J1.00)	33.33 (30.02)	0.00 (0.23)	

Table 3: Cont....

rable or commi						
	41	Clerodendron inerme	0.00 (0.25)	0.00 (0.25)	0.00 (0.25)	
	42	Lantana cámara	57.73 (48.18)	34.00 (35.67)	0.00 (0.25)	
	43	Lippia geminata	0.93 (5.27)	0.00 (0.25)	0.00 (0.25)	
Fam: Zingiberaceae						
	44	Curcuma longa	44.69 (37.68)	35.00 (36.29)	0.00 (0.25)	
	45	Zingiber officinale	1.67 (6.69)	0.00 (0.25)	0.00 (0.25)	
		CD(0.05)	0.42	0.33	0.16	
		CD(0.01)	0.55	0.44	0.21	
		CV%	0.96	1.11	1.03	

^{*}Figure in the parenthesis is transformed value

and *T. hamatum* (ITCC, New Delhi isolate) were identified as potential antagonists of *T. paradoxa*, the pathogen of stem bleeding disease of coconut, based on *in vitro* screening of several fungi (Ramanujam *et al.*, 2000)

Effect of fungicides on mycelial growth of T. paradoxa

The effect of fungicides on the growth of the fungus is presented in Table 2. Among the tested fungicides, Calixin @ 0.3% showed 100 per cent inhibition over control and showed significant results as compared to other fungicides tried against the fungus. The other fungicides *viz.*, Ridomil MZ-72, Blitox-50, Bavistin, Captaf and Mancozeb @ 0.3% recorded 92.00, 91.55, 91.44, 89.67 and 66.67 per cent inhibition, respectively, over control after 144h of incubation. Ramanujan et al., 2005 reported strong inhibitory effect of different fungicides including Tridemorph against the fungus, *Thielaviopsis paradoxa* at very low concentration.

Effect of botanicals on mycelial growth of T. paradoxa

Influence of botanicals on mycelial growth of *T. paradoxa* is presented in Table 3. Results revealed that among the forty five plant extracts tested, *Allium sativum* extracts completely inhibited the growth of the pathogen, followed by *Solanum nigrum* and *Cleome viscosa* with 75.55 and 56.11 per cent inhibition over control, respectively. There is significant difference among *A. sativum, S. nigrum and C. viscosa*. Gowda and Nambiar (2006) reported that garlic extract at 5% concentration was found to be fungitoxic to the pathogen of stem bleeding disease of coconut.

The compatibility study of the antagonistic microorganism with plant extracts showed that all extracts are found to be compatible except the extract of *Allium sativum*. Iyer et *al.*, 2004 reported that *Allium sativum* extract completely inhibited the growth of the pathogen and also growth of antagonistic micro organism.

REFERENCES

Alvindia, D. G. and Natsuaki, K. T. 2009. Biocontrol activities of Bacillus amylolifaciens DGA 14 isolated from banana fruit surface against banana crown rot- causing pathogens. *Crop Prot.* **28:** 236-242.

Bhaskaran, R. 1990. Biological control of Thanjavur wilt disease of coconut. *National Symposium on Biocontrol of root disease*, Annamalai Univ., *Annamalainagar (Abstr.)* pp. 7-8.

Dhingra, O. D. and Sinclair, J. B. 1985. Basic Plant Pathology Methods. *CRC Press, Florida*. p 325.

Elad, Y. and Chet, I. 1983. Improved selective media for isolation of *Trichoderma* spp. or *Fusarium* spp. *Phytoparasitica*. **11:** 55-58.

Gowda, P. V. and Nambiar, K. K. N. 2006. Antifungal activity of garlic (*Allium sativum* Linn.) extracts on *Thielaviopsis paradoxa* (de Seynes) von Hohnel, the pathogen of stem bleeding disease of coconut. 34(3): 472-475.

Gunasekharan, M., Ramadoss, R., Ramiah, M., Bhaskaran, R and Ramanathan, T. 1986. Role of neem cake in the control of Thanjavur wilt of coconut. *Indian Coconut J.* 17(1): 1-6.

Iyer, Rohini, Meera, Parvathy, Lekha, G., Hegde, V. and Gunasekharan, M. 2004. Management of basal stem rot disease of *Areca catechu* L. in India. *J. Plantation Crops.* 32(1): 25-27.

Nambiar, K. K. N. and Kalpana Sastry, R. 1988. Stem bleeding disease of coconut: Current status and Approaches for its control. *Philippine J. Coconut studies*. 12(9): 13-14.

Nene, Y. L. 1971. Fungicides in plant disease control. Oxford and IBH Publ. Co. New Delhi, pp. 386.

Panse, V. G. and Sukhatme, P. V 1995. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. *Indian Council of Agricultural Research*. pp. 359.

Petch, T. 1906. Diseases of the coconut palm. *Trop. Agriculturist.* **27:** 490-491.

Prasad, R. D., Rangeshwaran, R., Hedge, S. V. and Anuroop, C. P. **2002.** Effect of soil application of *Trichoderma harzianum* on pigeon pea wilt caused by *Fusarium udum* under field conditions. *Crop Prot.* **21:** 293-297.

Ramanujam, B., Nambiar, K. K. N and Iyer, R. 2000. Identification of potential antagonistic fungi for biocontrol of *Thielaviopsis paradoxa*, causing stem bleeding disease of coconut. In *Recent Advances in Plantation Crops Research*. (Eds. N. Muralidharan and R. Rajkumar). 353-367. Allied Publishers Ltd. India

Ramanujam, B., Nambiar, K. K. N and Iyer, R. 2005. Effect of systemic fungicides, aqueous extracts of oil cake and inorganic soil amendment on *Thielaviopsis paradoxa* and its antagonistic fungi *in vitro*. *J. Plantation Crops.* 33(2): 107-111.

Rifai, M. A. 1969. A revision of the genus *Trichoderma, Mycological* paper, No. 116. *Commonwealth Mycological Institute, Association* of *Applied Biologists, Kew, Surrey, England.*

Suleman, P., Abdullah, M. T. and Ali, Y. N. 2008. Biological control of Sclerotinia aclerotiarum (Lib) de Bary with *Trichoderma harzianum* and *Bacillus amyloliquifaciens*. *Crop Prot.* **27:** 1354-1359.

Vincent, J. M. 1947. Distortion of fungal hyphae in presence of certain inhibitors. *Nature*. 159: 850.