www.thebioscan.in

ANALYSIS OF VARIABILITY PARAMETERS FOR MORPHOLOGICAL AND AGRONOMIC TRAITS IN GRAIN AMARANTH (AMARANTHUS SP) GENOTYPES

RASHMI YADAV*, J. C. RANA¹ AND J. K. RANJAN²

- *National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, Pusa Campus 110 012, New Delhi, INDIA
- ¹NBPGR, Regional Station, Shimla 171 001, Himachal Pradesh
- ²Indian Institute of vegetable Research, Varansi 221 002, UP, INDIA

e-mail: rashmigbp@gmail.com

KEYWORDS

Grain amaranth (Amaranthus sp) Genetic variability Heritability Correlation coefficient Grain yield

Received on: 28.05.2014

Accepted on: 13.11.2014

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

This study was aimed to evaluate genotypic variability and character association in grain amaranth genotypes for agronomically useful and yield contributing traits. The characters like leaf blade width, lateral spikelet length and grain yield per plant showed high GCV and PCV values. Genotypic coefficient of variation for different characters ranged from 11.60 to 42.73%. The highest GCV was recorded with grain yield per plant (42.73%). High heritability exhibited for all the characters studied ranged from 97.81 to 99.98%. The estimates of heritability were observed to be high in magnitude for days to 80 % maturity, days to 50 % flowering, leaf blade width, inflorescence length and plant height. At genotypic level, seed yield per plant showed highly significant positive correlation with days to 80% maturity (rg = 0.696) and plant height (rg = 0.403) and significant positive correlation with days to 50% flowering (rg = 0.338). Inflorescence length had significant positive correlation with lateral spikelet length.

INTRODUCTION

Grain Amaranth is a very versatile pseudo-cereal crop and grown in a wide range of agro-climatic conditions (temperature 20-40°C, elevation 500-2500m amsl and rainfall 800 mm to 1500 mm). The genus Amaranthus consists of approximately 60 species out of which about 18 species are occurring in India. There are three major grain producing Amaranthus species, A. caudatus, A. cruentus and A. hypochondriacus, all believed to originate from Central and South America; and three major leafy vegetable species, A. tricolor, A. dubius and A. blitum (A. lividus), of which A. tricolor is thought to originate from India or Southern China, A. blitum from Central Europe and A. dubius from Central America (National Research Council, 1984; Sreelathakumary and Peter, 1993; Grubben, 1993). They grow vigorously, resist drought, heat, and pests; and adapt readily to new environments, including some that are inhospitable to conventional cereal crops. It is one of those rare plants whose leaves are eaten as a vegetable while the seeds are used as cereals. Besides, it is also used as fodder, ornamental, organic red dye and for industrial purposes. The genus Amaranthus is receiving increasing attention because of the nutritional properties of the grain and leaves and can now be found throughout the world (Stallknecht and Schulz-Schaeffer, 1993). It's high in protein, particularly in the amino acid, Lysine, which seeds is low in the cereal grains. Amaranth is one of the highest grains in fiber content. This makes Amaranth an effective agent against cancer and heart disease. Amaranth is also rich in many vitamins and minerals. However, Amaranth contains no gluten and because of this, it's not good for making yeast breads by itself (O'Brien and Price, 1983). The wide geographical spread of the genus has resulted in the evolution of many landraces in widely separated areas forming a huge gene pool.

In India, Amaranthus is mainly cultivated in the rural areas and is well adapted to diverse the climatic conditions, highly resistance to stresses (including diseases and pests), and high quality for specific purposes. Amaranths crop also have very high variability in leaf size, leaf shape, branching, flower colour and flowering pattern. Khurana et al. (2013) also reported the phenotypic variability in the Amaranth germplasm. Germplasm is the basic raw material in any and characterization and evaluation are pre-requisites for its effective utilization for crop improvement program for obtaining high yielding varieties. On the other hand, yield is a complex character and is associated with some yield contributing characters. Progress in any crop improvement venture depends mainly on the magnitude of genetic variability and heritability present in the source material. Genetic variability studies provide basic information regarding the genetic properties of the population based on which breeding methods are formulated for further improvement of the crop.

Therefore, In order to explore the potential of domestic *Amaranthus* genetic resources, a large-scale collection and evaluation of *Amaranthus* in India has been carried out over the past 15 years. However, few genotypes with favorable agronomic traits were identified. Hence, this experiment was conducted to enhance the pool of agronomically useful *Amaranthus* resources available for crop improvement programme in India. The aim was to screen agronomic traits and assess growth response of Amaranth Collection available at National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India and to find out the genetic variation, magnitude of heritability, expected genetic advance and to identify the important traits for selection of superior genotypes in grain amaranth landraces.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, Regional Station, Shimla, Himachal Pradesh during 20013-2014. The material of the study consists of 27 grain amaranth germplasm accessions. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. Each genotype was sown in three rows of 4m length plot and having spacing of 50cm between lines and 15cm between plants. Normal cultural practices and plant protection measures were followed to raise a good crop. A morphological characterization was carried out in these accessions and observations were recorded for five randomly selected plants in each genotype for twenty three characters. The characteristics evaluated are listed in Table 1.

Plant traits

The observations recorded for plant traits, counted from the

Table 1: Morphological and phenological characters recorded observed in different organs (see "Materials and methods" for details)

| Organ | Character | Abbreviation |
|---------------|--------------------------------|--------------|
| Plant | Growth habit | GH |
| | Plant Height | PH |
| | Stem colour | SC |
| | Stem surface | SS |
| | Days to flowering | DF |
| | Days to physiological maturity | DM |
| | Time of flowering | TF |
| Leaf | Anthocyanin coloration of | AC |
| | basal leaf sheath | |
| | Shape | LS |
| | Length | LL |
| | Width | LW |
| | Colour | LC |
| | Petiole length | PL |
| Inflorescence | Inflorescence colour | FC |
| | Inflorescence compactness | IC |
| | Inflorescence shape | IS |
| | Inflorescence length | IL |
| | Inflorescence spininess | IS |
| | Lateral spikelet length | LSL |
| Seed | Colour of seed | CS |
| | Seed transparency | ST |
| | Seed shattering | SSH |
| | Seed Yield | SY |

sowing time, were: days to 50% of flowering (DF); days to physiological maturity (DM); and plant height at maturity (PH).

Growth habit (GH) was scored as: 1 erect; 3 semi-erect; 5 drooping. Time of flowering (TF): 1 very early; 3 early; 5 medium; 7 late; 9 very late.

Colour of the stem (SC): 1 yellow; 2 yellowish green; 3 orange; 4 pink; 5 red; 6 reddish green; 7 reddish orange. Stem surface (SS): 1 smooth; 9 ridged.

Leaf traits

Anthocyanin coloration of basal leaf sheath (AC): 1 absent or weak; 2 Medium; 3 Strong.

Maximum leaf length (LL) and width (WL) were measured in cm.

Colour (LC): 3 light green; 5 medium green; 7 dark green.

Petiole length (PL): 3 short; 5 medium; 7 long.

Flower traits

Inflorescence colour (FC): 1 Light yellow; 2 Yellow; 3 Yellowish green; 4 orange; 5 pink; 6 pinkish green; 7 purple; 8 red; 9 reddish green; 10 green.

Inflorescence compactness (IC): 3 lax; 5 intermediate; 7 dense. Inflorescence shape (IS): 1 globose; 2 semi drooping; 3 completely drooping; 4 straight.

Inflorescence length (IL): 3 short; 5 medium; 7 long.

Inflorescence spininess (ISN): 1 smooth; 2 glabrous; 3 prickly; 4 spiny.

Lateral spikelet length (LSL): 3 short; 5 medium; 7 long.

Seed traits

Colour of seed (CS): 1 white; 2 creamish; 3 pale yellow; 4 pink; 5 red; 6 brown; 7 black; 8 golden.

Seed transparency (ST): 1 translucent, 9 opaque.

Seed shattering (SS): 3 low (%), 5 intermediate (10-50%), 7 high (50%).

The data were subjected to statistical analysis. The estimation of mean, variance, standard deviation, standard error, variance, coefficient of variation, phenotypic and genotypic variances were estimated by using the computer software SAS Ver.9.2 (2011). Association among all observed traits was computed by calculating correlation coefficient by using the standard methods Gomez KA, Gomez AA (1984).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Qualitative Characters

The distribution of the accessions for levels of qualitative characters (Table 2) showed that the growth habit of most of the genotypes was predominantly erect type (96.4%). Stem colour showed a marked variability in colours and about 39% of accessions were yellowish, 21.4% yellowish green, 17.9% reddish green, 14.3% pink and rest 7.14% had red colour of stem predominantly ridged type of stem surface (53.6%).

In most cases basal leaf sheath had weak or absent (71.4%) anthocyanin colouration, 25% had medium and one accession had strong anthocyanin pigmentation. Leaves colour were of a medium green, 71.4% of the accessions,

Table 2: Distribution of the 27 studied accessions for levels of qualitative characters

| Organ | Abbriviation | Levels | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|--------------|--------|----|----|----|----|---|----|---|----|----|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Plant | DF | | | | | | | | | | |
| | GH | 27 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | TF | | 1 | 10 | | 11 | | 3 | | 3 | |
| | SC | 11 | 6 | | 4 | 2 | 5 | | | | |
| | SS | 11 | 2 | | | | | | | 15 | |
| Leaf | AC | 20 | 7 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | LC | | | 2 | | 20 | | 6 | | | |
| Inflorescence | FC | | 4 | 4 | | 13 | 1 | | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| | IC | | | 3 | | 19 | | 5 | | | |
| | IS | 9 | | | 17 | 2 | | | | | |
| | IS | 11 | 1 | 3 | 13 | | | | | | |
| Seed | CS | 3 | 22 | 2 | | | | | 1 | | |
| | ST | 12 | | | | | | | | 16 | |
| | SSH | | | 5 | | 2 | | 18 | | 2 | |

See "Materials and methods" for details of the levels and Table 1 for abbreviations of characters

Table 3: Estimates of phenotypic and genotypic coefficient of variation, heritability, genetic advance and genetic gain for different horticultural traits in amaranths (pooled data)

| Character | Mean <u>+</u> SE | Range | GCV (%) | PCV (%) | Heritability (%) | GA | GA as % of mean |
|------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|---------|---------|------------------|-------|-----------------|
| Leaf blade length (cm) | 21.14 ± 0.23 | 12.23-25.47 | 14.1 | 14.2 | 98.29 | 6.09 | 1.29 |
| Leaf blade width (cm) | 13.11 ± 0.25 | 9.43-22.83 | 21.8 | 22.1 | 97.81 | 5.83 | 0.76 |
| Petiole length (cm) | 14.30 ± 8.70 | 10.33-21.57 | 11.9 | 91.4 | 1.71 | 0.53 | 0.09 |
| Plant height (cm) | 228.57 ± 2.11 | 91.40-289.00 | 19.0 | 19.1 | 99.30 | 89.35 | 204.23 |
| Infl length (cm) | 73.03 ± 0.35 | 56.03-96.73 | 16.2 | 16.2 | 99.72 | 24.31 | 17.75 |
| Lateral spikelet length (cm) | 16.37 ± 0.26 | 11.43-23.10 | 21.3 | 21.5 | 98.41 | 7.12 | 1.17 |
| Days to 50% flowering | 83.73 ± 0.13 | 65.00-107.00 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 99.96 | 21.68 | 18.15 |
| Days to 80% maturity | 123.85 ± 0.13 | 98.00-180.00 | 15.2 | 15.2 | 99.98 | 38.78 | 48.03 |
| 1000 seed wt (g) | 0.73 ± 0.01 | 0.5080 | 11.6 | 11.7 | 98.36 | 0.17 | 0.00 |
| Seed yield/plant (g) | 40.05 ± 0.24 | 21.85-105.83 | 42.7 | 42.7 | 99.94 | 35.24 | 14.11 |

Table 4: Estimates of Genotypic Correlations coefficients for different agro-morphological characters of grain amaranth

| | Leaf blade length (cm) | Leaf blade width (cm) | Petiole length (cm) | Plant height (cm) | Inflorescence length (cm) | Lateral spikelet length (cm) | Days to 50% flowering | Days to 80% maturity | 1000 seed wt (g) | Seed yield/plant (g) |
|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|---|--|---|---|
| Leafblade length (cm) Leafblade width (cm) Petiole length (cm) Plant height (cm) Inflorescence length (cn Lateral spikelet length (c Days to 50% flowering Days to 80% maturity 1000 seed wt (g) Seed yield/plant (g) | em) | -0.54** 1.00 | 0.25 0.07 1.00 | 0.22 -0.53 0.26 1.00 | 0.01 -0.23 0.35* 0.57** 1.00 | 0.07 -0.07 0.64** 0.08 0.34* 1.00 | 0.10 -0.34* -0.56** 0.68** 0.46** 0.22 1.00 | 0.20 -0.25 -0.45** 0.48** 0.14 0.11 0.73** 1.00 | 0.03 -0.01 -0.53** 0.23 0.38** -0.03 -0.18 -0.30 1.00 | 0.25 -0.08 -0.48** 0.40** 0.05 -0.14 0.34* 0.70** -0.09 |

and 21.4% had dark green colour leaves. Inflorescence colour was pink in 46.4 % of the accessions, 14.3% have yellow and yellowish green each, 20 % of them had red and reddish green inflorescence while 46% have intermediate type inflorescence compactness, 17.9% dense and about 10% have lax type of inflorescence. The inflorescence spininess presented a marked variability. The seeds were medium sized and of low weight in at least 80 % of the accessions. They were uniform in almost all accessions and about 57 % of them opaque and rest translucent or semi-translucent in seed transparency. The colour presented a marked variation,

although 78.6 % were yellow with different intensity.

Mean values were subjected to statistical analysis to study the descriptive statistics like mean, range, standard error, coefficient of variation (Table 3). Wide range was observed for characters like plant height, petiole length, leaf blade length and width, inflorescence length and grain yield per plant in the evaluated germplasm which indicates the extent of variability of these characters. The plant height ranged from 91.4 to 289cm with a mean height of 228.5cm. Leaf blade width ranged from 9.43 to 22.8cm with a mean of 13.1cm. Inflorescence length ranged from 56 to 96.7cm with a mean

Table 5: Estimates of Phenotypic Correlations coefficients for different agro-morphological characters of grain amaranth

| | Leaf blade length (cm) | Leaf blade width (cm) | Petiole length (cm) | Plant height (cm) | Inflorescence length (cm) | Lateral spikelet length (cm) | Days to 50% flowering | Days to 80% maturity | 1000 seed wt (g) | Seed yield/ plant (g) |
|--|------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|---|--|---|---|
| Leafblade length (cm) Leafblade width (cm) Petiole length (cm) Plant height (cm) Inflorescence length (cm Lateral spikelet length (cn Days to 50% flowering Days to 80% maturity 1000 seed wt (g) Seed yield/plant (g) | | -0.52** 1.00 | 0.14 -0.06 1.00 | 0.22 -0.52* 0.03 1.00 | 0.01 -0.23 -0.17 0.57** 1.00 | 0.07 -0.07 0.09 0.08 0.34* 1.00 | 0.10 -0.34* -0.07 0.68** 0.46** 0.22 1.00 | 0.20 -0.24 -0.06 0.48** 0.14 0.11 0.73** 1.00 | 0.03 -0.02 -0.06 0.23 0.38** -0.02 -0.17 -0.30 1.00 | 0.25 -0.08 -0.06 0.40** 0.05 -0.13 0.34* 0.70** -0.09 |

Table 6: Environmental Correlations coefficients for different agro-morphological characters of grain amaranth.

| | Leaf blade length (cm) | Leaf blade width (cm) | Petiole length (cm) | Plant height (cm) | Inflorescence length (cm) | Lateral spikelet length (cm) | Days to 50% flowering | Days to 80% maturity | 1000 seed wt (g) | Seed yield/ plant (g) |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Leafblade length (cm) | 1.00 | 0.16 | -0.14 | -0.12 | 0.12 | 0.22 | 0.02 | -0.03 | 0.02 | 0.12 |
| Leafblade width (cm) | | 1.00 | -0.44** | -0.18 | -0.09 | 0.05 | -0.30 | -0.24 | -0.30 | -0.16 |
| Petiole length (cm) | | | 1.00 | -0.05 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.19 |
| Plant height (cm) | | | | 1.00 | 0.31 | 0.12 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.16 |
| Inflorescence length (ci | m) | | | | 1.00 | 0.27 | 0.41* | 0.36* | 0.41 * * | 0.28 |
| Lateral spikelet length (| cm) | | | | | 1.00 | 0.29 | 0.25 | 0.29 | 0.14 |
| Days to 50% flowering | 3 | | | | | | 1.00 | 0.94** | 1.00 | 0.63 * * |
| Days to 80% maturity | | | | | | | | 1.00 | 0.94 * * | 0.59** |
| 1000 seed wt (g) | | | | | | | | | 1.00 | 0.63 * * |
| Seed yield/plant (g) | | | | | | | | | | 1.00 |

of 73cm. Grain yield per plant ranged from 21.9 to 105.8 g with a mean of 40 g.

Genetic Variability and Correlation Analysis

Estimation of genotypic and phenotypic co-efficient of variation, heritability and genetic advance as percent of mean are presented in Table 4&5. The differences between phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) and genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) were very less for all the characters studied. This showed the close resemblance between the corresponding estimates of PCV and GCV in almost all the characters except petiole length, suggested that there was a very little influence of environment on the expression of these characters and variability was due to genetic constitution only. This inferred phenotypic variability to be a reliable measure of genotypic variability. The traits viz., plant height, petiole length, leaf blade length, inflorescence length, 1000 seed weight, days to 50% flowering and days to physiological maturity showed moderate GCV and PCV values. The characters like leaf blade width, lateral spikelet length and grain yield per plant showed high GCV and PCV values. Genotypic coefficient of variation for different characters ranged from 11.60 to 42.73%. The highest GCV was recorded with grain yield per plant (42.73%) followed by leaf blade width. The lowest GCV was observed with 1000-seed weight (11.70%). GCV and PCV estimates classified as low (0-10%), moderate (10-20%) and high (>20%) classified by Johnson et al. (1955). High estimate of GCV and PCV indicates the high variability of characters in the germplasm. Similar results were reported for high genotypic

and phenotypic variability in most of the yield contributing traits by Venkata Subbaiah et al. (2011) and Singh et al. (2011).

GCV values only are not enough to determine the genetic variability, this could be done with the help of heritability and genetic advance estimates to assess the heritable portion of total variation and extent of genetic expected gain for selection. Rabinson et al. (1949) classified the heritability estimates as low (0-30%), moderate (30-60%) and High (>60%). A very less differences were observed for all the characters over seasons with regard to heritability. High heritability exhibited for all the characters studied ranged from 97.81 to 99.98%. The estimates of heritability were observed to be high in magnitude for days to 80 % maturity, days to 50 % flowering, leaf blade width, inflorescence length and plant height. Since high heritability does not always indicate high genetic gain, heritability with genetic advance should be used in predicting selection of superior genotypes (Ali et al. 2002). High estimate of heritability coupled with high genetic advances were observed for the characters viz., plant height, days to 80 % maturity and inflorescence length which suggested that these characters can be considered as favourable attributes and as an indication of additive gene action and the consequent high extended genetic gain from selection of superior genotypes. Similar results also reported by Mulugeta Syoum et al., (2012). At genetic level, a positive correlation occurs due to coupling phase of linkage and negative correlation occurs due to repulsive phase of linkage of genes controlling two different traits (Salini et al., 2010). In the present investigation, at genotypic level, seed yield per plant showed highly significant positive correlation with days to 80% maturity (rg = 0.696) and plant height (rg = 0.403) and significant positive correlation with days to 50% flowering (rg = 0.338) whereas rest of the characters showed non-significant correlation (Table 4).

Most of the characters had positive inter correlation with each other. Days to 50 per cent flowering recorded positive and highly significant inter correlation with plant height and inflorescence length. Plant height showed positive highly significant correlation with inflorescence length, days to 50 per cent flowering and days to 80 per cent maturity. Inflorescence length had significant positive correlation with lateral spikelet length. From the above results, it is evident that most of the traits were associated with grain yield and inter correlated among themselves. Kumar et al., (2014) also reported that the grain yield/plant, days to maturity, number of grains/spike, effective tillers/plant and test weight were important components and these should be taken into account while breeding in wheat.

At phenotypic level, seed yield per plant showed highly significant positive correlation with days to 80% maturity (rp = 0.696), plant height (rp = 0.402) and significant positive correlation with days to 50% flowering (rp = 0.339) whereas, rest of the characters showed non-significant correlation (Table 5). Positive and significant association of seed yield per plant with number of siliquae, plant height and days to 50% flowering in mustard was also reported by Shekhawat et al., (2014). Chidambaram and Palanisamy (1995) and Singh & Yadava (2000) also reported similar findings for different parameters in soybean. These results revealed that the selection in any one of these yield attributing traits will lead to increase in the other traits, thereby finally boosting the grain yield. Hence, primary selection for traits like plant height, inflorescence length, lateral spikelet length and days to 50 per cent flowering may be given importance in selection to obtain genotypes with increased grain yield per plant. In addition the significant associations between these component traits suggest the possibility of simultaneous improvement of these traits by single selection. Khurana et al., (2013) also suggested that high values of heritability coupled with high genetic gain for the characters like plant height, total green yield and leaf area index were obtained indicating the preponderance of additive gene effect and desired improvement in these characters can be brought through direct selection for these component traits.

Plant height, inflorescence length, lateral spikelet length and days to 50 per cent flowering were recorded high GCV, PCV, heritability, genetic advance % of mean, suggested that maximum emphasis should be given on the above characters in selecting grain amaranth with higher seed yield. These characters could be transmitted to the progeny when hybridization and phenotypic based selection is effective. On the other hand, concomitant selection based on high Inflorescence length, plant height and high leaf blade width would be effective selection method for improvement of grain amaranth.

REFERENCES

Ali, A., Khan, S. and Asad, M. A. 2002. Drought tolerance in wheat: Genetic variation and heritability for growth ion relations. *Asian J. Plant Sci.* 1: 420-422.

Chidambaram, S. and Palanisamy, S. 1995. Dry matter production and harvest index in relation to grain yield in Panivaragu - prosomillet (Panicum miliaceum L.) *Madras Agric J.* **82:** 13-15.

Gomez, K. A. and Gomez, A. A. 1984. Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research, 2nd Ed. J. Wiley and Sons, New York

Grubben, G. J. H. 1993. *Amaranthus* L. In: Siemonsma, J. S. and K. Piluek (Eds.), Prosea: Plant Resources of South-East Asia 8. *Vegetables*. pp. 82-86.

Johnson, H. W., Robinson, H. P. and Comstock, R. E. 1955. Estimate of genotypic and environmental variability in soybean. *Agron. J.* 47: 314-318.

Khurana, D. S., Singh, J. and Kaur, B. 2013. Genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient anlaysis in amaranthus. *Vegetable Science*. 40(2): 238-240.

Kumar, B. Dhananjay and Singh, B. N. 2014. Evaluation of genetic divergence in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* I.) germplasms. *The Bioscan.* **9(2):** 755-758.

Mulugeta Seyoum, Sentayehu Alamerew and Kassahun Bantte. 2012. Genetic varaiability, heritability, correlation coefficient and path analysis for yield and yield related traits in upland rice. *J. Plant Sciences*. 7(1): 13-22.

National Research Council. 1984. *Amaranth* modern prospects for an ancient crop. *National Academy Press.*

O'Brien, G. Kelly and Dr. Martin L. Price 1983. 'Amaranth: grain and vegetable' (PDF). Echo Technical Notes. 1999.

Robinson, H. F., Comstock, R. E. and Harvey, P. H. 1949. Estimates of heritability and degree of dominance in Corn. *Agron J.* 41: 353-359.

Salini, K., Nirmalakumari, A., Muthiah, A. R. and Senthil, N. 2010. Evaluation of proso millet (*Panicum miliaceum* L.) germplasm collections. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*. 1(4): 489-499.

SAS Institute 2011. The SAS system for Windows. Release 9.2. SAS Inst., Cary, NC

Shekhawat, N., Jadeja, G. C., Singh, J. and Shekhawat, R. S. 2014. Character association studies among yield and its component characters in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* I. czern and coss). *The Bioscan.* 9(2): 685-688.

Singh, J., Yadava, H. S. 2000. Factors determining seed yield in early generation of soybean. *Crop Res. Hissar.* **20(2):** 239-243.

Singh, S. K., Chandra Mohan Singh and Gil, G. M. 2011. Assessment of genetic variability for yield and its component character in rice. *Research in Plant Biology.* **1(4):** 73-76.

Sreelathakumary, I. and Peter, K. V. 1993. Amaranth - *Amaranthus* spp. In: Genetic Improvement of Vegetable Crops, Pergamon Press. pp. 315-323.

Stallknecht, G.E., Schulz-Schaeffer, J.R. 1993. Amaranth rediscovered. *In: Janick J., Simon JE, editors. New crops. New York: J. Wiley and Sons.* pp. 211-21.

Venkata, S., Reddi, P., Sekar, M., Reddy K. H. P. and Reddy, N. P. Eswara. 2011. Variability and genetic parameters for grain yield and its componenets and kernel quality attributes in CMS based rice hybrids. *International J. Applied biology and Pharmaceutical Technology*. 2(3): 603-609.

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTALISTS ASSOCIATION

AND ITS OFFICIAL ORGAN



The Bioscan

An International Quarterly Journal of Life Science

Started in 1988, the National Environmentalists Association has been reorganized in 2006 and now is an association functioning with full vigour and new impetus to meet its objectives with the co-operation of like minded environment conscious academicians from different parts of the nation.

MEMBERSHIP OF THE ASSOCIATION

Any graduate having interest in environmental conservation and protection of nature and natural resources can be the member of the association.

To be the member of the association the application form given below should be duly filled up and sent to the Secretary of the association along with a demand draft of Rs. 750/- (After the 25% concession) for annual membership and Rs. 7500/- (After the 25% concession) for life membership.

FELLOWSHIP OF THE ASSOCIATION

The Association is awarding FELLOWSHIP to deserving academicians / researchers /scientists who are LIFE MEMBERS of the Association after reviewing their biodata by the Fellows and the Executive Members of the association. The Fellows are privileged to write **F.N.E.A.** after their names .The prestigious Fellowship also includes a citation in recognition of their contribution to society in general and the endeavour for the noble cause of environment in particular.

AWARDS OF THE ASSOCIATION

The Association in its Seminars and Conferences provides the following category of awards on annual basis.

- The young scientists award: It is given to the researchers below the age of 35 years.
- **2.** The senior scientists award: It is awarded to the academicians above the age of 35 years.

- 3. **The best paper award**: It is awarded to the contributor of the Journal **The Bioscan** during the year.
- 4. The best paper presentation award: It is awarded to the scholar whose presentation is the best other than the young scientist category.
- 5. **The best oration award**: It is awarded to the scholar who delivered invited speech.
- The recognition award: It is awarded to those senior scholars who have contributed to the subject through their continued research.
- The environmental awareness award: It is awarded to those who, apart from their research contribution, have done commendable extension work for environmental betterment.

The number of recipients of award in each category will vary depending upon the recommendation of the panel of judges and the executive committee. The association has the provision to institute awards in the name of persons for whom a with desired sum is donated in consultation with the executive body.

PUBLICATION OF THE ASSOCIATION

In order to provide a platform to a vast group of researchers to express their views and finding of research as well as to promote the attitude of quality research among the scholars of younger generation the association publishes an international quarterly journal – THE BIOSCAN (ISSN:0973-7049). For the benefit of the potential contributors instructions to authors is given separately in this journal. However, the details regarding the journal and also the association can be seen on our website www.thebioscan.in.

Cont. P. 1672