

# CHARACTERIZATION OF *ALTERNARIA BRASSICAE* CAUSING *ALTERNARIA* BLIGHT OF RAPESEED- MUSTARD (*BRASSICA JUNCEA* L.) AND IT'S MANAGEMENT

DALEL SINGH\*<sup>1</sup>, RAJENDRA PRASAD<sup>2</sup>, SATGURU PRASAD YADAV<sup>2</sup> AND SONIT KUMAR

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mycology and Plant Pathology, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi - 221 005, Uttar Pradesh, INDIA

<sup>2</sup>Chandra Shekhar Azad Universities, of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur - 208 001, Uttar Pradesh, INDIA  
e-mail: dalelsinghbhu@gmail.com

## KEYWORDS

*Alternaria brassicae*  
*Alternaria* blight  
Rapeseed-mustard  
Disease  
Management

Received on :  
10.05.2014

Accepted on :  
24.07.2014

\*Corresponding  
author

## ABSTRACT

Ten fungicides, bio agent and nutrients ZnSO<sub>4</sub> + borex + sulphur were tested for their efficacy against *Alternaria* blight of rapeseed- mustard and was found to be effective in reducing disease intensity (19.06 and 20.7%) on leaves and disease intensity (5.83 and 15.1%) on pod and increase the yield (2.6tonne /ha and 1.1tonne /ha) during 2010-2011 and 2011-2012 respectively. The tested treatment spray of *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, mancozeb (0.2%) used as fungicidal check was the most effective to reduce the disease intensity (36.93 and 12.9%) on leaves, 14.93 and 12.2% on pod and increase the yield during 2010-2011 and 2011-2012, respectively. So ZnSO<sub>4</sub> + borex + sulphur and bio agents of *pseudomonas fluorescence* were recommended for management of *Alternaria* blight of rapeseed- mustard disease.

## INTRODUCTION

*Alternaria* blight is common and destructive disease of rapeseed-mustard crop in India S.J.Kolte, (1985). *Alternaria* blight caused by *Alternaria brassicae* is a serious threat to mustard (*Brassica juncea*) cultivation (P.Chomoczynski, and N. Sacchi, 1987). The estimated area, production and productivity during 2011-2012 of rapeseed-mustard in the world were 33.1m ha, 60.7 million tones and 1832 kg/ha, respectively (Agricultural Statistics Division, GOI, (2012). Globally, India accounts for 20.2 per cent and 10.7 per cent of the total acreage and production USDA, (2012). *Alternaria brassicae* infects host species at all growth stages and affects seed germination and both quality and quantity of oil Meena *et al.* (2010). Generally, disease is appears on 45 days old plants and severe on 75 days old plants Meena *et al.* (2004). Deep lesions on siliquae increase the percentage of seed infection and decrease pod length, seeds per pod, thousand seed weight, percent seed germination and oil content S. J. kolte, (1988). Estimates of yield losses due to this disease vary between 35 and 70% in different species of oilseed *Brassic* grown in different parts of the world. Oil yield losses due to infected seeds have been reported to be in the range of 15-36% A.N. Ansari (1988). Considering the importance of the disease the present investigations were undertaken for characterization of *Alternaria brassicae* and its management.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Survey and collection of disease samples from different locations

The CSA University and other adjoining areas of Kanpur Nagar such as Bhaga, Chaubepur, Sarsaul were surveyed during the month of December to March, 2011-2012. The affected leaves of mustard show characteristics symptoms of *Alternaria* blight were brought into the laboratory for detection and isolation of the pathogen responsible for the disease.

### Isolation and purification of the pathogen

The leaf spot and lesions, showing the initial and conspicuous characteristic symptoms of *Alternaria* blight were selected for isolation of the pathogen. These selected infected spots were washed 3-4 times in sterilized distilled water and then surface sterilized by dipping in 4% NaOCl solution for 1 min, followed by washing with sterilized water 3-4 times. Excess of moisture was removed by putting these pieces in between two folds of sterilized blotting paper under aseptic conditions in the inoculation chamber. Surface sterilized leaf spot pieces were then aseptically transferred into 9 cm Petri dishes containing Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) and incubated at 25 ± 2°C for seven days. Thereafter, growing mycelia from margin of apparently distinct colonies of the leaf spot pieces on the medium were aseptically transferred into another petri plate containing PDA medium, where it was grown for 15 days at 23 ± 2°C in the BOD incubator. On the basis of their conidiophore and conidial morphology as described by Simmons, (2007), the pathogen was identified as *Alternaria*

*brassicae* (Berk) Sacc and purified by single spore isolation method.

#### Morphological characters and identification of the pathogen:

Ocular micrometer was calibrated and by use of micrometry Meena *et al.* (2005), Genus *Alternaria* was described by Nees in, (1816) with *A. tenuis* as the type and only member of the genus, which later was renamed as *A. alternata* as type species. Elliot, (1917) suggested that the genus could be organized into six groups based upon common characteristics of conidia length, width and septation, with each group designated by a typical species. Neergaard, (1945) proposed three sections for the genus based upon the formation of conidia in long chains (Longicatenatae), short chains (Brevicatenatae), or singly (Noncatenatae). According to Ellis, (1971), it contains 44 species. *Alternaria* species are either parasites on living plants or saprophytes on organic substrate. The host range of pathogenic *Alternaria* is very broad. It is easy to recognize *Alternaria* species by the morphology of their large conidia. They are catenate, formed in chains or solitary, typically ovoid to obclavate, often beaked, pale brown to brown, multi-celled and muriform Ellis, (1971). Simmons (1995) expanded concepts from both Elliot and Neergaard in loosely organizing the genus into 14 species-groups based upon characteristics of conidia and catenulation. Additional species groups discussed in other work include the *A. arborescens*, *A. brassicicola*, *A. porri*, and *A. radicina* groups (Roberts *et al.*, 2000; Pryor and Gilbertson, 2000, 2002). Although the use of species-group designation does not resolve definitive species boundaries within *Alternaria*, advantages of its use are that it organizes at the sub-generic level the morphologically diverse assemblage of *Alternaria* species and permits the generalized discussion of morphologically similar species without becoming overly restricted due to nomenclatural uncertainty.

#### Morphological characters

Based on morphological characteristics, the causal fungus was identified as *Alternaria brassicae* (Berk) Sacc. Colonies of *Alternaria brassicae* were amphigenous effused rather pale olive, hairy and immersed mycelium. Conidia were produced in chains of up to 4 with average 3.8 transverse septa and 1.8

longitudinal septa, pale olive and the beak about 1/3 to 1/2 the length of the conidia which confirmed with earlier studies (Ellis, 1971).

- Colony character- Colour of colony and growth of colony or type of growth
- Mycelium character - Colour of the hyphae, branching of the hyphae, septation of the hyphae and width of the hyphae
- Conidiophore character -Colour size and septation
- Conidial character-Colour, shape, arrangement, size (length and width), septation, beak and conidial chain

#### Screening of mustard germplasm for disease resistance

Forty two cultivars /genotypes of rapeseed-mustard group (*Brassica juncea*, *Brassica campestris*, *Brassica carinata*, *Brassica napus*, *Eruca sativa* and *B. rapa*) were screened during the Rabi 2011-12 under artificial conditions. Against *Alternaria Brassicae* the planting of single line of highly susceptible varieties Varuna was incorporated after each five rows. The genotypes were sown in two rows each of 3 m length with spacing of 40 × 10 cm in R.B.D. The recommended agronomic practices were adopted for raising the crop. To maintain high humidity level in micro climate of the field, irrigation was applied for favouring the development of disease.

The inoculums of *A. brassicae* was prepared by mycelia mat grown on Czapek's nutrient solution for 10 days at 24 ± 1°C. It was homogenized in warring blender for 3 minutes in sterilized water and sprayed at branching and siliqua formation stages. After 15 days of inoculation the number of affected leaves was counted and the in 0-5 point grade of the recommended by Hussain and Thakur (1963) of the varietal infection as follows

#### Different combinations of fungicides and bio-agents for integrated disease management

The field trial was conducted with 10 treatments of different combination of fungicides, micro nutrients, and bio-agents as seed treatment and soil application.

The experiment was conducted in a Randomized Block Design (R.B.D.) with three replication at oilseed farm, Kalyanpur of the

**Table 1: Details of different samples of cruciferous plants**

| S. No. | Name of host  | Botanical Name                                   | Locality   |
|--------|---------------|--|--|
| 1.     | Yellow sarson | <i>Brassica campestris</i> var. <i>dichotoma</i> | Oilseed Research Farm, Kalyanpur, C.S.A.U.A.T., Kanpur |
| 2.     | Black toria   | <i>B. campestris</i> var. <i>toria</i>           | Students Instrumental Farm, C.S.A.U.A.T., Kanpur       |
| 3.     | Rai           | <i>B. juncea</i>                                 | Nawabganj Farm, C.S.A.U.A.T., Kanpur                   |
| 4.     | Cabbage       | <i>B. oleracea</i> var. <i>capitata</i>          | Farmer's field Shobhan, Kanpur                         |
| 5.     | Radish        | <i>Raphanus sativum</i>                          | Farmer's field Chaubepur, Kanpur                       |
| 6.     | Taramira      | <i>Eruca sativa</i>                              | Farmer's field Sarsaul, Kanpur                         |

**Table 2: Gradation for disease reaction**

| Grade | % infection        | Reaction                    |
|-------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| 0     | Nil                | Immune (I)                  |
| 1     | Upto 5% infection  | Resistant (R)               |
| 2     | Upto 10% infection | Moderately resistant (MR)   |
| 3     | Upto 20% infection | Moderately susceptible (MS) |
| 4     | Upto 30% infection | Susceptible (S)             |
| 5     | 40% or more        | Highly susceptible (HS)     |

University during 2011-2012. The susceptible variety Varuna was sown at spacing of 40X10 cm between row and column with 3mX 5m plot size. Bio-agents were collected from Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar. Moistened seeds of variety (Varuna) were treated with the bio-agents @10g/kg seed and treated seeds were shade dried before sowing.

#### Different combination of micronutrients and fungicides for

**Table 3: Fungicides and bio agent used for the management of *Alternaria Brassicae***

| Sr. No | Treatment     | Dose                          | Active ingredient   | Mode of application             |
|--------|---------------|-------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| 1      | T1            | 10g/kg seed                   | <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> + <i>pseudomonas florescence</i>   | Seed treatment                  |
| 2      | T2            | 15kg/h                        | Zink sulphate + Borax + sulphur                                 | Soil application                |
| 3      | T3            | Removal of three lower leaves | -   | -                               |
| 4      | T4            | 2g/kg seed                    | Iprodione + carbendazim, carbendazim + manozeb                  | Seed treatment, spray           |
| 5      | T5            | 0.2%                          | Zink sulphate + Borax, carbendazim + manozeb                    | Basal application, spray        |
| 6      | T6            | Basal application             | Zink sulphate + Borax + sulphur, <i>pseudomonas florescence</i> | Basal application, foliar spray |
| 7      | T7            | 0.2%                          | Ridomil MZ-72   | foliar spray                    |
| 8      | T8            | 2g/kg seed                    | Iprodione + Carbendazim   | seed treatment                  |
| 9      | T9            | 0.1%                          | Propioconazole  | foliar spray                    |
| 10     | T10 (control) | -                             | -   | -                               |

**Table 4: micronutrients and fungicides used for the management of *Alternaria Brassicae***

| Sr. No | Treatment     | Dose              | Active ingredient                     | Mode of application             |
|--------|---------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1      | T1            | 15kg/ha           | Znso4                                 | Soil application                |
| 2      | T2            | 10kg/ha           | borax                                 | Soil application                |
| 3      | T3            | -                 | sulphur                               | As per local recommendation     |
| 4      | T4            | 15kg/ha + 10kg/ha | Znso4 + borax                         | Basal application               |
| 5      | T5            | 15kg/ha           | Znso4 + sulphur as per recommendation | Soil application                |
| 6      | T6            | 10kg/ha           | Borax + sulphur as per recommendation | Soil application                |
| 7      | T7            | 15kg/ha           | Znso4 + borax + sulphur               | Basal application               |
| 8      | T8            | 1% w/v            | Slaked lime                           | Spray (50 days of after sowing) |
| 9      | T9            | 0.2%              | mancozeb                              | spray                           |
| 10     | T10 (control) | -                 | -                                     | -                               |

### the management of major diseases of Indian mustard:

The experiment was conducted in a Randomized Block Design with three replication at oilseed farm, Kalyanpur of the university during Rabi 2011-2012. The susceptible variety Varuna was sown at spacing of 40X10 cm between row and column with 3m X 5m plot size. Evaluation of different fungicides and micro nutrients with different combination

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Morphological characters and identification of the pathogen

The morphological studies of *Alternaria brassicae* were made on host and in medium (PDA) by using compound microscope. The taxonomy of *Alternaria* on brassicas has been based principally on morphology and sometimes host plant association of each of the species occurring (*Alternaria brassicicola*, *Alternaria brassicae* and *Alternaria raphani*) has a distinct morphology considering the diversity of conidium shapes and sizes among *Alternaria* spp. All commercial cultivars of *brassicae* are susceptible to this pathogen (Tewari, 1991). All the isolates were microscopically identified based on their morphology on PDA using light microscope (Carl Zeiss, Germany) and available literature (Ellis, 1971).

### Colony

Colony was fast growing, amphigenous usually in the beginning ashey grey, fluffy, circular and later turning into dark greenish olive with abundant sporulation.

### Mycelium

The mycelium was septate and branched. In initial stages it is

light brown in colour which becomes darker with advance in age of mycelial growth, cylinder, radiating branched, and filaments of hyphae measure 3.0-5.9  $\mu$ m in width.

### Conidiophores

Conidiophores arising in group of 2-10, emerging from stomata usually simple, septate (0-8), amphigenous with slightly swollen base and rendered apices unbranched, even conidiophores 3-5 geniculate with prominent scans, 34.5-184.5  $\mu$ m in length, olive brown, formed single, either as side branches or terminally on the hyphae 4.7 micron diameter.

### Conidia

Conidia usually produced singly at the apex of the conidiophores but sometimes in short acropetal chain (2-4). They were obelavate to muriform, ovate elongated. Conidia measure 86.4-240.5  $\times$  15.5-30.0  $\mu$ m in size with 5-16 transverse septa and 0-8 longitudinal or oblique septa.

### Beak

Beak was usually pale brown, short, cylindrical, 10-130  $\mu$ m in length and 3-8 micron in width.

### Effect of different combinations of fungicides, micronutrients and bio agents for integrated disease management

Evaluation of different fungicides, chemicals and bio-agents with ten different combination viz T<sub>1</sub>- Seed Treatment (ST), *Trichoderma harzianum* @10g/kg seed + *Pseudomonas florescence* T<sub>2</sub>- Zink sulphate (soil application) @15kg + borax@10kg + sulphur@15kg/h T<sub>3</sub>- Removal of three lower leaves T<sub>4</sub>-(ST), Iprodione + carbendazim (1:1)@2g/kg followed by two spray of carbendazim + mancozeb@0.2% T<sub>5</sub>- Zink sulphate + Borax + Sulphur(basal application) followed by

**Table 5: Effect of different combination of fungicides, nutrients and bio-agents for the integrated disease management of *Alternaria* blight.**

| S.No. | Treatment     | Disease intensity on leaves (%) |             |              | Disease intensity on pod (%) |             |              |
|-------|---------------|---------------------------------|-------------|--------------|------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
|       |               | 2010-11                         | 2011-12     | Mean         | 2010-11                      | 2011-12     | Mean         |
| 1     | T-1           | 46.4(42.91)                     | 57.4(49.2)  | 51.9(46.05)  | 36.1(36.92)                  | 23.8(29.20) | 29.95(33.06) |
| 2     | T-2           | 45.03(42.09)                    | 50.3(45.2)  | 47.66(43.64) | 35.06(36.29)                 | 21.5(27.6)  | 28.28(31.94) |
| 3     | T-3           | 47.73(43.67)                    | 22.2(28.1)  | 34.96(35.88) | 37.63(37.83)                 | 16.3(23.8)  | 26.96(30.81) |
| 4     | T-4           | 48.63(44.21)                    | 40.7(39.6)  | 44.66(41.90) | 90.03(39.22)                 | 26.4(30.9)  | 58.21(35.06) |
| 5     | T-5           | 28.9(32.44)                     | 50.3(45.1)  | 39.6(38.77)  | 23.66(29.01)                 | 22.4(28.2)  | 23.03(28.60) |
| 6     | T-6           | 19.06(25.64)                    | 20.7(27.00) | 19.88(26.32) | 5.83(13.70)                  | 15.1(22.80) | 10.46(18.25) |
| 7     | T-7           | 52.16(46.24)                    | 27.6(31.7)  | 39.88(38.97) | 41.23(39.87)                 | 17.9(25.00) | 29.56(32.43) |
| 8     | T-8           | 42.3(40.51)                     | 18.6(25.5)  | 30.45(33.00) | 36.16(36.96)                 | 13.2(21.3)  | 24.68(29.13) |
| 9     | T-9           | 48.43(44.10)                    | 61.3(51.5)  | 54.86(47.80) | 40.8(39.64)                  | 31.8(34.3)  | 36.(36.97)   |
| 10    | T10 (control) | 72.36(58.32)                    | 70.9(57.3)  | 71.63(57.81) | 37.3(37.62)                  | 54.4(47.6)  | 45.85(42.61) |
|       | CV            | 11.16                           | 2.515       |              | 10.83                        | 2.914       |              |
|       | CD            | 8.04                            | 1.732       |              | 6.44                         | 1.732       |              |

**Table 5: Cont.....**

| S.No. | Treatment     | Yield (kg/ha) |         |        | Test seed weight (gm) |         |      |
|-------|---------------|---------------|---------|--------|-----------------------|---------|------|
|       |               | 2010-11       | 2011-12 | Mean   | 2010-11               | 2011-12 | Mean |
| 1     | T-1           | 2600          | 1008    | 1804   | 4.27                  | 4.25    | 4.26 |
| 2     | T-2           | 2623          | 1039    | 1831   | 4.11                  | 4.1     | 4.1  |
| 3     | T-3           | 1956          | 1275    | 1615.5 | 4.2                   | 4.21    | 4.2  |
| 4     | T-4           | 2489          | 1099    | 1794   | 4.09                  | 4.3     | 4.19 |
| 5     | T-5           | 2178          | 1039    | 1608.5 | 4.22                  | 4.13    | 4.17 |
| 6     | T-6           | 2645          | 1193    | 1919   | 5.08                  | 4.2     | 4.64 |
| 7     | T-7           | 1955          | 1147    | 1551   | 4.4                   | 4.08    | 4.24 |
| 8     | T-8           | 1622          | 1284    | 1453   | 5.02                  | 4.79    | 4.9  |
| 9     | T-9           | 2644          | 1005    | 1824.5 | 4.45                  | 4.16    | 4.3  |
| 10    | T10 (control) | 2355          | 998     | 1676.5 | 4.19                  | 4.09    | 4.14 |
|       | CV            | 6.119         | 6.863   |        |                       |         |      |
|       | CD            | 2.421         | 130.608 |        |                       |         |      |

**Table 6: Effect of different combination of nutrients and fungicides for management of major disease of Indian mustard**

| S.No. | Treatment    | Disease intensity on leaves (%) |             |             | Disease intensity on pod (%) |            |             |
|-------|--------------|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------------------------|------------|-------------|
|       |              | 2010-11                         | 2011-12     | Mean        | 2010-11                      | 2011-12    | Mean        |
| 1     | T-1          | 46.1(42.74)                     | 20.1(26.60) | 33.1(34.67) | 34.83(36.1)                  | 18.70(25.) | 26.76(30.8) |
| 2     | T-2          | 35.03(36.2)                     | 42.4(40.6)  | 38.71(38.4) | 24.6(29.67)                  | 35.4(36.5) | 30.00(33.0) |
| 3     | T-3          | 42.73(40.7)                     | 55.5(48.1)  | 49.11(44.4) | 33.00(35.0)                  | 46.6(43.0) | 39.80(39.0) |
| 4     | T-4          | 40.06(39.1)                     | 49.5(44.70) | 44.78(41.9) | 37.16(37.6)                  | 42.5(40.7) | 39.83(39.1) |
| 5     | T-5          | 35.96(36.6)                     | 23.7(29.1)  | 29.83(32.8) | 27.5(31.62)                  | 23.2(28.8) | 25.35(30.2) |
| 6     | T-6          | 45.56(42.4)                     | 15.7(23.3)  | 30.63(32.8) | 42.76(40.8)                  | 14.9(22.6) | 28.83(31.7) |
| 7     | T-7          | 29.9(33.12)                     | 29.3(32.7)  | 29.6(32.91) | 20.5(26.81)                  | 28.3(32.1) | 24.4(29.45) |
| 8     | T-8          | 49.4(44.65)                     | 37.4(37.6)  | 43.4(41.12) | 35.33(36.4)                  | 31.4(34.0) | 33.36(35.2) |
| 9     | T-9          | 36.93(37.4)                     | 12.9(21.0)  | 24.91(29.2) | 14.93(22.6)                  | 12.1(20.1) | 13.51(21.3) |
| 10    | T10(control) | 63.3(63.49)                     | 60.2(50.9)  | 61.75(57.1) | 47.2(43.39)                  | 52.5(46.4) | 49.85(44.8) |
|       | CV           | 9.03                            | 2.783       |             | 6.66                         | 1.759      |             |
|       | CD5%         | 6.1                             | 1.699       |             | 3.68                         | 0.998      |             |

**Table 6: Cont.....**

| S.No. | Treatment    | Yield (kg/ha) |         |        | Test seed weight (gm) |         |      |
|-------|--------------|---------------|---------|--------|-----------------------|---------|------|
|       |              | 2010-11       | 2011-12 | Mean   | 2010-11               | 2011-12 | Mean |
| 1     | T-1          | 2245          | 1375    | 1810   | 3.75                  | 4.23    | 3.99 |
| 2     | T-2          | 1912          | 1287    | 1599.5 | 3.56                  | 4.19    | 3.87 |
| 3     | T-3          | 1956          | 1314    | 1635   | 4.47                  | 4.15    | 4.31 |
| 4     | T-4          | 2400          | 1201    | 1800.5 | 4.35                  | 4.21    | 4.28 |
| 5     | T-5          | 2422          | 1411    | 1916.5 | 4.74                  | 4.25    | 4.49 |
| 6     | T-6          | 2000          | 1399    | 1699.5 | 3.82                  | 4.13    | 3.97 |
| 7     | T-7          | 1956          | 1238    | 1597   | 3.75                  | 4.18    | 3.96 |
| 8     | T-8          | 2178          | 1312    | 1745   | 4.43                  | 4.27    | 4.35 |
| 9     | T-9          | 2222          | 1455    | 1838.5 | 4.4                   | 4.82    | 4.61 |
| 10    | T10(control) | 1711          | 1148    | 1429   | 4.12                  | 4.12    | 4.12 |
|       | CV           | 5.65          | 3.43    |        |                       |         |      |
|       | CD5%         | 2.037         | 76.98   |        |                       |         |      |

Angular transformed values are given in Parentheses

tow spray of carbendazim + mancozeb@0.2% T<sub>6</sub>- Zink sulphate + borax + sulphur ( basal application ) followed by Foliar Spray of *P. fluorescens* T<sub>7</sub>-Removal of three leaves followed by foliar spray of Ridomil MZ-72@0.2%, T<sub>8</sub>- (ST), Iprodione + carbendazim (1:1)@2g/kg seed followed by removal of three lower leaves, T<sub>9</sub>-(ST) Propiconazole (Tilt) @ 0.1% followed by foliar spray @ 0.1% T<sub>10</sub>- Control find out the efficacy of different combination against *alternaria* blight. Data presented in Table 7 revealed that all the treatments were found significantly effective in reducing the disease intensity and increasing the yield over the control during both the years (2010-2012).

The data presented in table 3 clearly indicated that amongst the tested treatments ZnSO<sub>4</sub>+borex+ sulphur followed by spray of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (T<sub>6</sub>) were found most effective to reduce the disease intensity (19.06 and 20.7%) on leaves and disease intensity (5.83 and 15.1%) on pod respectively. However, the highest thousand grain weight 5.08 g and 4.20 g during both the years. Results were conformity with other researchers Lal et al., (2000), Kushwaha and Narain (2001) Singh (2001). The problem is being addressed by application of sulphur, borax and zinc. However, this seems to be the possible first report of use of such chemicals viz., sulphur, borax, zinc, etc. for effective management of blight of mustard. The results obtained revealed that disease intensity was lowest in ZnSO<sub>4</sub>+borex+ sulphur sprayed plot followed by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* similarly, Patni et al. (2005) and Prasad and Lallu (2006) reduction in *Alternaria* blight intensity and also increased the test weight and seed yield.

#### Effect of different combination of micronutrients and fungicides for management of major diseases of Indian mustard

Evaluation of different fungicides and micro nutrients with different combination viz, (T<sub>1</sub>) ZnSO<sub>4</sub>@15 kg/h, (T<sub>2</sub>) borax@10kg/h, (T<sub>3</sub>) sulphur as per local recommendation, (T<sub>4</sub>) ZnSO<sub>4</sub>@15kg/h+ Borax@10kg/h, (T<sub>5</sub>) ZnSO<sub>4</sub>@15kg/h + sulphur as per recommendation, (T<sub>6</sub>) borax@10kg/h + sulphur as per recommendation, (T<sub>7</sub>) ZnSO<sub>4</sub>+ borax + sulphur, (T<sub>8</sub>) spray of slaked lime @1% w/v at 50 days of after sowing, (T<sub>9</sub>) spray of mancozeb @0.2% and 50 days after sowing, (T<sub>10</sub>) control to find out the efficacy of different combination against *Alternaria* blight. Data presented in Table 4 revealed that all the treatments were found significantly effective in reducing the disease intensity and increasing the yield over the control during both the years (2010-2012).

The data presented in table 4 clearly indicated that amongst the tested treatment spray of mancozeb 50 day after sowing (T<sub>9</sub>) were found most effective to reduce the disease intensity (36.93 and 12.9%) on leaves, disease intensity (14.93 and 12.2%) on pod and increase the yield (2222 kg/ha and 1455 kg /ha) during 2010-2011 and 2011-2012, respectively, However, the highest thousand grain weight 4.40g and 4.82 g was also recorded with the treatment of spray of mancozeb 50 day after sowing during both the years. This is in line with the observation made by other researchers Kolte et al., Prasad and Naik (2003) and Singh and Singh (2006).

## REFERENCES

- Ansari, A. N., Khan, M. W. and Muheet, A. 1988.** Effect of *Alternaria* blight on oil content of rapeseed-mustard, *Current Science*. **57**: 1023-1024.
- Agriculture Statistics Division. 2012.** Agriculture Statistics at a Glance 2003. Agriculture Statistics Division, Dept. Agril. and Coop., Ministry Agriculture, GOI, New Delhi. pp.
- Elliot, J. A. 1917.** Taxonomic character of the genera *Alternaria* and *Macrosporium*. *American J. Botany*. **4**: 439-476.
- Ellis, M. B. 1971.** Dematiaceous Hyphomycetes. Kew, UK: Commonwealth Mycological Institute. <http://www.drmr.res.in/aboutm.html>. pp.
- Hussain, A. and Thakur, R. N. 1963.** Some sources of resistance to *Alternaria* blight of rapeseed and mustard. *Indian Oilseed J.* **7**: 259-261.
- Kushwaha, K. P. S. and Narain, U. 2001.** Evaluation of fungicides against *alternaria* leaf blight of pigeon pea. *Ann. Pl. Protec. Sci.* **9(2)**: 330-332.
- Lal, H. C., Upadhyay, J. P. and Ojha, K. L. 2000.** Evaluation of certain fungicides for the control of *alternaria* leaf blight of pigeon pea. *Madras Agri. J.* **87**: 153-155.
- Meena, P. D., Chattopadhyay, C., Kumar, V. R., Meena, R. L., Rana, U. S. 2005.** Spore behaviour in atmosphere and trends in variability of *Alternaria brassicae* population in India. *J. Mycol. Plant Pathol.*, **35**: 511.
- Meena, P. D., Awasthi, R. P., Chattopadhyay, C., Kolte, S. J. and Kumar, A. 2010.** *Alternaria* blight: a chronic disease in rapeseed-mustard. *J. Oilseed Brassica*. **1**: 1-11.
- Meena, P. D., Meena, R. L., Chattopadhyay, C. and Kumar, A. 2004.** Identification of critical stage for disease development and biocontrol of *Alternaria blight* of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*). *J. Phytopath.* **152**: 204-209.
- Neergaard, P. 1945.** Danish species of *Alternaria* Taxonomy, Parasitism, and economical significance. *Humphry millford, Oxford Univ. press, London*. p. 560.
- Nees von Esenbeck, C. G.** Das System der Pilze und Schwämme. *Wurzburg: Stahelsche Buchhandlung*. pp. 1816-1817.
- Chomoczynski, P. N. and Sacchi, 1987** Single step method of RNA isolation by acid-guanidinium thiocyanate phenol-chloroform extraction, *Analytical Biochemistry*. **162**: 156-159.
- Patni, C. S., Kolte, S. J. and Awasthi, R. P. 2005.** Efficacy of botanicals against *Alternaria* blight (*Alternaria brassicae*) of mustard. *Indian Phytopath.* **58(4)**: 426-430.
- Prasad, Y. and Naik, M. K. 2003.** Evaluation of genotypes, fungicides and plant extracts against early blight of tomato caused by *Alternaria solani*. *Indian J. Plant Protec.* **31(2)**: 49-53.
- Prasad, R. and Lallu 2006.** Management of *Alternaria* blight of mustard with combination of chemical and botanicals. *Ann. Pl. Protec. Sci.*, **14(2)**: 400-403.
- Pryor, B. M. and Gilbertson, R. L. 2000.** Molecular phylogenetic relationships amongst *Alternaria* species and related fungi based upon analysis of nuclear ITS and mitochondrial SSU rDNA sequences. *Mycological Research*. **104**: 1312-1321
- Roberts, R. G., Reymond, S. T. and Andersen, B. 2000.** RAPD fragment analysis and morphological segregation of small spored *Alternaria* species and species groups. *Mycological Research*. **104**: 151-160.
- Kolte, S. J. 1985.** Disease of annual edible oilseed crops, in: Rapeseed Mustard and Sesame Diseases, II: CRC Press, Florida. pp.
- Kolte, S. J., Awasthi, R. P. and Viswanath. 1988** Assessment of yield losses due to *Alternaria* blight in rapeseed-mustard, Indian

Phytopathology. **40**: 209-211.

**Simmons, E. G. 2007.** *Alternaria: An Identification Manual*. CBS Biodiversity Series No. 6, Utrecht, The Netherlands, p. 775.

**Simmons, E. G. 1995.** *Alternaria* themes and variations. pp.112-144.

**Singh, P. C. and Singh, D. 2006.** *In vitro* evaluation of fungicides

against *Alternaria alternata*. *Ann. Plant Protec. Sci.* **14(2)**: 500-502.

**Singh, M. V. 2001.** Current status of micronutrients in different agro ecological zones of India. *Fert. News.* **46**: 25-48.

**Tewari, J. P. 1991.** Structural and biochemical bases of the black spot disease of crucifers. *Adv. Struct. Biol.* **1**: 325-34.9.