

EFFECT OF BIO-FERTILIZERS AND NITROGEN MANAGEMENT ON YIELD AND SOIL FERTILITY OF PEARL MILLET UNDER RAINFED CONDITION

CHATRA RAM, N. I. PATEL, R. N. SINGH AND K. G. VYAS*

A1CRP for Dryland Agriculture,

Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar - 385 506 (Gujarat)

e-mail: kgvyas09@gmail.com

KEYWORDS

Bio-fertilizer
Chemical fertilizer
Pearl millet and Soil
fertility

Received on :
17.11.2014

Accepted on :
27.02.2015

*Corresponding
author

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted at A1CRPDA Centre, S.D. Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar to find out the effect of integrated nutrient management practices in pearl millet crop under rainfed condition. The results showed that the effect of bio-fertilizers were not found significant in increasing the yield as well as soil available nutrients after harvest of the crop during all the years and on pooled basis. The treatment 50% N through urea + 50% N through FYM gave significantly higher seed yield of 1239, 822, 868, 972 and 975 kg ha⁻¹ during years 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012 as well as in pooled, respectively. The similar trend was also observed in fodder yield. In terms of soil available nitrogen, phosphorus and potash, the treatment 50% N through urea + 50% N through FYM obtained significant improvement in soil fertility status of available N (174 kg/ha), phosphorus (48 kg/ha) and potash (204.8 kg/ha).

INTRODUCTION

Pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br, emend. Stuntz.] is one of the important cereal crop cultivated under arid and semiarid tracts of North Gujarat region (Kanzaria *et al.*, 2010), cultivated in an estimated area of 68.43 lakh ha in India and 5.15 lakh ha in Gujarat (AICMIP 2013). India is the largest producer of pearl millet in terms of production (10.05 m t) with an average productivity of 1156 kg/ha (Bhardwaj *et al.*, 2014). It is generally cultivated in areas with annual rainfall between 150 and 700 mm (Knairwal and Yadav, 2005).

The beneficial effect of bio-fertilizers on plant is associated not only with the process of nitrogen fixation and improved nutrition of plants, but also with synthesis of complex biologically active compounds such as pyridoxine, biotin, gibberellin and other compounds which stimulates the germination of pearl millet seeds and accelerate plant growth under favourable environmental conditions (Golada, 2012). Nitrogen is an essential major nutrient for plant growth, which is closely associated with vegetative growth and development of plants; it plays an important role in plant metabolism by virtue of being an essential constituent of structural component of the cell wall and many metabolically active compounds. It is also a constituent of chlorophyll, which is important for harvest of solar energy (Bray, 1983). Use of organic sources along with chemical fertilizers not only conserves moisture and reduces erosion but also increases the nutrients use efficiency, thereby improving the overall productivity of soil (Sinha *et al.*, 2011).

Further, Long-term studies being carried out at several locations in India indicated that application of all the needy nutrients through chemical fertilizers have deleterious effect on soil health leading to unsustainable yields (Anand Swarup 2002). Therefore, there is a need to improve nutrient supply system in terms of integrated nutrient management involving the use of chemical fertilizers in conjunction with organic manures coupled with inputs of biological fertilizers. Integration of inorganic, organic and bio-fertilizers play a vital role in enhancing crop productivity and sustaining soil fertility, this proves great promise for increasing farmer's income. Nitrogen fixers and phosphate solubilizers contribute through biological fixation of nitrogen, solubilization of fixed nutrients and enhanced uptake of plant nutrients (Mane *et al.*, 2000). Keeping these views in mind, an experiment was conducted to find out the effect of bio-fertilizers, FYM as an organic source alone and along with inorganic fertilizers on yield of pearl millet and post harvest soil fertility status under rainfed condition.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field trial was conducted during *kharif*-2009 to *kharif*-2012 at the Instructional farm of AICRP for Dryland Agriculture, Centre for Watershed Management Participatory Research and Rural Engineering, S. D. Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar (Gujarat) having semi-arid and sub-tropical climate situated in 24°19' North latitude 72°19' East longitude and 154.52 meter above the mean sea level. The experimental

site is characterized by low and erratic rainfall occurring at different stages of crop growth. In the year 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 annual rainfall was 393.6, 1191.2, 915.3 and 628.2 mm, respectively. The soil was loamy sand in texture having high infiltration rate (15.2 cm/hour), poor maximum water holding capacity (20.65%) and also low in organic carbon (0.19%), available nitrogen (156.0 kg/ha), medium in available phosphorus (43.8 kg/ha) and potash (183.8 kg/ha) from 0-15 cm depth. The soil was neutral in reaction (pH 7.2 - 7.7).

The experiment was established in a factorial randomized block design with three replications, comprising of three levels of Bio-fertilizer; B₁: *Azotobacter*, B₂: PSB and B₃: *Azotobacter* + PSB, further five levels of N management; N₁: 100% RDN through chemical fertilizer, N₂: 75% RDN through chemical fertilizer + 25% RDN through FYM, N₃: 50% RDN through chemical fertilizer + 50% RDN through FYM, N₄: 50% RDN through FYM and N₅: 75% RDN through chemical fertilizer. Recommended doses of nitrogen, phosphorus and potash @ 80, 40 and 20 kg ha⁻¹ were applied to pearl millet crop through urea, DAP and MOP, respectively.

The data on seed and fodder yields of pearl millet were recorded at harvest. The soil samples were drawn from each experimental unit after harvesting of pearl millet and analyzed for available nutrients as per the following methods; alkaline potassium permanganate method for nitrogen (Subbiah and Asija, 1956), Olsen's method for phosphorus (Olsen *et al.* 1954) and flame photometric method for potash (Jackson, 1973). The economics of different treatment combinations was worked out in terms of net return ha⁻¹ and B:C (benefit cost ratio).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of Bio-fertilizers

The data (Table 1) revealed that the results were non-significant in influencing seed and fodder yields due to different bio fertilizers during all the years as well as in pooled. However, *Azotobacter* + PSB gave numerically higher seed (891 kg ha⁻¹) and fodder (3197 kg ha⁻¹) yields, except in the year 2011 in which the seed and fodder yield was increased significantly with application of *Azotobacter* + PSB. Among the different treatments of bio-fertilizers, the fertility status of soil also did not affected significantly in increasing the N, P and K content in soil after harvest of the crop (Table 2). The non-significant influence of different bio-fertilizers on yield and soil fertility might be due to its low water holding capacity, favorable soil reaction situation and presence of native bacteria in the soil. Moreover, the mechanism of action of microorganisms on plant growth and yield depends on producing growth promoting substances were also observed by Golada *et al.*, (2012), Girija Devi (2002), Patel *et al.*, (2002), Uddin *et al.*, (2009) and Rai, (2006).

Effect of Nitrogen Management

The data presented in Table 1 showed the significant increase in seed and fodder yield due to nutrient management treatments during all the years as well as in pooled result. The treatment N₃ i.e. 50% N through urea + 50% N through FYM gave significantly higher seed yield of 1239, 822, 868, 972 and 975 kg ha⁻¹ during the years 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012 and in pooled results, which were 20.06, 8.73, 10.01, 7.40 and 11.94% higher as compared to 100% chemical fertilizer, respectively. During initial growth stages of crop, requirement of N is fulfilled by inorganic form of N applied through urea and in the later stages of crop growth, all the plant nutrients are released from FYM which have significantly influenced positively on yield and supplied plant nutrients throughout the period of crop growth. A positive effect of FYM on pearl millet yield had also been reported by Singh *et al.*, (1981) and

Table 1: Effect of integrated nutrient management treatments on seed and fodder yields of pearl millet under rainfed condition

Treatments	Yield (kg ha ⁻¹)									
	2009		2010		2011		2012		Pooled	
	Seed	Fodder	Seed	Fodder	Seed	Fodder	Seed	Fodder	Seed	Fodder
Bio-Fertilizers										
B ₁ : <i>Azotobacter</i>	1065	3527	736	2866	723	2876	886	2962	853	3058
B ₂ : PSB	1101	3500	740	2857	786	3203	890	2958	879	3130
B ₃ : <i>Azotobacter</i> + PSB	1071	3574	758	2911	828	3363	908	2938	891	3197
S.E.m+	46.90	124.45	33.29	108.80	21.19	97.82	33.30	80.11	17.44	102.80
C.D. (0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	61.39	283.37	NS	NS	NS	NS
Nitrogen Management										
N ₁ : 100% RDN through chemical fertilizer	1032	3547	756	2927	789	3196	905	2835	871	3126
N ₂ : 75% RDN through chemical fertilizer + 25% through FYM	1076	3551	799	3099	836	3379	948	3051	915	3270
N ₃ : 50% RDN through chemical fertilizer + 50% through FYM	1239	4072	822	3170	868	3522	972	3213	975	3494
N ₄ : 50% through FYM	967	3136	626	2415	655	2620	775	2872	756	2761
N ₅ : 75% RDN through chemical fertilizer	1079	3362	723	2780	747	3019	872	2795	855	2989
S.E.m+	60.51	160.72	43.01	140.46	27.36	126.28	42.99	103.42	22.51	132.72
C.D. (0.05)	175.42	462.28	124.54	393.06	79.25	365.83	124.53	299.61	63.03	380.20
C.V (%)	16.83	13.64	17.31	14.64	10.53	12.04	14.42	10.51	15.45	12.88
Rainfall (mm)	393.6 (17)	1191.2 (38)	915.3 (34)	628.2 (22)						
Interaction of B × N										
Y × B	S.E.m+								34.87	104.06
	C.D. (0.05)								NS	NS
Y × N	S.E.m+								45.05	134.34
	C.D. (0.05)								NS	NS
Y × B × N	S.E.m+								77.97	232.69
	C.D. (0.05)								NS	NS

Note: The values of rainy days are given in parenthesis

Table 2: Effect of integrated nutrient management treatments on soil fertility status after harvest of the Pearl millet crop

Treatments	Soil Available N					Soil Available P ₂ O ₅					Soil Available K ₂ O				
	2009	2010	2011	2012	Pooled	2009	2010	2011	2012	Pooled	2009	2010	2011	2012	Pooled
Bio-fertilizers															
B ₁ : Azotobacter	152.0	157.6	163.4	159.0	158.0	47.5	48.9	35.3	38.9	41.6	192.8	197.3	176.4	184.0	187.6
B ₂ : PSB	154.2	159.5	165.8	162.0	160.4	48.0	50.8	36.8	39.4	44.0	193.7	199.2	177.0	185.0	188.7
B ₃ : Azotobacter + PSB	155.2	160.4	166.4	163.0	161.2	49.0	52.1	38.5	39.6	45.6	196.0	201.1	179.6	188.0	191.2
SEM ±	2.0	2.4	2.1	2.1	2.2	0.9	1.2	1.1	0.8	1.0	3.9	4.8	4.0	4.0	4.2
CD @ 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Nitrogen Management															
N ₁ : 100 % RDF through chemical fertilizer	151.3	157.4	162.2	157.0	157.0	47.4	49.4	35.8	38.3	42.7	189.8	194.4	172.8	181.0	184.5
N ₂ : 75 % RDF through Chemical fertilizer + 25 % through FYM	156.0	161.2	166.8	161.0	161.2	48.8	51.4	36.9	39.4	44.1	198.7	203.9	182.0	190.0	193.7
N ₃ : 50 % RDF through Chemical fertilizer + 50 % through FYM	168.7	173.9	175.4	178.0	174.0	52.8	55.2	40.8	43.3	48.0	210.0	215.6	192.8	201.0	204.8
N ₄ : 50 % through FYM	150.7	155.8	164.4	159.0	157.5	47.2	49.8	36.4	38.9	43.1	190.3	195.1	173.3	181.0	185.0
N ₅ : 75 % RDF through Chemical fertilizer	142.3	147.5	157.1	152.0	149.7	44.6	47.1	34.4	36.9	40.7	181.8	187.0	167.4	176.0	178.1
SEM ±	2.6	3.2	2.7	2.7	1.4	1.2	1.5	1.4	1.1	0.7	5.0	6.2	5.2	5.2	2.8
CD @ 5%	7.5	9.1	7.8	7.8	4.0	3.5	4.4	4.2	3.1	1.9	14.5	17.9	15.1	15.1	7.8
CV %	5.0	6.0	4.9	5.0	5.2	7.6	9.1	11.7	8.1	9.1	7.7	9.3	8.8	8.4	8.5
Interaction of B × N	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Initial Soil Fertility	148.0	151.0	157.0	168.0	156.0	45.5	49.2	36.2	44.2	43.8	181.0	183.0	168.0	203.0	183.8

Agarwal and Kumar (1995). Increase in seed and stover yield might be due to addition of FYM resulted in stimulation of the enzyme activity which promotes the recycling of nutrients in the soil ecosystem (Singaram and Kamalakumari, 1995).

Looking to the fertility status of soil, the different nutrient management treatments showed significant results in terms of available nitrogen, phosphorus and potash (Table 2). Treatment N₃ i.e. 50% N through urea + 50% N through FYM obtained significant improvement in soil fertility in terms of available N (174 kg/ha), phosphorus (48 kg/ha) and potash (204.8 kg/ha) which were comparatively higher than rest of the treatments. Similar beneficial effect of organic source on soil fertility was also recorded by Acharya *et al.* (1988) and Prasad, (2014). Consequently, FYM has been reported to improve the soil fertility and productivity (Badiyala and Verma, 1990). Application of FYM also increases cation exchange capacity and microbial activity in soil besides supplying macro and micro plant nutrients. It helps in minimizing leaching losses, improving buffering capacity and influencing the redox conditions in the soil (Gaur *et al.*, 1971). Kumar *et al.*, (2007) also showed positive significant effect of FYM @ 2 t/ha and 3 t/ha on seed and stover yield. These results are also in conformity with the results of earlier workers by Patel *et al.*, (2007).

Interaction Effect

The interaction effect between bio-fertilizers and nutrient management were found non-significant in producing seed and fodder yields as well as enhancing the soil available N, P₂O₅ and K₂O after harvest of the crop in all the years and in pooled results (Table 1 and 2). This might be due to the non significant effect of biofertilizers which leads to show its equal response when interacted with nitrogen management treatments. Similar effect of integration of inorganic and organic sources on crop production was also reported by Guggari and Kalaghatagi (2001), Choudhary and Gautam (2007) and Kanzaria *et al.* (2010).

REFERENCES

- Acharya, C. L., Bishnoi, S. K. and Yadhuvanshi, H. S. 1988. Effect of long-term application of fertilizers and organic and inorganic amendments under continuous cropping on soil physical and chemical properties. *Indian J. Agril. Sci.* **58**: 507-516.
- Agarwal, R. K. and Praveen, K. 1995. Integrated nutrient management in drylands. In: R.P. Singh, *Sustainable Development of Dryland Agriculture in India*. Scientific Publisher, Jodhpur. pp. 139-156.
- AICMIP 2013. Project Coordinator Review, Annual Workshop of All India Co-ordinated Millet Improvement Project, held at JAU, Junagadh on 22-24 March, 2013. pp. 4-5
- Anand Swarup 2002. Lessons from long term fertilizer experiments in improving fertilizer use efficiency and crop yields. *Fertilizer News*. **47(2)**: 59-66.
- Badiyala, D. and Verma, S. P. 1990. Effect of supplemental sources and fertilizer N on physico-chemical properties of acidic soil of Himachal Pradesh. *Indian J. Agron.* **35(1&2)**: 144-149.
- Bhardwaj, R., Kaur, M., Goyal, M., Sohu, R.S. and Satyavathi, C.T. 2014. Pearl millet for health and nutritional security. *Electronic J. Plant Breeding*. **5(3)**: 573-576.
- Bray, C. M. 1983. Nitrogen Metabolism in Plants. Longman Publishing, London. pp. 183-202.
- Choudhary, R. S. and Gautam, R. C. 2007. Effect of nutrient-management practices on growth and yield of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*). *Indian J. Agronomy*. **52(1)**: 64-66.
- Gaur, A. G., Sadasivam, K. V., Vimal, O. P. and Mathur, R. S. 1971. A study on decomposition of organic matter on an alluvial soil, CO₂ evolution, microbiological and chemical transformations. *Plant and soil*. **35**: 17-19.
- Girija Devi, L. 2002. Forage yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.) as influenced by nitrogen level and bio-fertilizers. *Forage Research*. **27(4)**: 263-266
- Golada, S. L., Sharma, G. L., Verma, A. and Jain, H. K. 2012. Effect of FYM, Nitrogen and *Azospirillum* on Yield, Economics and Soil Nutrient Status of Forage Pearl Millet. *Madras Agric. J.* **99(4-6)**: 308-310.
- Guggari, A. K. and Kalaghatagi, S. B. 2001. Effect of permanent manuring and nitrogen fertilization on pearl millet. *Karnataka J. Agri.*

Sci. **14(3)**: 601-604.

Jackson, M. L. 1973. Soil Chemical Analysis, Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd, New Delhi. p. 498.

Kanzaria, K. K., Sutaria, G. S., Akbari, K. N., Vora, V. D. and Padmani, D. R. 2010. Effect of integrated nutrient management on productivity of pearl millet and soil fertility of sandy loam soils under rain fed conditions. *An Asian J. Soil Science.* **5(1)**: 154-156.

Knairwal, I. S. and Yadav, O. P. 2005. Pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) improvement in India - retrospect and prospects. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.* **75(4)**: 183-191

Kumar, S., Sharma, R. and Dwivedi, V. K. 2007. Response of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) to organic based on distillery compost, farm yard manure and inorganic fertilizer. *J. Living World.* **14(2)**: 20-23.

Mane, S. S., Hadgaonkar, A. K., Suryawanshi, A. P. and Salunke, S. D. 2000. Response of pearl millet to inoculation of phosphorus solubilizing bacteria and azospirillum. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.* **48**: 617-619.

Olsen, S. R., Cole, C. S., Watanable, F. S. and Dean, L. A. 1954. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. *USDA, Washington, D.C.* Circ. No. 939.

Patel, B. T., Patel, J. J. and Patel, M. M. 2007. Response of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) to FYM, sulphur and micronutrients and their residual effect on wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *J. Soils and Crops.* **17(1)**:

18-23.

Patel, M. R., Sadhu, A. C. and Barwadia, T. N. 2002. Effect of nitrogen and *Azotobacter* on seed production of oat. *Seed Research.* **30(1)**: 64-66.

Prasad, S. K., Singh, M. K. and Singh, R. 2014. Effect of nitrogen and zinc fertilizer on pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) under agri-horti system of eastern Uttar Pradesh. *The Bioscan.* **9(1)**: 163-166.

Rai, M. K. 2006. Microbial biofertilizers, Haworth press, Inc. 10 Alce Street, Pinghamton, NY. 13904-1580.

Singaram, P. and Kamlakumari, K. 1995. Long term effect of FYM and fertilizer on enzyme dynamics of soil. *J. the Indian Society of Soil Science.* **43(3)**: 378-381.

Singh, R. P., Singh, H. P., Daulay, H. S. and Singh, K. C. 1981. Effect of periodical application of nitrogen in organic and inorganic form on the yield of rainfed pearl millet. *Indian J. Agril. Sci.* **51**: 409-416.

Sinha, B. L., Chauhan, S. K. and Pradhan, M. K. 2011. Effect of tillage and nitrogen on growth and yield of pearl millet under rainfed conditions. *Indian J. Soil Conservation.* **39(3)**: 220-225.

Subbiah, B. V. and Asija, G. L. 1956. A rapid procedure for estimation of available nitrogen in soils. *Curr. Sci.* **25**: 259-260.

Uddin, J., Solaiman, A. H. M. and Hasanuzzaman, M. 2009. Plant characteristics and yield of Kohlabi (*Brassica oleracea* var. gongyloides) as affected by different organic manures. *J. Hort. Sci. Ornament. Plants.* **1**: 1-4.