INFLUENCE OF AMENDED MELAMINE PHOSPHATE (AMP) AT DIFFERENT LEVELS OF FERTILIZER ON YIELD ATTRIBUTING CHARACTERS, YIELD AND NODULATION ON SOYBEAN OF CHHATTISGARH PLAIN

RAKESH KUMAR PATEL^{1*}, S. B. GUPTA¹, ASHWANI KUMAR THAKUR², PRAFULL KUMAR³ AND NITESH KUMAR GAWANDE⁴

¹Department of Agricultural Microbiology,

Indira Gandhi KrishiVishwavidyalaya, Raipur - 491 001 (C.G.) INDIA

²Department of Agronomy,

Indira Gandhi KrishiVishwavidyalaya, Raipur - 491 001 (C.G.) INDIA

³Department of Genetics and plant Breeding,

Indira Gandhi KrishiVishwavidyalaya, Raipur - 491 001 (C.G.) INDIA

⁴Department of Plant Pathology,

Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur, Nadia - 741 252 (W.B) INDIA e-mail:

KEYWORDS

Phosphate Rhizobium Nodulation Soybean

Received on: 02.09.2014

Accepted on: 10.01.2015

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

The experiment was conducted under field conditions at Instructional cum Research Farm, IGKV, Raipur (Chhattisgarh) during kharii2011-12 to assess the effect of amended malanine phosphate (AMP) at variable levels of commercial fertilizers affecting grain yield in soybean. The experiment was laid out in trireplicated Randomized Block Design (RBD) with nine nutritional schedules. At 15 and 60DAS, Plant height (14.18 and 66.17 cm), number of pods/plant (34.12), grain yield (26.55 q/ha), straw yield (22.84 q/ha) and N uptake by nodules (13.96 mg/plant) were recorded significantly highest in treatment T_2 (Rhizobium inoculation + 100% RDF). However, number of nodules/plant (80.00 per plant) was recorded significantly higher in T_6 (Rhizobium inoculation + 75% RDF+amended melamine phosphate soil application) but fresh weight of nodules (0.825 g/plant), dry weight of nodule (0.187 g/plant) and N contain of nodules (6.89 mg/plant) were recorded significantly highest in T_9 (Rhizobium inoculation + 75% RDF+amended melamine phosphate soil application+ foliar application). From the above study it can be concluded that combined application of Rhizobium inoculants along with amended melamine phosphate suitable combination to increase soybean productivity in Chhattisgarh plain.

INTRODUCTION

Soybean (Glycine max L.) is one of the most important oilseed crops globally (Chaudhary et al., 2014). It is referred as wonder crop as it contains 40 % good quality protein and 20 % oil high in essential unsaturated fatty acids (Layek et al., 2014). In Chhattisgarh state, Inherent low levels of soil fertility status, population of crop beneficial microbes including Rhizobium and resource scarce situations are now recognized among the basic causes of low productivity. Improvements in biological nitrogen fixation can help to enhance soybean productivity per unit area. In Chhattisgarh, the area, production and productivity of soybean was 1.33Mha, 1, 48,228.84 tones and 1.114 tones ha-1, respectively, while at national level the area, production and productivity was 9.95 million hectares, 12.57 million tones and 1.264 tonesha-1, respectively (Anonymous, 2010). The melamine has high nitrogen content (66.6%), so that about two third of its weight is nitrogen, if it could be used as fertilizer material, it would be provide a good deal of nitrogen (www.google.com/patents/ us5139555). However, melamine phosphate is much more expensive to produce than other common nitrogen fertilizers, such as urea. It is effective as a fertilizer, it is essential that the plant nutrients are released or made available in a slow manner that matches the needs of the growing crop.

Nitrogen can be supplied to crops by biological nitrogen fixation (BNF), a process which is becoming more important for not only reducing energy costs, but also in seeking more sustainable agricultural production. The use of high-quality input including slow releasing source of nutrient like melamine phosphate with Mo, Fe and S and study about their benefits can still make a significant contribution in many soybean growing countries to increase biological nitrogen fixation as well as soybean productivity per unit area. Looking for research thrust for nutrient supplement, the experiment was framed with nine treatments to assess the effect of grain yield.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted under field conditions at

Instructional cum Research Farm, College of Agriculture, Raipur (Chhattisgarh) during Kharif 2011-12 . Spacing between plant to plant and row to row was 10 x 30 cm. All other standard agronomic package of practice were followed to raise the crop. Melamine phosphate amended with Mo, Fe, S was applied as basal soil application @ 5kg ha-1 and foliar application @ 3gl-1 at 20 and 45 DAS. Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium @ 20:60:20kg ha-1 (100% RDF) and 15:45:15 kg ha-1 (75% RDF) was applied as basal through urea, single super phosphate and murate of potash (MOP). The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications. The treatments comprised of 9 nutritional schedules. This study was planned with different treatments (T1: Absolute Control i.e. no fertilizers and no rhizobial inoculation, T2:Inoculation of Rhizobium +100% RDF, T3: Inoculation of Rhizobium + 75%RDF, T4: Inoculationof Rhizobium + Soil application of amended melamine phosphate, T5: Inoculation of Rhizobium + Foliar application of amended melamine phosphate, T6: Inoculationof Rhizobium +75%RDF + Soil application of amended melamine phosphate, T7: Inoculation of Rhizobium +75%RDF+ Foliar application of amended melamine phosphate, T8: Inoculation of Rhizobium + Soil and foliar application of amended melamine phosphate and T9: Inoculation of Rhizobium +75%RDF+ Soil and foliar application of amended melamine phosphate). Healthy soybean seed was treated before sowing with thiram @3g kg ¹ seed. After fungicidal treatment, soybean (JS-335) seed was inoculated with homologous effective local culture of Rhizobium @ 5 g kg⁻¹ seed. Neutralized gum arabic and lignite were used as sticking and wetting agent. Amount of matured YEM- Rhizobium suspension was fixed to ensure at least 105 viable cells were received by every seed (Nambiaret al., 1984

and Nambiar, 1985). The inoculatedseeds were kept in cool and dry shed before sowing.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table1 reveals that at 15 and 60 DAS significantly highest plant height was recorded in treatment T2 among all the treatments but remaining treatment were found at par with each other. However, at 30 and 45 DAS plant height was recorded non significant. This observation is in close agreement with Tomar et et al. (2004), Khutate et al. (2005), Tripathi (2007), Nagaraju et al. (2006), Paradkar and Deshmukh (2004), Lakpale and Shrivastava (2006) they also mentioned plant growth can be increased by treatment with different levels of fertilizers.

Number of nodules/plant was recorded significantly higher in treatment T6 among all the treatments but it was statistically at par with treatment T2, T9 and T7. Fresh weight of nodules were recorded significantly highest under treatment T9 which was at par with T7,T6 and T3, but in case of N content of nodule was observed significantly superior than the all treatments but it was found significantly at par with treatment T2, T7, T6, T3, T8 and T5 respectively. However, in case of N uptake by nodule was recorded significantly highest in treatment T2 among all the treatments(Table 2). Findings of the present investigation are close to observations of Zhang et al. (1996), who reported that significantly increased N accumulation due to treatment with different fertilizers levels. Similar findings were also reported by Prasad and Ram (1986), Alagawadi et al. (1993) and Quasim et al. (2001). They mentioned that number of nodules and biomass can be increased due to different levels of fertilizer and rhizobial inoculation. This observation is also supported by the findings

Table 1: Response of amended melamine phosphate on plant height.

Treatment	Plant height at 15 DAS(cm)	Plant height at 30 DAS (cm)	Plant height at 45 DAS(cm)	Plant height at 60 DAS(cm)
T1	10.64	23.14	47.48	52.00
T2	14.18	28.67	60.00	66.1
T3	13.62	26.85	5 <i>7</i> .05	62.14
T4	12.74	25.33	53.93	58.27
T5	10.95	25.77	54.78	59.94
T6	14.12	27.14	57.20	62.55
T <i>7</i>	13.88	27.21	58.35	64.37
T8	12.70	25.55	55.20	60.78
T9	14.10	28.00	58.39	64.14
CD(0.05)	2.23	NS	NS	12.07

Table 2: Response of amended melamine phosphate on nodulation by field grown soybean at 45 DAS

Treatment	No. of nodulation /plant	Fresh wt. of nodule (g/plant)	Dry wt. of nodule (g/plant)	N content of nodule(%)	N uptake by nodule (mg/plant)
T1	29.67	0.568	0.115	5.25	6.04
T2	79.33	0.728	0.196	7.12	13.96
T3	70.33	0.783	0.170	6.73	11.44
T4	59.00	0.703	0.148	6.19	9.16
T5	55.33	0.733	0.154	6.30	9'70
T6	80.00	0.801	0.178	6.75	12.02
T7	71.67	0.803	0.181	6.82	12.34
T8	63.67	0.745	0.157	6.35	9.97
T9	77.67	0.825	0.187	6.89	12.88
CD(0.05)	8.43	0.04	0.03	0.63	0.73

Table 3: Response of amended melamine phosphate on yield of field grown soybean at harvest

Treatment	No. of pods/plant	Straw yield (q/ha)	Grain yield (q/ha)
T1	22.52	22.84	15. <i>7</i> 5
T2	34.12	44.51	26.65
T3	28.50	38.08	23.65
T4	23.68	32.86	19.76
T5	25.50	33.88	20.00
T6	29.60	38.75	23.92
T7	31.47	39.90	24.33
T8	26.90	34.85	20.70
T9	32.14	41.09	24.90
CD(0.05)	5.03	3.56	3.32

of El-Din and Moawad (1991); Paradkar and Deshmukh (2004); Azeez and Adetunji (2008). Further, Shindhe and Soni (1981); Hassan et al. (1994); Gupta et al. (1995); Sharma and Namdev (1999); Ganeshamurthy and Raddy (2000); Gupta et al. (2000); Patil et al. (2002); Tomar et al. (2004). They mentioned significantly higher nodule number, fresh and dry weight was due to treatment with different levels of fertilizers.

Table 3 shows that significantly highest number of podsplant ¹, grain and straw yield qtha-¹ was recorded highest in treatment T2 (100% RDF) but it was at par with treatment T9 (75%RDF+), T7 and T6 in case of number of pods plant ¹ and grain yield qha-¹, however, straw yield q ha-¹ was at par in treatment T9. Findings of the present investigation are also close to observations of Tomar et al (2004), Khutate et al. (2005), Tripathi et al. (2008), and Tomer and Khajanji (2009). They clearly mentioned that grain and straw yield of soybean significantly increased by use of different levels of fertilizer.

REFERENCES

Alagawadi, A. R., Siddaramegowda, T. K. and Habib, A. F. 1993. Groundnut crop response to *Rhizobium* inoculation. *Madras Agril. J.* 70(10): 635-637.

Anonymous 2010. All India Coordinated Research Projects on Soybean. *Directorate of Soybean Research, ICAR, Indore.* pp. 9-10.

Azeez, J.O. and Adetunji, M.T. 2008. Interactive effects of nitrogen and phosphorus on nodulation, yield and nutrient uptake of soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merril). *International J.Tropical Agriculture*. 26(3-4): 457-461.

Choudhary, P., Jhajharia, A. and Kumar, R. 2014. Influence of sulphur and zinc fertilization on yield, yield components and quality traits of soybean (glycine max L.). *The Bioscan.* 9(1): 137-142.

El Din, S. M. S. B. and Moawad, H. 1991. Response of chickling vetch (*Lathyrus*sativus) and forage pea (*Pisumspp*.) to inoculation with *Rhizobiumleguminosarum*in north sinai coastal soil. *Egyption J. Microbiology.* 26: 2, 223-229.

Ganeshamurthy, A. N. and Reddy, K. S. 2000. Effect of integrated use of farmyard manure and sulphur in a soybean and wheat cropping system on nodulation, dry matter production and chlorophyll content of soybean on swell-shrink soils in central India. *J. Agronomy and Crop Science*. **185(2):** 91-97.

Gupta, S. B., Katre, R. K., Chhonkar, P. K., Gupta, M. K. and Adil, M. L. 2000. Significant research and development with reference to biofertilizers in Chhattisgarh, *Fertilizer News*. 45(11): 35-40.

Gupta, S. B., Katre, R. K. and Jaggi, I. K., Adil, M. L. and Singh, C. S.

1995. Presence of soil microflora in Chhttisgarh region of Madhya Pradesh: A survey work. *Proc. of the 60th Annual Convention of the Indian Soc. Soil Sci.* p. 81.

Hassan, H. M., El-Basioni, S. M. and Negm, M. A. 1994. Inoculation and molybdenum spray effects on lupin and soybean in calcareous soils. *Annals-of-Agricultural-Science*, *Moshtohor*. 32: 1, 651-663; 21.

Khutate, N. G., Mendhe, S. N., Dongarkar, K. P., Gudadhe, N. N. and Gavande, V.H. 2005. Effect of nutrient management treatments on growth and yield of soybean. *J. Soil and Crops.* 15(2): 411-414.

Lakpale, R. and Shrivastva, G. K. 2006. Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield and economics of soybean. *Annals of Plant and Soil Research.* **8(2):** 138-140.

Layek, J., Shivakumar, B. G., Rana, D. S., Gangaiah, B., Lakshman, K. and Paramanik, B. 2014. Growth, nodulation, physiological indices and yield of soybean as influenced by sulphur and boron nutrition. *The Bioscan.* 9(4): 1389-1393.

Nagaraju, A. P., Mohankumar, H. K. and Krishna, H. C. 2006. Response of soybean (*Glycine max* L Merril.) to activated rock phosphate as an effective alternative P-source. *Mysore J. Agriculture Science*. **39**: 368-373.

Nambiar, P. T. C. 1985. Response of groundnut (*Arachishypogaea* L) to *Rhizobium* inoculation in the field; Problems and prospects. *MIRCEN J. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*. 1(4): 293-309.

Nambiar, P. T. C., Rao, B. S. and Anjaiah, V. 1984. Studies on competition, persistence and methods of application of a peanut *Rhizobium* strain. *NC*-92

Paradakar, V. K. and Deshmukh, M. R. 2004. Response of soybean (*Glycine max* L Merril.) to application of inorganic fertilizers and their integration with farmyard manure in Satpura plateau zone of Madhya Pradesh. *J. Oilseed Research.* 21: 288-289.

Paradakar, V. K. and Deshmukh, M. R. 2004. Response of soybean (*Glycine max* L Merril.) to application of inorganic fertilizers and their integration with farmyard manure in Satpura plateau zone of Madhya Pradesh. *J.Oilseed Research.* **21:** 288-289.

Patil, N. B., Ingole, G. L., Raut, P. D. and Dangore, S. T. 2002. Impact of manuring and fertilization on yield, quality and nutrient uptake of soybean. *Annals of Plant Physiology*. **16(2)**: 166-169.

Prasad, J. and Ram, H. 1986. Effect of zinc and copper and *Rhizobium* inoculation on nodulation and yield of green gram. *Indian J. Agril. Sci.* **58**: 230-233.

Quasim, M., NawabFazal, Hlmaytulla and Rahim, D. 2001. Responce of inoculation and fertilizers on nodulation on economic yield of soybean cultivars. *J. Biol. Sci.* **1(2):** 76-77.

Sharma, K. N. and Namdev, K. N. 1999. Effect of biofertilizers and phosphorus on growth and yield of soybean (*Glycine max L. Merril*). *Crop Research Hissar.* **17(2):** 160-163.

Shindhe, D. A. and Soni, B. K.1981. Effect of applied phosphate and sulphur on the utilization of fertilizer sulphur by soybean. *JNKV Res. J.* **15(3)**: 98-103.

Tomar, S. S., Singh, R. and Singh, S. P. 2004. Response of phosphorus, sulphur and *Rhizobium* inoculation on growth, yield and quality of soybean (*Glycine max L.*). *Progressive Agriculture.***1:** 72-73.

Tomer, G. S. and Khajanji, S. N. 2009. Effect of organic manuring and mineral fertilizer on the growth, yield and economics of soybean [Glycine max (L.) Merril]. International J. Agri. Sci. **5(2):** 590-594.

Tripathi, M. L. 2007. Balanced use of nutrients for sustaining higher productivity of soybean - wheat cropping system. *Annals of Plant and Soil Res.* **9(1):** 27-30.

Tripathi, R. K., Tomar, I. S., Mishra, S. and Vani, D. K. 2008. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of soybean in Jhabua Hills Zone of Madhya Pradesh. *Soybean Research.* **6:** 44-48.

www.google.com/patents/us5139555.

Zhang, F., Dashti, N., Hynes, R. K. and Smith, D. L. 1996. Plant growth promoting Rhizobacteria and soybean (Glycine max (L.) Merr.)

nodulation and nitrogen fixation at suboptimal root zone temperatures. $Annal\ of\ Botany.\ 77:\ 453-459.$