STABILITY PERFORMANCE OF TOSSA JUTE (CORCHORUS OLITORIUS L.) UNDER WATERLOGGED CONDITION

SONIKA YUMNAM*, ASHUTOSH SAWARKAR, S. MUKHERJEE AND K.K. SARKAR

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding,

Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur, Nadia - 741 252, West Bengal, INDIA e-mail: sonikayumnam@gmail.com

KEYWORDS

Tossa jute Stability Waterlogging Fibre yield Fibre quality

Received on: 10.03.2015

Accepted on: 06.07.2015

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

Genotype x environment interaction for twenty genotypes of tossa jute were studied over four environments for seven different yield and quality attributing traits to identify genotypes with stable performance under waterlogged condition. Genotype x environment interactions were significant for fibre tenacity and fibre fineness indicating substantial variation among genotypes over the environment. High yielding OIN 955 (12.188 g/plant) showed high stability (bi = 0.308 and $6^2 \, d_i$ = 0.388) to waterlogged environment for the character along with average stability for basal diameter (bi = 0.801 and $6^2 \, d_i$ = 0.023). Relatively poor yielder OIN 941 (9.837 g/plant) also showed stability for yield (bi = 0.646 and $6^2 \, d_i$ = 1.305) along with average stability for quality parameters suggesting presence of resistance genes which could be harnessed to enhance genetic potentiality against inundated environment with simultaneous improvement in fibre quality. The genotypes OIN 955 can be recommended for cultivation under waterlogged condition or as donor parents to improve others commercially potent varieties

INTRODUCTION

Jute is a natural fibre with golden and silky shine and hence called "The Golden Fibre". It is the second most important source of vegetative fibre after cotton in terms of usage, productivity and availability. It is also regarded as the most important bast fibre crop of the world. Genotype environment interactions are of major importance to the plant breeder in developing improved varieties. This interaction is usually present whether the varieties are pure lines, single-cross or double-cross hybrids, top crosses or any other material with which the breeder may be working (Eberhart and Russell, 1966). The relative performance of different genotypes varies from one environment to another i.e. a genotype \times environment ($G \times E$) interaction always play important role. G × E interaction results in change of the relative ranking of the genotypes and also in altering the magnitude of differences in performance among genotypes (Kumar et al., 2013). It is vital to study the performance of a crop in more than one environment to identify genotypes which give high productivity over a wide range of environments (Niharika et al., 2014). The stable genotypes identified will play an important role in developing varieties for cultivation in adverse environment or may act as donor parents to improve commercially potent varieties.

Jute thrives best under a warm and humid climate with temperature ranging from 24 to 37°C and relative humidity from 70-80%. *C. capsularis* can withstand water logged condition and drought to some extent, whereas *C. olitorius* is more susceptible to waterlogged condition. Water

management in jute includes application of need – based irrigation and drain out of stagnant or excess water from the field whenever necessary. In eastern India the crop is often encountered by water stagnation due to heavy rainfall or flash flood which greatly reduced yield potentiality of the crop. The present investigation, therefore, initiated to evaluate *C. olitorius* genotypes under waterlogged condition at their later stage of growth to assess the spectrum of genetic variability within the genotypes in respect to show resistance to waterlogging with stable yield.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Twenty genotypes (OIN 915, OIN 921, OIN 937, OIN 941, OIN 955, OIN 976, OIN 981, OIJ 257, OIN 986, OIN 994, OIJ 213, OIJ 216, OIJ 246, OIJ 284, OIJ 299, OEX 008, OEX 019, OEX 024, JRO 524 and JRO 8432) were evaluated to identify stable genotypes under waterlogged condition by growing them under two environments i.e. waterlogged for two years. The experiment was carried out in Teaching Farm Mondouri, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Nadia, West Bengal. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with three replications. In each replication each genotype was grown in a plot of 5 rows of 3 meter length each with a spacing of 30 cm between rows. The size of each plot was 3 m X 1.5 m with inter plot distance of 0.5 m and sowing of the genotypes were done on 10th April, 2010 and 13th April, 2011. Recommended doses of major nutrient (N, P and K) were applied and normal cultural practices were followed. 90 days old plants were exposed to 30 cm standing water (Prodhan,

2001) and allowed to grow in standing water till they were 120 days old or died earlier. At 120 days after sowing, data were recorded for the yield attributing characters viz. plant height (cm), base diameter (cm), green weight (g), dry stick weight (g), fibre tenacity (tex/g), fineness (tex) and fibre weight (g) for five randomly selected plants per replication per genotype. Fibre tenacity and fibre fineness were measured by Fibre Bundle Strength Tester and Air Flow Fineness Tester respectively. Mean values of genotypes were computed and statistically analyzed to assess genotype x environment interaction and stability parameters for fibre yield and quality traits across the environments following the method of Eberhart and Russell (1966).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of variance of g x e interaction (Table 1) revealed presence of significant variance for genotype with respect to fibre quality like fibre tenacity and fibre fineness only indicating substantial variation in mean performance among genotypes over the environment for these quality parameters. Roy et al. (2011) observed non-significant difference for different traits of tossa jute studied in different environments. The presence of significant environmental variance indicated that the two conditions, waterlogged and normal were well diverse and suitable for evaluating stability of genotypes. Highly significant mean sum of square due to g x e interactions for all the characters suggested differential performance of genotypes as a consequent effect on change in environmental conditions. The e+(gxe) mean sum of squares were highly significant for all the characters except dry stick weight indicating that these characters were highly influenced by environment resulting in higher gxe interaction. The higher magnitude of mean sum of square due to environment (linear) against (genotype x season) for all the characters indicated linear response of environment which accounted for major part of the variation among genotypes of these characters.

The significant mean sum of square due to (genotype x environment) linear component against pooled deviation for fibre tenacity and fibre fineness suggested that the genotypes were diverse for these quality features of fibre which changes with environment on account of regression response. Alam (1987) and Subbalakshmi et al.(1992) reported the same for basal diameter only. Highly significant mean sum of square due to pooled deviation was observed for all the characters except fibre tenacity suggesting that performance of different genotypes fluctuated significantly from their respective linear path of response to environment. Nargis et al. (2010) reported the same for fibre yield and its attributes except basal diameter. Thus it may be concluded that predictable components for fibre tenacity and predictable as well as non-predictable component for other yield related characters and fibre fineness were mainly involved towards differential response for stability of the genotypes for the respective characters.

The genotypes with good performance like OIJ 216 (fibre yield 13.198 g/plant) and JRO 524 (fibre yield 13.587 g/plant) with regression coefficient more than one and significant deviation from regression (6² d₂) was highly unstable and can be cultivated under good cultural environment (Table 2).

Table 1: Analysis of variance for interaction between

| able I. Allarysis of | valiance 101 II | Table 1. Alialysis of variance for interaction between gene | ory pe and environment | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|---|------------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------|------------|
| Source | d.f | Plant | Base | Green | Dry stick | Tenacity | Fineness | Dry fibre |
| | | height(cm) | diameter (cm) | weight (g) | weight (g) | (g/tex) | (tex) | weight (g) |
| Genotype(g) | 19 | 585.811 | 0.019 | 1,647.379 | 15.365 | 4.930 ** | 0.126** | 4.951 |
| Environment(e) | 3 | 6249.746** | 0.213** | 45400.237** | 75.672** | 737.956** | 0.628** | 117.595** |
| g×e | 57 | 431.721 ** | 0.012** | 1460.460** | 15.550** | 1.284 ** | 0.053** | 4.151** |
| $e + (g \times e)$ | 09 | 722.622** | 0.022** | 3657.449** | 18.556 | 38.118** | 0.081** | 9.824** |
| e (linear) | _ | 18749.237** | 0.639** | 136200.712** | 227.016** | 2213.868** | 1.885** | 352.785** |
| g x e (linear) | 19 | 407.407 | 0.017 | 1612.428 | 18.267 | 3.793 ** | 0.127** | 2.053 |
| Pooled Deviation | 40 | 421.684** | **600.0 | 1315.252** | 13.482** | 0.028 | 0.015** | 4.941** |
| Pooled Error | 152 | 59.935 | 0.001 | 18.026 | 0.219 | 0.175 | 0.003 | 0.074 |
| *Sianificant of E0/ love 1 ** Sianificant of 10/ love | Significant at 1 % | 0,0 | | | | | | |

| Genotypes | Plant height (cm) | (cm) | | Base dian | Base diameter (cm) | | Green weight (g) | ht (g) | | Dry stick weight (g) | veight (g) | |
|--------------------|--|----------------|----------------|-----------|--------------------|----------------|------------------|--------|----------------|----------------------|------------|----------------|
| | Mean | p _. | $\sigma^2 d_i$ | Mean | مً. | $\sigma^2 d_i$ | Mean | آهـ | $\sigma^2 d_i$ | Mean | ً م | $\sigma^2 d_i$ |
| OIJ 216 | 279.450 | 1.223 | 135.731 ** | 1.435 | 0.762 | 0.000 | 206.250 | 1.166 | 47.198* | 24.928 | 1.513 | 3.988 |
| OIJ 213 | 278.863 | 2.208 | 44.839** | 1.334 | 0.280 | 0.012 | 190.250 | 0.791 | 1294.754** | 23.103 | 2.501 | 1.270 |
| OIN 981 | 292.904 | 1.610 | 207.047** | 1.306 | -0.162 | 0.004 | 184.333 | 0.388 | 2516.219** | 21.939 | 1.718 | 609.0 |
| OIJ 257 | 291.371 | 1.584 | 361.317** | 1.372 | 0.050 | 0.008 | 216.500 | 1.391 | 612.804** | 24.780 | 3.096 | 28.454** |
| OEX 019 | 294.617 | 1.709 | 490.420 ** | 1.445 | 0.059 | 0.008 | 219.792 | 1.518 | 5582.400** | 25.377 | 2.525 | 27.478** |
| OIN 941 | 284.708 | 0.972 | 137.942** | 1.319 | 1.496 | 0.004 | 182.083 | 1.021 | 494.179** | 22.494 | 0.736 | 0.965 |
| OIN 921 | 281.983 | 1.609 | 56.210** | 1.405 | 0.589 | 0.001 | 183.250 | 0.752 | 627.745 ** | 23.263 | 2.734 | 2.920 |
| OEX 008 | 302.950 | 1.066 | -4.790 | 1.500 | 1.438 | 0.005 | 222.417 | 1.311 | 171.302** | 27.446 | 1.300 | 4.418* |
| OIN 955 | 312.325 | 0.668 | 191.110** | 1.479 | 0.801 | 0.023 | 215.417 | 0.421 | 374.316** | 28.903 | 1.417 | 40.851** |
| 926 NIO | 305.913 | 0.847 | 37.148** | 1.523 | 1.523 | 0.012 | 223.417 | 1.370 | 1140.602** | 27.673 | 0.630 | 2.402 |
| OIJ 284 | 305.508 | 0.927 | 84.105 ** | 1.451 | 690.0 | 0.000 | 222.167 | 0.396 | 1075.200** | 26.448 | 1.413 | 8.248** |
| OIJ 246 | 303.367 | 0.455 | 61.408** | 1.414 | 1.744 | 0.004 | 199.500 | 1.173 | 543.085 ** | 25.411 | -0.183 | 20.728** |
| 986 NIO | 302.825 | -0.194 | 255.291 ** | 1.405 | 1.357 | 0.003 | 200.083 | 0.618 | 68.945 ** | 23.445 | 1.454 | 0.136 |
| OIN 937 | 289.746 | 0.651 | 1.592 | 1.382 | 1.105 | 0.008 | 194.834 | 0.456 | 126.549** | 25.456 | 1.371 | 7.041 ** |
| OIN 994 | 294.325 | 0.570 | 137.312** | 1.492 | 1.773 | 900.0 | 211.500 | 1.282 | 1728.581 ** | 22.637 | 0.439 | 1.298 |
| OIN 915 | 296.163 | -0.621 | 3225.940 ** | 1.402 | 1.059 | 0.003 | 192.250 | 1.318 | 410.080** | 25.388 | 0.766 | 3.827 |
| OEX 024 | 286.288 | 1.205 | 1454.834** | 1.506 | 2.233 | 0.032 | 242.500 | 2.253 | 7453.134** | 25.668 | -0.993 | 9.237** |
| OIJ 299 | 265.162 | 1.344 | -16.894 | 1.384 | 1.839 | 0.008 | 193.083 | 1.223 | 1202.930** | 23.248 | -1.268 | 26.610** |
| JRO 8432 | 274.000 | 1.388 | 56.422** | 1.415 | 0.178 | 0.017 | 191.167 | 0.517 | 706.655** | 25.521 | 0.098 | 5.432* |
| JRO 524 | 285.867 | 0.778 | 1117.125 ** | 1.560 | 1.805 | 0.007 | 257.917 | 0.636 | 8.183 | 27.791 | -1.267 | 72.261** |
| *Significant at 5% | *Significant at 5% level ** Significant at 1 % level | tat1% level | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 2: Cont.....

| Genotypes | Tenacity (g | /tex) | | Fineness (t | ex) | | Dry fibre w | eight (g) | |
|-----------|-------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|
| | Mean | b _i | $\sigma^2 d_{_i}$ | Mean | b _i | $\sigma^2 d_i$ | Mean | b _i | $\sigma^2 d_i$ |
| OIJ 216 | 17.716 | 0.903 | -0.007 | 2.463 | 0.975 | 0.098 | 13.198 | 1.854 | 11.102** |
| OIJ 213 | 18.752 | 0.689 | -0.046 | 2.481 | -1.470 | 0.026 | 11.169 | 1.505 | 1.436 |
| OIN 981 | 17.772 | 1.219 | -0.036 | 2.136 | 2.121 | 0.000 | 9.655 | 1.199 | 4.965 ** |
| OIJ 257 | 16.663 | 1.078 | -0.058 | 2.189 | 2.043 | -0.000 | 10.425 | 0.835 | 14.323** |
| OEX 019 | 16.433 | 1.150 | 0.019 | 2.207 | 0.025 | 0.011 | 10.168 | 0.940 | 15.723 * * |
| OIN 941 | 18.275 | 0.995 | -0.008 | 2.484 | -0.103 | -0.001 | 9.837 | 0.646 | 1.305 |
| OIN 921 | 16.481 | 1.033 | -0.055 | 2.689 | -0.123 | 0.040 | 10.148 | 0.833 | 5.568** |
| OEX 008 | 18.527 | 0.909 | -0.041 | 2.476 | 1.126 | -0.001 | 12.108 | 1.038 | 0.582 |
| OIN 955 | 15.474 | 1.485 | -0.009 | 2.261 | 2.389 | -0.001 | 12.188 | 0.308 | 0.388 |
| OIN 976 | 16.273 | 0.796 | -0.05 <i>7</i> | 2.133 | 1.340 | -0.001 | 10.964 | 1.029 | 1.631 |
| OIJ 284 | 17.974 | 1.038 | -0.050 | 2.178 | 1.687 | -0.001 | 12.811 | 0.895 | 1.665 |
| OIJ 246 | 18.800 | 0.895 | -0.05 <i>7</i> | 2.484 | 0.298 | 0.020 | 12.352 | 0.799 | 0.835 |
| OIN 986 | 19.158 | 0.787 | -0.05 <i>7</i> | 2.598 | 0.352 | 0.037 | 11.151 | 1.497 | 6.873** |
| OIN 937 | 16.423 | 1.083 | -0.057 | 2.378 | 0.875 | 0.000 | 11.349 | 0.729 | 0.272 |
| OIN 994 | 15.606 | 1.086 | 0.016 | 2.388 | -0.541 | 0.001 | 10.975 | 1.045 | 0.502 |
| OIN 915 | 16.720 | 1.113 | 0.017 | 2.277 | 2.178 | 0.005 | 10.861 | 1.014 | 1.238 |
| OEX 024 | 16.231 | 0.844 | -0.030 | 2.175 | 1.550 | 0.005 | 10.750 | 0.866 | 2.890* |
| OIJ 299 | 16.754 | 0.752 | -0.033 | 2.344 | 0.045 | 0.002 | 10.371 | 1.281 | 11.198** |
| JRO 8432 | 18.022 | 1.048 | -0.002 | 2.005 | 2.767 | 0.034 | 11.458 | 0.805 | 0.205 |
| JRO 524 | 17.688 | 1.095 | -0.046 | 2.225 | 2.466 | -0.001 | 13.587 | 0.884 | 15.621** |

^{*}Significant at 5% level ** Significant at 1% level

Khandakar et al. (1990) earlier reported that yield and stability were inversely related in both the species of jute. The genotypes OIJ 284 and OIJ 246 with relatively high per se performance for fibre yield (12.811 g/plant and 12.352 g/plant respectively) had shown average stability for the character (bi = 0.895 and 0.799 respectively with non-significant least deviation from regression), fibre tenacity in OIJ 246 (bi=0.895 and nonsignificant least deviation from regression) and basal diameter in OIJ 284 (bi=0.069 and $6^2 d_i = 0.000$). Another relatively high fibre yielding genotype OIN 955 (fibre yield = 12.188 g/ plant, bi=0.308 and 6^2 d =0.388) showed high stability to waterlogged environment for the character along with average stability for basal diameter (bi = 0.801 and $6^2 d_i = 0.023$). The genotype OIN 941 having relatively poor performance for fibre yield (9.837 g/plant) showed high stability for the character (bi = 0.646 and 6^2 d = 1.305) along with average stability and mean value more than average population mean for quality parameters i.e. fibre tenacity (bi = 0.995 and $6^2 d_i = -0.008$) and fibre fineness (bi = -0.103 and $6^2 d = -0.001$). It can be suggested that resistance genes against such adverse environment are also present in OIN 941 hence it can be used to enhance genetic potentiality against inundated environment with simultaneous improvement in fibre quality. The genotypes OIN 955 can be recommended for cultivation under waterlogged condition or as donor parents to improve others commercially potent varieties.

REFERENCES

Alam, M. S. 1987. Genotype x environment interactions and phenotypic stability analysis in tossa jute (*Corchorus olitorius* L.). *Bangladesh J. Agriculture*. **12:** 142-147.

Eberhart, S. A. and Russell, W. A. 1966. Stability parameters for comparing varieties. *Crop Science*. **6**: 36-40.

Khandakar, A. L., Begum, S. and Hossain, A. 1990. Study of yield x environments to assess the stability of some jute (*Corchorus*) varieties. In: Proceedings of the International Congress of Plant Physiology, New Delhi, India. **1:** 491-493.

Kumar, N., Tikka, S. B. S., Dagla, M. C., Bhagirath, R. and Meena, H. P. 2013. Genotypic adaptability for seed yield and physiological traits in sesame (Sesamum indicum L.). The Bioscan. 8(4): 1503-1509

Nargis, A., Islam, M. M., Yahiya, A. S. M. and Newaz, M. A. 2010. Genotype X environment interaction of tossa jute (*Corchorus olitorius* L.) for fibre and seed yield. *J. Experimental Biosciences*. 1(1): 69-74.

Niharika, S., Shukla, R. S. and Arun, C. 2014. Stability and molecular characterization to screen out heat tolerant genotypes of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). The Bioscan. 9(2): 845-851.

Prodhan, A. K. M., Rahman, M. L. and Haque, M. A. 2001. Effect of water stresses on growth attributes in jute. I. Plant height. *Pakistan J. Biological Science.* **4:** 128-135.

Roy, S. K., Chakraborty, G., Roy, A., Haque, S., Sinha M. K., Mitra, S. and Kale, V. A. 2011. Stability for fibre yield and its attributing traits in white jute (*Corchorus capsularis* L.). *Journal of Crop and Weed.* 7(2): 97-99.

Subbalakshmi, B., Sundaram, M. K. and Rangasamy, S. R. S. 1992. Studies on stability of jute (*Corchorus olitorius*) genotypes over different seasons. *Madras Agricultural J.* **79(2):** 109-110.