

# RESPONSE OF DIFFERENT CONCENTRATIONS OF UREA, $KNO_3$ AND MICRONUTRIENT MIXTURE ON QUALITY OF SAPOTA (*MANILKARA ACHRAS* (MILL.) FOSBERG) CV. KALIPATTI

K. M. SHARMA\*, V. SINGH, J. J. AMARCHOLI AND S. MOMIN

Department of Fruit Science,

ASPEE College of Horticulture and Forestry, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari - 396 450, Gujarat, INDIA

e-mail: k.m.sharma456@gmail.com

## KEYWORDS

Sapota  
Urea  
 $KNO_3$   
Micronutrients

Received on :  
19.10.2015

Accepted on :  
13.02.2016

\*Corresponding  
author

## ABSTRACT

In sapota a peak season harvesting always result in market glut and thus reduction in prices. For sustenance in non-peak season an experiment was conducted during the year 2013-14 at College Farm, College of Agriculture, Navsari Agricultural University, Bharuch Campus, Bharuch (Gujarat) to get quality yield. The experiment was laid out with ten treatments in Randomized Block Design and replicated three times. The treatment comprised of three different concentrations of Urea ( $T_1$ : 1 %,  $T_2$ : 1.5 % and  $T_3$ : 2 %),  $KNO_3$  ( $T_4$ : 1%,  $T_5$ : 1.5 % and  $T_6$ : 2 %), Micronutrient Mixture Grade-4 ( $T_7$ : 1 %,  $T_8$ : 1.5 % and  $T_9$ : 2 %) along with control as water spray ( $T_{10}$ ). All the chemicals were sprayed twice: first in the month of November at the marble stage and second in the month of January. Among the quality parameters  $T_9$  was found superior with fruit firmness ( $0.56 \text{ kg cm}^{-2}$ ), TSS ( $21.36^\circ \text{ Brix}$ ), acidity ( $0.199 \%$ ), ascorbic acid ( $19.17 \text{ mg } 100\text{g}^{-1}$ ), total sugar ( $17.41 \%$ ), reducing sugar ( $9.86 \%$ ) and non-reducing sugar ( $7.55 \%$ ) which is found at par with  $T_8$ .

## INTRODUCTION

Sapota or Chiku [*Manilkara achras* (Mill.) Fosberg] is a delicious fruit introduced from Tropical America. The plant is a native of Mexico and now widely cultivated in tropics. Sapota cultivation was taken up for the first time in Maharashtra in 1898 in a village named Gholwad (Cheema *et al.*, 1954). It is one of the excellent tropical fruit crops highly suited to humid climates of South Gujarat agro-climatic zone, which is known to produce best fruit of this species in our country. Area and production of India is 163.9 Mha and 1495.0 MT respectively out of which Gujarat alone covers 28.81 Mha and produce 309.89 MT (Anon., 2014).

In the peak season the production is high leading to market glut and thus retention of crop with better quality in non-peak season may be helpful to reduce market glut and also to fetch good market price in this season. Thus advantage of around the year flowering can be taken up in this crop. It is observed that yield response curves are strongly modulated by interactions between nutrients and other growth factors (Marschner, 2012). The application of foliar sprays can help to preserve crop yields and quality, with low environmental impact (Fageria *et al.*, 2009). The important criterion of the effectiveness of the nutrient spray is the rate at which the foliar applied nutrients are absorbed by the leaves and trans located within the plant (Alexander, 1986). Secondly, foliar sprays are normally more rapid than to soil treatment application of nutrients especially in the reproductive phase as it compensates the root decline with the onset of reproductive

stage (Weinbaum, 1988). Considering this, Urea,  $KNO_3$  and micronutrient mixture Grade-4 were taken for evaluation for their response in the non-peak season flowering.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Plant materials and treatments

The experiment was carried out during the year 2013-14 at College Farm, Navsari Agricultural University, Bharuch Campus, Bharuch which is situated on the bank of river Narmada at  $21^\circ 42' 57.53'' \text{ N}$  latitude and  $72^\circ 58' 38.57'' \text{ E}$  longitude at an altitude of about 20.66 m above the mean sea level. Climatically Bharuch is typical tropical characterized by fairly hot summer and moderately cold winter, moderately humid and warm monsoon with moderate rains.

The experimental material consisted of 15 years old uniform sapota trees of cultivar "Kalipatti" spaced at  $8 \times 8 \text{ m}$  distance. The experiment was laid out with 3 replications and 10 treatments, where per treatment 2 trees per selected. Treatments were selected as  $T_1$  (Urea @ 1 %);  $T_2$  (Urea @ 1.5 %);  $T_3$  (Urea @ 2 %);  $T_4$  ( $KNO_3$  @ 1 %);  $T_5$  ( $KNO_3$  @ 1.5 %);  $T_6$  ( $KNO_3$  @ 2 %);  $T_7$  (Micronutrient mixture Grade-4 @ 1 %);  $T_8$  (Micronutrient mixture Grade-4 @ 1.5 %);  $T_9$  (Micronutrient mixture Grade-4 @ 2 %) and  $T_{10}$  (Control – Water Spray). Foliar applications of the treatments were done twice. First spray was done during 1<sup>st</sup> fortnight of November at marble stage and 2<sup>nd</sup> spray was done 2 months after the 1<sup>st</sup> spray. The fruits were harvested in the month May when they are fully

matured and parameters like fruit retention, yield, physical parameters and quality parameters were observed and statistically analyzed in SAS. Among the quality parameters, fruit firmness was measured in kg cm<sup>-2</sup> by using penetrometer, while TSS was measured by using refractometer. Acidity (%), ascorbic acid (mg/100g) were calculated by titrimetric method as described by Ranganna (1986), while total sugars (%), reducing sugars (%) and non-reducing sugars (%) were calculated by titrimetric method of Lyon and Eynon method as described by Ranganna (1986).

### Statistical Analysis

The statistical analysis was performed by PROC GLM procedure of SAS 9.3 statistical software as per SAS/STAT Institute INC. (2011) for randomized block design (RBD). The treatment means were compared by means of critical differences at 5 % of probability.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the present investigation, results so obtained are presented in the Table 1 for quality parameter. Fruit firmness was found highest on application of T<sub>9</sub> which were found at par with T<sub>8</sub>. This was found in agreement with Kumar *et al.* (2015) in guava. This might be due to role of B in the membrane stability (Yamauchi *et al.*, 1986), thus enabling to strengthen the cell walls. Boron may be necessary for cell-to-wall adhesion and organization of the architectural integrity of the cell (Bassil *et al.*, 2004) which might result better strengthening the fruit skin.

Fruit TSS was found highest at T<sub>9</sub> which was statistically at par with T<sub>8</sub>. However, T<sub>9</sub> showed the lowest acidity which was at par with the T<sub>8</sub>. This was found in agreement with Saraswathy *et al.* (2005) in sapota and Nehete *et al.* (2010) in Mango. Higher TSS in Micronutrient mixture Grade-4 based fertilizer might be mainly due to the influence of boron and zinc. Boron is responsible for sugar metabolism and accumulation of carbohydrates (Sourour, 2000). Whereas, zinc plays a roles in photosynthesis and related enzymes which helps in the further accumulation of carbohydrates (Abedy, 2001). The reason for lower acidity might lies with the conversion of acid under influence of micronutrients by reactions involving reversal of glycolytic pathway (Ruffner *et al.*, 1975). The

possible role of zinc might also be responsible in reducing acidity by activation of many enzymes (Abedy, 2001).

Ascorbic acid content was seen higher in T<sub>9</sub> which was significantly at par with T<sub>8</sub>, T<sub>7</sub> and T<sub>6</sub>. This was found in accordance with the Saraswathy *et al.* (2005) in sapota and Shekhar *et al.* (2010) in papaya. This might be due to the presence of different micronutrients in Micronutrient mixture Grade-4 which is known to increase ascorbic acid content level (Taiz and Zeiger, 2010).

Highest total sugars and reducing sugars was found in T<sub>9</sub> which was found at par with T<sub>8</sub>. However, non-reducing sugars was found higher T<sub>9</sub>, but was at par with T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>8</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>7</sub> and T<sub>4</sub>. This was found in agreement with Saraswathy *et al.* (2005) in sapota; Nehete *et al.* (2010) in mango and Shekhar *et al.* (2010) in papaya. This might be due to the presence of Zn in Micronutrient mixture Grade-4 which plays an important role in photosynthesis and a related enzyme, which leads to increase in sugar (Abedy, 2001). Foliar spray of micronutrients increased the sweetness of fruits, which was due to more intensive transformation of starch into sugars and its translocation into fruits (Rushko, 1968) might have increased both reducing and non-reducing sugars and ultimately total sugars. Further, boron is also responsible for sugar metabolism and accumulation of carbohydrates (Sourour, 2000) which also have contributed for enrichment of sweetness. However, in case of non-reducing sugars, higher results with T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> might be due to the presence of nitrogen, where nitrogen fertilization in autumn seems to lead to a greater accumulation of carbohydrate reserves by indirectly affecting the photosynthetic rate (Oliveira and Pristley, 1988) making accumulation of sucrose and less conversion into invertase sugar.

From this experiment, results shows that there is rise in quality parameters on foliar application of micronutrients mixture Grade-4 in treatment T<sub>9</sub> and T<sub>8</sub> which very much been supported by the Lalithya *et al.* (2014) suggesting their better absorption via foliar application and thus fruits shows better quality.

**Table 1: Effect of different chemicals on quality parameters**

Treatment	Fruit Firmness (kg cm <sup>-2</sup> )	TSS (° Brix )	Acidity(%)	Ascorbic acid content (mg 100g <sup>-1</sup> )	Total Sugar (%)	Reducing Sugar (%)	Non-reducing Sugar (%)
T <sub>1</sub>	0.42 <sup>de</sup>	20.67 <sup>bc</sup>	0.199 <sup>bc</sup>	15.42 <sup>ef</sup>	16.53 <sup>def</sup>	9.51 <sup>cde</sup>	7.02 <sup>bcd</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	0.46 <sup>cd</sup>	20.67 <sup>bc</sup>	0.234 <sup>a</sup>	15.42 <sup>ef</sup>	16.66 <sup>cde</sup>	9.36 <sup>e</sup>	7.30 <sup>ab</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	0.49 <sup>bc</sup>	20.51 <sup>bc</sup>	0.242 <sup>a</sup>	14.58 <sup>f</sup>	16.96 <sup>bc</sup>	9.48 <sup>cde</sup>	7.47 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>4</sub>	0.47 <sup>c</sup>	20.71 <sup>b</sup>	0.199 <sup>bc</sup>	16.25 <sup>cdef</sup>	16.77 <sup>bcd</sup>	9.57 <sup>bcd</sup>	7.20 <sup>abc</sup>
T <sub>5</sub>	0.49 <sup>bc</sup>	20.49 <sup>bc</sup>	0.213 <sup>b</sup>	17.08 <sup>bcd</sup>	16.34 <sup>ef</sup>	9.58 <sup>bcd</sup>	6.76 <sup>de</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	0.49 <sup>bc</sup>	20.38 <sup>bc</sup>	0.206 <sup>b</sup>	17.81 <sup>abc</sup>	16.20 <sup>f</sup>	9.65 <sup>bc</sup>	6.55 <sup>e</sup>
T <sub>7</sub>	0.48 <sup>c</sup>	20.82 <sup>b</sup>	0.199 <sup>d</sup>	17.50 <sup>abcd</sup>	16.76 <sup>bcd</sup>	9.54 <sup>cd</sup>	7.22 <sup>abc</sup>
T <sub>8</sub>	0.52 <sup>ab</sup>	21.31 <sup>a</sup>	0.178 <sup>d</sup>	18.22 <sup>ab</sup>	17.10 <sup>ab</sup>	9.75 <sup>ab</sup>	7.36 <sup>ab</sup>
T <sub>9</sub>	0.56 <sup>a</sup>	21.36 <sup>a</sup>	0.171 <sup>bc</sup>	19.17 <sup>a</sup>	17.41 <sup>a</sup>	9.86 <sup>a</sup>	7.55 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>10</sub>	0.41 <sup>e</sup>	20.16 <sup>c</sup>	0.185 <sup>cd</sup>	15.83 <sup>def</sup>	16.34 <sup>ef</sup>	9.45 <sup>de</sup>	6.89 <sup>cde</sup>
LSD @ 5 %	0.04	0.50	0.016	1.95	0.42	0.14	0.41
r <sup>2</sup>	0.81	0.74	0.91	0.73	0.78	0.76	0.72
C V %	5.40	1.43	4.74	6.81	1.49	1.06	3.43

## REFERENCES

- Abedy, A. 2001.** Effects of zinc sulphate and citric acid spray on fruit characteristics of tomato cultivar 'Urbana'. M.Sc. Thesis, Shiraz University.
- Alexander, A. 1986.** Foliar Fertilization. Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands. pp. 17-26.
- Anonymous. 2014.** Indian Horticultural Database-2013. National Horticulture Board, Gurgaon. pp. 120-126.
- Bassil, E., Hu, H. N. and Brown, P. H. 2004.** Use of phenylboronic acids to investigate boron function in plants. Possible role of boron in transvacuolar cytoplasmic strands and cell-to-wall adhesion. *Plant Physiol.* **136**: 3383-3395.
- Cheema, G. S., Bhat, S. S. and Naik, K. C. 1954.** Commercial Fruits of India (With special reference to western India). Macmillan and Co., Calcutta. pp. 359-378.
- Fageria, N. K., Barbosa Filho, M. P., Moreira, A. and Guimaraes, C. M. 2009.** Foliar fertilization of crop plants. *J. Plant Nutri.* **32**: 1044-1064.
- Kumar, J., Kumar, R., Rai, R. and Mishra, D. S. 2015.** Response of 'Pant Prabhat' guava trees to foliar sprays of zinc, boron, calcium and potassium at different growth stages. *The Bioscan.* **10(2)**: 495-498.
- Lalithya, K. A., Bhagya, H. P., Bharathi, K. and Hipparagi, H. 2014.** Response of soil and foliar application of silicon and micronutrients on leaf nutrient status of sapota. *Bioscan.* **9(1)**: 159-162.
- Marschner, P. 2012.** Marschner's Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants (3<sup>rd</sup>ed.). Academic Press, Waltham, USA. pp. 184-200.
- Nehete, D. S., Padhiar, B. V., Shah, N. I., Bhalerao, P. P., Kolambe, B. N. and Bhalerao, R. R. 2011.** Influence of micronutrient spray on flowering, yield, quality and nutrient content in leaf of mango cv. Kesar. *Asian J. Hort.* **6(1)**: 63-67.
- Oliveira, C. M. and Pristley, C. A. 1988.** Carbohydrate Reserves in Deciduous Fruit Trees. In: Janick, J., *Horticultural Reviews Timber Press, Portland, Oregon.* **10**: 403-430.
- Ranganna, S. 1986.** Handbook of analysis and quality control for fruits and vegetables products. Tata Mc Grew Hill Publishing Co. Ltd., New Delhi. India. pp. 1-330.
- Ruffner, H. P., Koblet, W. and Rast, D. 1975.** Gluconeogenase in Riefenden Beeren von *Vitis vinifera*. *Vitis.* **13**: 310-328.
- Rushko, O. O. 1968.** The effect of micro-elements on the carbohydrate metabolism in vines. *Visn.Kyiv'skuniv. Ser. Biol.* **103**: 12.
- Saraswathy, S., Balakrishnan, K., Anbu, S., Manavalan, R. S. and Thangaraj, T. 2005.** Effect of zinc and boron on growth, yield and quality of sapota (*Manilkara achras* Mill.) cv. PKM-1. *S. Indian Hort.* **52(1-6)**: 41-44.
- SAS Institute Inc. 2011.** SAS/STAT 9.3 User's Guide. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC. pp. 1-224.
- Shekhar, C., Yadav, A. L., Singh, H. K. and Singh, M. K. 2010.** Influence of micronutrients on plant growth, yield and quality of papaya fruit (*Carica papaya* L.) cv. Washington. *Asian J. Hort.* **5(2)**: 326-329.
- Sourour, M. M. 2000.** Effect of foliar application of some micronutrients forms on growth, yield, fruit quality and leaf mineral composition of Valencia orange trees grown in North Sinai. *Alexandria J. Agri. Res.* **45(1)**: 269-285.
- Taiz, L. and Zeiger, E. 2010.** Plant Physiology (5<sup>th</sup> Edition). Sinauer Associates Inc., Massachusetts. pp. 108-126.
- Weinbaum, S. A. 1988.** Foliar nutrition of fruit trees. In P. M. Neumann (Ed.), In Plant Growth and Leaf-applied Chemicals. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida. pp. 88-100.
- Yamauchi, T., Hara, T. and Sonoda, Y. 1986.** Distribution of calcium and boron in the pectin fraction of tomato leaf cell wall. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **27**: 729-732.

