

# VARIETAL REACTION OF ONION CULTIVARS AGAINST *ALTERNARIA PORRI* CAUSING PURPLE BLOTCH AND ITS MANAGEMENT

K. T. ARUNAKUMARA\*, C. SATYANARAYANA AND V. ANANDKUMAR

Department of Plant Protection,  
College of Horticulture, Bidar-585403, Karnataka, India.  
e-mail: arunakumarakt@gmail.com

## KEYWORDS

*Alternaria porri*  
Fungicides  
Management  
Onion  
purple blotch

## Received on :

14.05.2016

## Accepted on :

09.10.2016

\*Corresponding  
author

## ABSTRACT

Ten Onion cultivars were tested, during *Kharif* 2013 and 2014 for their reaction to *Alternaria porri* under field conditions. Out of ten varieties evaluated, none of them were found highly resistant. However, three varieties viz., Arka Kalyan, Arka Niketan and Arka Bheema showed resistant reaction. Whereas, two varieties viz., Rampura and Satara local showed moderately resistant reaction and rest of them showed moderately susceptible reaction. Among 10 fungicides, 10 botanicals and 6 bio agents were evaluated in *in vitro* condition against *Alternaria porri*, 0.1% Azoxystrobin-23EC, 0.1% Tebuconazole-25EC, *Allium sativum* (15%) and *Trichoderma harzianum* recorded the maximum inhibition of mycelial growth of *Alternaria porri*. The field evaluation of different fungicides and botanicals during *Kharif* 2014 indicated that 0.1% Tebuconazole-25EC was recorded minimum PDI of 22.68 and yield of 271.00q/ha. 0.1% Azoxystrobin-23EC, 0.1% Difenconazole-25EC, 0.2% Mancozeb-75WP and *Allium sativum* cloves extract were next best treatments found effective in reducing the disease intensity by recording PDI of 24.00, 26.00, 28.00 and 46.00 and yield of 268.00q/ha, 262.65q/ha, 264.00q/ha and 234.65q/ha respectively. Again during *Kharif* 2015 indicated that 0.1% Azoxystrobin-23EC was recorded minimum PDI of 22.66 and yield of 270.67q/ha. 0.1% Tebuconazole-25EC was next best treatment found effective by recording PDI of 24.00 and yield of 267.00q/ha respectively and similar trend was observed for other treatments also.

## INTRODUCTION

Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) is an important bulbous vegetable crop of global importance and it is used as vegetable, salad and spice in the daily diet by large population. It has been reported to be rich in phytochemicals especially flavonols which are medicinal (Javadzadeh *et al.*, 2009). Onion is regarded as highly export oriented crop and earn valuable foreign exchange for the country. Several factors have been identified for the low productivity of onion in India. The most important factor is the purple blotch disease caused by *Alternaria porri* (Ellis) Cif., which affects both bulb and seed crop throughout India. The yield loss of onion in India due to this disease under favorable conditions varies from 5.0 to 96.5 per cent (Gupta and Pathak, 1988). In earlier studies, Sharma, (1997), Chethana *et al.* (2011) and Behera *et al.* (2013) were reported the screening of some varieties to locate the tolerance and resistant types. In the present studies, an attempt has been made to test Onion varieties against purple blotch disease and its management. Spraying of broad spectrum fungicides has been recommended for management of disease. Control achieved by these chemicals is inadequate. There fore, it is thought worthwhile to test the efficacy of more promising chemicals like Propineb-50WP, Metiram-50WP, Hexaconazole-5EC, Azoxystrobin-23EC, Tebuconazole-25EC, Myclobutanil-10WP, Difenconazole-25EC against fungus. Not much light has been shed on biological control, botanicals which are effective against *Alternaria porri*. Hence, an attempt has been made to test commonly available botanicals and bio agents against the pathogen.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Reaction of Onion cultivars

An experiment was conducted at College of Horticulture, Bidar, Karnataka during *Kharif* 2013 and 2014 in Randomized Complete Block Design with a plot size of 3.6 m x 1.8 m. Ten cultivars viz., Satara Local, Rampura, Arka Kalyan, Agripound Dark Red, Ballary Red, Arka Niketan, Nasik Red, Telagi Red, Kumata and Arka Bheema seedlings were planted at a spacing of 15 cm x 10 cm (row to row x plant to plant) and all the recommended agronomic practices were followed to raise a good crop except fungicidal spray to avoid the killing of fungal pathogen (Anonymous, 2013). As there was heavy incidence of purple blotch during both the years, the cultivars were scored for the disease incidence under natural field conditions without artificial inoculation. At bulb development stage, disease score was measured on ten randomly selected plants from each plot at fortnightly intervals by using 0 to 5 point rating scale (Sharma, 1986)

Percent Disease Index was worked out as follows.

$$\text{Percent Disease Index (PDI)} = \left[ \frac{\text{Sum of individual ratings} \times 100}{\text{Number of plants or leaves examined} \times \text{maximum disease grade}} \right]$$

### In vitro evaluation of fungicides

Ten fungicides with different modes of action at recommended

dose were evaluated in the laboratory for their efficacy against *Alternaria porri* by The poisoned food technique (Nene and Thapliyal, 1979). Each treatment was replicated 3 times. The molten sterilized PDA was used as nutrient medium and required quantity of each fungicide was added separately so as to get a required concentration of that fungicide. The fungicides were thoroughly mixed by stirring and about 15 ml poisoned medium was poured to each of the 90mm petri dishes and allowed for solidification. The actively growing periphery of 9 day old culture of *Alternaria porri* was carefully cut by using a gel cutter and transferred aseptically to centre of each petri dish containing the poisoned solid medium. Suitable control was maintained by growing the cultures on PDA without the fungicides. The plates were incubated at  $27 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$  for 9 days and the colony diameter was recorded 9 days after growth (Table-2). The percent inhibition of mycelial growth over control was calculated using the formula of Vincent (1947)

$$I = \frac{T - C}{T} \times 100$$

$$I = \frac{T - C}{T} \times 100$$

I = per cent inhibition of mycelial growth

C = radial growth of fungus in control

T = radial growth of fungus in treatment.

#### In vitro evaluation of botanicals

Healthy plants were selected from which the fresh leaves and other parts were obtained and thoroughly washed with tap water then air dried. Aqueous plant extract was prepared by grinding 100g leaves/other parts with 100ml distilled water (w/v) using a blender and filtrate was collected by passing through double layered muslin cloth. The supernatant was taken as standard plant extract solution (100%). All the extracts obtained were passed through filter paper used for assay. The poisoned food technique (Nene and Thapliyal, 1979) was followed to evaluate the efficacy of botanicals in laboratory against *Alternaria porri* at 15% concentration (Table-3). Each treatment was replicated 3 times. The method followed for conducting the experiment was same as that used for fungicide evaluation.

#### In vitro evaluation of bio-agents

Dual culture technique (Dennis and Webster, 1971) was followed to study interaction of six antagonists in the

laboratory. Six bio-agents with a control treatment were used for evaluation. Pour 20ml of PDA into 90mm petri dishes and allowed for solidification. Discs measuring 5 mm of *Alternaria porri* was taken from 9 day old culture and was placed at one end of the petri dish then respective antagonistic organisms were inoculated at the opposite side (Table-4). A control was maintained by inoculating only *Alternaria porri* at one end in case of fungal antagonistic. In case of bacterial antagonistic *Alternaria porri* was placed at both ends of petri plates and bacterial culture was inoculated at centre of the petri plate, control was maintained by inoculating *Alternaria porri* at the both the ends of the petri plates. Each treatment was replicated four times and incubated for 6 days at  $27 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ . The activity of antagonistic organisms were recorded by measuring the colony diameter of *Alternaria porri* in each treatment and compared with control.

#### Management of purple blotch, *Alternaria porri*

The field experiment was laid out in RCBD with 13 treatments and 3 replications during *Kharif* 2014 and 2015 at College of Horticulture, Bidar, Karnataka. Healthy Telagi Red seedlings were planted in the field with 15cm X 10cm (row to row X plant to plant) spacing in plot size of 3.6m X 1.8m. All other cultural practices and pest control practices were followed as recommended in package of practices (Anonymous, 2013). The first spraying was carried out as soon as first symptom of disease was noticed in the field. 4 sequential sprays of fungicides and botanicals were taken at an interval of 15 days (Table-5 and 6). Disease severity was recorded on ten randomly selected plants in each plot, just one day before each spraying and fifteen days after last spraying. Observations on severity of disease on foliage was recorded by using 0 to 5 point scale and PDI was worked out. The bulb yield in each plot was recorded and computed to hectare basis, the percent increase over control was computed as follows.

$$\text{Yield increase over control (\%)} = \frac{\text{yield in treatment} - \text{yield control} \times 100}{\text{yield in control}}$$

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Reaction of Onion cultivars

All the screened genotypes were categorized for their reaction

**Table 1 : Performance of onion cultivars against *Alternaria porri* under field conditions.**

Kharif, 2013				Kharif, 2014			
Cultivars	Percent Disease Index	Reaction	Bulb Yield (q/ha)	Cultivars	Percent Disease Index	Reaction	Bulb Yield (q/ha)
Satara Local	24.65 <sup>e</sup>	MR	227.00 <sup>fg</sup>	Satara Local	22.00 <sup>d</sup>	MR	226.00 <sup>e</sup>
Rampura	24.00 <sup>e</sup>	MR	182.65 <sup>h</sup>	Rampura	24.00 <sup>d</sup>	MR	183.65 <sup>g</sup>
Arka Kalyan	08.67 <sup>f</sup>	R	315.00 <sup>b</sup>	Arka Kalyan	10.00 <sup>e</sup>	R	316.32 <sup>b</sup>
Agripound Dark Red	36.00 <sup>d</sup>	MS	298.66 <sup>d</sup>	Agripound Dark Red	34.65 <sup>c</sup>	MS	297.32 <sup>c</sup>
Ballary Red	38.00 <sup>cd</sup>	MS	187.32 <sup>h</sup>	Ballary Red	40.00 <sup>b</sup>	MS	184.65 <sup>g</sup>
Arka Niketan	09.34 <sup>f</sup>	R	307.34 <sup>c</sup>	Arka Niketan	08.67 <sup>e</sup>	R	312.32 <sup>b</sup>
Nasik Red	44.00 <sup>b</sup>	MS	222.67 <sup>g</sup>	Nasik Red	42.00 <sup>b</sup>	MS	220.32 <sup>f</sup>
Telagi Red	48.00 <sup>a</sup>	MS	231.00 <sup>f</sup>	Telagi Red	50.00 <sup>a</sup>	MS	236.65 <sup>d</sup>
Kumata	38.00 <sup>cd</sup>	MS	238.00 <sup>e</sup>	Kumata	40.00 <sup>b</sup>	MS	236.67 <sup>d</sup>
Arka Bheema	10.00 <sup>f</sup>	R	331.00 <sup>a</sup>	Arka Bheema	09.32 <sup>e</sup>	R	332.00 <sup>a</sup>

Note: 1. R = Resistant, MR = Moderately Resistant, MS = Moderately susceptible, S = Susceptible HS = Highly susceptible 2. In the vertical columns means followed by same letters are not different statistically by DMRT (P = 0.05).

**Table 2 : In vitro evaluation of fungicides against *Alternaria porri***

Treatments	Fungicides	Concentration (%)	Percent inhibition of mycelia growth
T1	Propineb -50WP	0.2	80.08 <sup>e</sup>
T2	Metiram-50WP	0.2	57.62 <sup>h</sup>
T3	Mancozeb-75WP	0.2	82.63 <sup>d</sup>
T4	Chlorothalonil-75WP	0.2	47.37 <sup>i</sup>
T5	Copper oxy chloride-50WP	0.3	72.42 <sup>g</sup>
T6	Myclobutanil-10WP	0.1	73.40 <sup>g</sup>
T7	Azoxystrobin-23EC	0.1	92.58 <sup>a</sup>
T8	Difenconazole-25EC	0.1	87.05 <sup>c</sup>
T9	Tebuconazole-25EC	0.1	88.87 <sup>b</sup>
T10	Hexaconazole-5EC	0.1	77.47 <sup>f</sup>

Note: In the vertical columns means followed by same letters are not different statistically by DMRT (P=0.01).

**Table 3 : In vitro evaluation of botanicals against *Alternaria porri***

Treatments	Botanicals	Plant Parts used	Concentration (%)	Percent inhibition of mycelia growth
T1	<i>Allium cepa</i>	Bulbs	15	28.62 <sup>i</sup>
T2	<i>Allium sativum</i>	Cloves	15	65.37 <sup>a</sup>
T3	<i>Clerodendron inerme</i>	Leaves	15	57.36 <sup>b</sup>
T4	<i>Azadirachta indica</i>	Leaves	15	39.50 <sup>e</sup>
T5	<i>Lantana camera</i>	Leaves	15	35.44 <sup>f</sup>
T6	<i>Aloe vera</i>	Leaves	15	55.30 <sup>c</sup>
T7	<i>Ocimum sanctum</i>	Leaves	15	33.24 <sup>g</sup>
T8	<i>Glyricidia maculata</i>	Leaves	15	26.27 <sup>j</sup>
T9	<i>Eucalyptus globes</i>	Leaves	15	48.20 <sup>d</sup>
T10	<i>Durantha repens</i>	Leaves	15	30.50 <sup>h</sup>

Note: In the vertical columns means followed by same letters are not different statistically by DMRT (P=0.01).

**Table 4 : Effect of different antagonists on growth of *Alternaria porri***

Treatments	Antagonists	Percent inhibition of mycelia growth
T1	<i>Trichoderma harzianum</i>	54.00 <sup>a</sup>
T2	<i>Trichoderma viride</i>	52.25 <sup>a</sup>
T3	<i>Trichoderma virens</i>	41.00 <sup>c</sup>
T4	<i>Trichoderma konnigii</i>	48.25 <sup>b</sup>
T5	<i>Pseudomonas fluorescense</i>	20.25 <sup>e</sup>
T6	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	31.50 <sup>d</sup>

Note: In the vertical columns means followed by same letters are not different statistically by DMRT (P=0.01).

**Table-5: Effect of different fungicides and botanicals on purple blotch of onion caused by *Alternaria porri* during – Kharif, 2014**

Details of treatments	Mean PDI	Bulb yield (q/ha)	Per cent yield increase over control
T1- 0.3% Copper oxy chloride-50WP	35.34 <sup>f</sup>	247.32 <sup>f</sup>	28.59
T2-0.2% Metiram-50WP	39.32 <sup>e</sup>	247.65 <sup>f</sup>	28.76
T3-0.2% Mancozeb-75WP	28.00 <sup>hi</sup>	264.00 <sup>b</sup>	37.26
T4- 0.2%Propineb -50WP	32.67 <sup>g</sup>	252.00 <sup>e</sup>	31.02
T5-0.1%Hexaconazole-5EC	30.00 <sup>h</sup>	259.34 <sup>c</sup>	34.83
T6- 0.1%Azoxystrobin- 23 EC	24.00 <sup>ij</sup>	268.00 <sup>a</sup>	39.34
T7-0.1%Tebuconazole-25EC	22.68 <sup>j</sup>	271.00 <sup>a</sup>	40.89
T8-0.1%Difenconazole-25EC	26.00 <sup>i</sup>	262.65 <sup>b</sup>	36.55
T9-0.1% Myclobutanil-10WP	33.34 <sup>g</sup>	255.32 <sup>d</sup>	32.74
T10-15% <i>Allium sativum</i>	46.00 <sup>d</sup>	234.65 <sup>g</sup>	21.99
T11-15% <i>Aloe vera</i>	49.32 <sup>c</sup>	227.32 <sup>h</sup>	18.18
T12- 15% <i>Clerodendron inerme</i>	52.00 <sup>b</sup>	229.67 <sup>h</sup>	19.40
T13-Control	62.00 <sup>a</sup>	192.34 <sup>i</sup>	-

on the basis of PDI values. Those with 1- 10 PDI value were considered as resistant, while those with 11-25 PDI as moderately resistant, 26-50 PDI as moderately susceptible,

51-75 PDI as susceptible and more than 75 PDI as highly susceptible (Mishra *et al.*, 2009). Observations indicated that out of ten varieties evaluated, none of them were found

**Table 6 : Effect of different fungicides and botanicals on purple blotch of onion caused by *Alternaria porri* during – Kharif, 2015.**

Details of treatments	Mean PDI	Bulb yield (q/ha)	Per cent yield increase over control
T1- 0.3% Copper oxy chloride-50WP	34.65 <sup>e</sup>	246.67 <sup>e</sup>	29.15
T2-0.2% Metiram-50WP	41.34 <sup>d</sup>	249.65 <sup>d</sup>	30.70
T3-0.2% Mancozeb-75WP	29.32 <sup>f</sup>	264.67 <sup>b</sup>	38.57
T4- 0.2%Propineb -50WP	31.34 <sup>ef</sup>	250.65 <sup>d</sup>	31.23
T5-0.1%Hexaconazole-5EC	30.64 <sup>f</sup>	260.00 <sup>c</sup>	36.12
T6- 0.1%Azoxystrobin-23EC	22.66 <sup>g</sup>	270.67 <sup>a</sup>	41.71
T7-0.1%Tebuconazole-25EC	24.00 <sup>g</sup>	267.00 <sup>ab</sup>	39.79
T8-0.1%Difenconazole-25EC	24.00 <sup>g</sup>	268.00 <sup>a</sup>	40.31
T9-0.1% Myclobutanil-10WP	34.00 <sup>e</sup>	250.00 <sup>d</sup>	30.89
T10-15% <i>Allium sativum</i>	48.00 <sup>c</sup>	233.32 <sup>f</sup>	22.15
T11-15% <i>Aloe vera</i>	48.65 <sup>c</sup>	230.34 <sup>g</sup>	20.59
T12- 15% <i>Clerodendron inerme</i>	53.32 <sup>b</sup>	225.32 <sup>h</sup>	17.96
T13-Control	64.00 <sup>a</sup>	191.00 <sup>i</sup>	-

Note: In the vertical columns means followed by same letters are not different statistically by DMRT (P=0.05).

highly resistant. However, three varieties *viz.*, Arka Kalyan, Arka Niketan and Arka Bheema showed resistant reaction. Whereas, two varieties *viz.*, Rampura and Satara local showed moderately resistant reaction and rest of them showed moderately susceptible reaction against purple blotch (Table1). At the time of harvest, bulb yield was recorded and computed to hectare basis.No relationship between disease severity and bulb yield was observed, it might be due to genetic potential of cultivars.

#### In vitro evaluation of Fungicides

The results indicated that significant difference among fungicides in inhibiting the growth of the *Alternaria porri*. Among ten fungicides were evaluated, Azoxystrobin-23EC(92.58%) recorded maximum inhibition of mycelia growth of pathogen followed by Tebuconazole-25EC(88.87%), Difenconazole-25EC(87.05%),Mancozeb-75WP(82.63%), Propineb-50WP(80.08%), Hexaconazole-5EC(77.47%) and least inhibition was observed in Chlorothalonil-75WP (47.37%)(Table-2). The results on the efficacy of Mancozeb-75WP are in conformity with Chethana *et al.* (2011). The results are in agreement with Arunakumara K.T. (2006) who reported propineb-50 WP as effective fungicide against *A. solani* causing early blight of tomato. Chlorothalonil-75 WP was less effective against *A.porri* (Chethana *et al.*, 2012).

#### In vitro evaluation of botanicals

The results revealed that effect of plant extracts on the fungal growth was significant. The *Allium sativum* cloves extract was found effective in inhibiting the mycelia growth (65.37%) followed by *Clerodendron inerme* (57.36%), *Aloe vera* (55.30%), *Eucalyptus globes* (48.20%) and least inhibition was observed in *Glyricidia maculata* (26.27%)(Table3). The results are in conformity with Prasad and Naik(2003) and Mesta *et al.* (2011) where Garlic clove extract was found effective in inhibiting the mycelial growth of *Alternaria solani* and *Alternaria helianthi* respectively. The effectiveness of Garlic clove extract as a pesticide is due to volatile oil which contains diallyl disulphide, diallyl trisulphide and sulphodoxides derived from allicin (Vijayalakshmi *et al.*,1999). Pramodkumar (2007) reported *Clerodendron* leaf extract as one of the best plant extract in inhibiting the mycelial growth of *Alternaria porri*.

#### In vitro evaluation of antagonists against *Alternaria porri*

All the *Trichoderma* sp inhibited the growth of *Alternaria porri* effectively. Among these antagonists *Trichoderma harzianum* showed highest inhibition (54.00%) followed by *Trichoderma viride* (52.25%).Imtiaz and Lee (2008) reported *Trichoderma harzianum* and *Trichoderma virens* are most effective in inhibiting the growth of *Alternaria porri*. Both bacterial antagonists used in the study *viz.*, *Bacillus subtilis* (31.50%) and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (20.25%) were moderate in controlling *Alternaria porri* (Table4).

#### Management of purple blotch, *Alternaria porri*

In subsequent sprays all the fungicides and botanicals treated plots recorded significantly less percent disease index over control.During Kharif-2014,among fungicides 0.1%Tebuconazole-25EC was significantly effective in reducing the disease intensity by recording a PDI of 22.68 and yield of 271.00q/ha(Table5). 0.1% Azoxystrobin-23EC,0.1%Difenconazole-25EC,0.2%Mancozeb-75WP, 0.1%Hexaconazole-5EC and 0.2%Propineb-50WP were next best treatments found effective in reducing the disease intensity by recording a PDI of 24.00,26.00,28.00,30.00 and 32.67 and yield of 268.00q/ha,262.65q/ha,264.00q/ha, 259.34q/ha and 252.00q/ha respectively.Studies conducted by Wangikar *et al.* (2012) on management of purple blotch of onion in Marathawada region of Maharashtra revealed that lowest disease severity of purple blotch with spray of Mancozeb-75WP at 0.25%, Hexaconazole-5EC at 0.1% and Difenconazole-25EC at 0.05%. Gupta *et al.* (2012) reported that systemic fungicides Tebuconazole-25EC at 0.1% and Azoxystrobin-23EC at 0.1% effectively controlled purple blotch disease of Garlic. Chethana *et al.* (2015) reported that Mancozeb-75WP at 0.25% effectively controlling purple blotch of Onion. Among botanicals tested, minimum PDI of 46.00 and yield of 234.65q/ha was recorded in *Allium sativum* cloves extract (15%) and maximum PDI of 62.00 and yield of 192.34q/ha was recorded in the control plot (Table5). The results on the effectiveness of foliar application of *Allium sativum* cloves extract in the management of *Alternaria* blight are in conformity with Nashwa and Abo-Elyousr (2012). Again during Kharif-2015, among fungicides 0.1% Azoxystrobin- 23EC was significantly effective in reducing

the disease intensity by recording a PDI of 22.66 and yield of 270.67q/ha (Table 6). 0.1% Tebuconazole-25EC, 0.1% Difenoconazole-25EC, 0.2% Mancozeb-75WP, 0.1% Hexaconazole-5EC and 0.2% Propineb were next best treatments found effective in reducing the disease intensity by recording a PDI of 24.00, 24.00, 29.32, 30.64 and 31.34 and yield of 267.00q/ha, 268.00q/ha, 264.67q/ha, 260.00q/ha and 250.65q/ha respectively. Among botanicals tested, PDI of 48.00 and yield of 233.32q/ha was recorded in *Allium sativum* cloves extract (15%) and maximum PDI of 64.00 and yield of 191.00q/ha was recorded in the control plot (Table 6).

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank College of Horticulture, Bidar and University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot, India for their provisions to this study.

## REFERENCES

- Anonymous. 2013.** *Package of Practices*, University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India pp. 111-114.
- Arunakumara, K. T. 2006.** Studies on *Alternaria solani* (Ellis and Martin) Jones and Grout causing early blight of Tomato. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis. Uni. Agric. Sci. Dharwad (India).
- Behera, S., Santra, P., Chattopadhyay, S., Das, S. and Maity, T. K. 2013.** Variation in onion varieties for reaction to natural infection of *Alternaria porri* (Ellis) Cif. and *Stemphylium vesicarium* (Wallr.) The Bioscan. **8(3)**: 759-761.
- Chethana, B. S., Girija, G. and Manjunath, B. 2011.** Screening of genotypes and effect of fungicides against purple blotch of onion. *International J. Agricultural Technology*. **7(5)**: 2173-2178.
- Chethana, B.S., Girija Ganeshan, Archana S. Rao and Bellishree, K. 2012.** *In vitro* evaluation of plant extracts, bio agents and fungicides against *Alternaria porri* (Ellis) Cif., causing purple blotch disease of onion. *Pest Management in Horticultural Eco systems*. **18(2)**: 194-198.
- Chethana, B.S., Ganeshan, G., Archana, S. R. and Bellishree, K. 2015.** Integrated disease management of purple blotch disease of Onion. *The Bioscan*. **10(4)**: 1729-1733.
- Dennis, C. and Webster, J. 1971.** Antagonistic properties of species of group *Trichoderma* production and non-volatile antibiotics. *Transactions of British Mycological Society*. **57**: 25-39.
- Gupta, R. B. L. and Pathak, V. N. 1988.** Yield losses in onions due to purple leaf blotch disease caused by *Alternaria porri*. *Phytophylactica*. **20**: 21-23.
- Gupta, R. C., Pandey, N. K. and Gupta, R. P. 2012.** Management of purple blotch (*Alternaria porri*) disease of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.). In: Abstract, IV National Symposium on Plant protection in horticultural crops: Emerging challenges and sustainable pest management organized at Indian Institute of Horticultural Research, Bengaluru held on 25-28 April, pp. 115.
- Imtiaj, A. and Lee, T. S. 2008.** Antagonistic effect of three *Trichoderma* species on the *Alternaria porri* pathogen of onion blotch. *World J. Agricultural Sciences*. **4(1)**: 13-17.
- Javadzadeh, A., A. Ghorbanihaghjo, S. Bonyadi, M.R. Rashidi, M. Mesgari, N. Rashtchizadeh and H. Argani 2009.** Preventive effect of onion juice on selenite-induced experimental cataract. *Indian J. Ophthalmology*. **57**: 185-189.
- Mesta, V. I., Benagi, Kulkarni, S. and Shankargoud. 2011.** *In vitro* evaluation of fungicides and plant extracts against *Alternaria helianthi* causing blight of sunflower. *Karnataka J. Agricultural Sciences*. **22(1)**: 111-114.
- Mishra, R. K., Verma, A., Singh, S. and Gupta, R. P. 2009.** Screening of Garlic lines against purple blotch and *Stemphylium* blight. *Pest Management in Horticultural Ecosystems*. **15(2)**: 138-140.
- Nashwa, S. M. A. and Abo-Elyousr, K. A. M. 2012.** Evaluation of various plant extracts against the early blight disease of tomato plants under green house and field conditions. *Plant Protection Science*. **48**: 74-79.
- Nene, Y. L. and Thapliyal, P. N. 1979.** Fungicides in Plant Disease Control. 3<sup>rd</sup> edition. Oxford and IBH publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi. p. 325.
- Pramodkumar, T. 2007.** Biological management of *Alternaria* blight of onion. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis. University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad (India).
- Prasad and Naik, M. K. 2003.** Evaluation of genotypes, fungicides and plant extracts against early blight of Tomato caused by *Alternaria solani*. *Indian J. Plant Protection*. **31(2)**: 43-49.
- Sharma, S. R. 1986.** Effect of fungicidal sprays on purple blotch and bulb yield of Onion. *Indian Phytopathology*. **39(1)**: 72-82.
- Sharma, I. M. 1997.** Screening of onion varieties/lines against purple blotch caused by *Alternaria porri* under field conditions. *Plant Disease Research*. **12(1)**: 60-61.
- Vijayalakshmi, K., Subhashini, B. and Shivani, K. 1999.** *Plants in Pest Control*. Series of Booklets Centre for Indian Knowledge Systems, Chennai.
- Vincent, J. M. 1927.** Distortion of fungal hyphae in the presence of certain inhibitors. *Nature*. **59**: 850.
- Wangikar, A. A., Dandnaik, B. P., Falke, A. R. and Khandare, P. M. 2012.** Management of purple blotch of onion caused by *Alternaria porri* in Marathwada region. In: Abstract, IV National Symposium on Plant protection in horticultural crops: Emerging challenges and sustainable pest management organized at Indian Institute of Horticultural Research, Bangalore held on 25-28 April, p. 112.

