

GENETIC VARIABILITY AND ASSOCIATION STUDIES IN LINSEED (*LINUM USITATISSIMUM* L.)

SHAYLA BINDRA* AND SATISH PAUL

Department of Crop Improvement,
CSK Himachal Pradesh Krishi Vishvavidyalaya, Palampur - 176 062, INDIA
e-mail:bindrashayla@gmail.com

KEYWORDS

Linum usitatissimum L.
Variability
Correlation
Path analysis
Seed yield

Received on :
02.03.2016

Accepted on :
06.07.2016

*Corresponding
author

ABSTRACT

Genetic variability and association studies in linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.) was undertaken to assess the nature and magnitude of genetic variability and associations among various traits including their direct and indirect effects on seed yield. Analysis of variance revealed presence of sufficient amount of genetic variability for all the traits amongst the germplasm lines evaluated. Correlation studies indicated the higher magnitude of genotypic correlations than their corresponding phenotypic correlations for most of the characters indicating the inherent association among the various characters. Seed yield per plant exhibited positive associations with aerial biomass (0.839), straw yield (0.632), retted straw yield (0.603), fibre yield (0.602), 1000-seed weight (0.411), oil content (0.329), harvest index (0.305), secondary branches per plant (0.220) and days to maturity (0.218). Path analysis indicated that aerial biomass exhibited high positive direct effect (1.074) and indirect effect through straw yield (0.999), retted straw yield (0.934), fibre yield (0.709), 1000-seed weight (0.293), plant height (0.284), primary branches (0.257), days to maturity (0.251), oil content (0.232) and secondary branches per plant (0.219) towards seed yield per plant. Hence, aerial biomass would be the best selection index for increasing seed yield per plant due to its high direct and indirect contribution(s).

INTRODUCTION

Linseed or flax (*Linum usitatissimum* L.) [n = 15] also known as 'Alsi' is one of the most important oilseed crop ranking next to rapeseed and mustard in area as well as production. According to Vavilov (1951) linseed/flax has two centres of origin viz; the oil type originated in south west Asia and fibre type originated in Mediterranean region. Linseed being an important oilseed crop has a very low average productivity in India as well as in Himachal Pradesh due to narrow genetic base of the present varieties, common heritage, cultivation in marginal lands and due to biotic and abiotic stresses.

Most of the characters of economic importance in any crop, including yield, are polygenically controlled, metric in nature and are highly influenced by the environmental factors (Copur *et al.*, 2006). Breeding for such characters is influenced by the magnitude and nature of interactions between their genotypic and phenotypic variability under varied agro-climatic conditions. The success of breeding programme mostly depends upon the presence of genetic variability in the breeding material. Higher the genetic variability more will be the opportunities to expect improvement through appropriate selection procedure(s) (Muhammad *et al.*, 2003). Moreover, selection for yield has to be made for the component characters contributing towards it based on correlation and association studies. Correlation studies are often misleading as they provide the degree but not the cause of associations (Bhatt, 1972). Whereas, path coefficient analysis developed by Wright (1921) permits critical examination of special forces produced through its components, relative importance of

various traits towards yield along with the estimation of direct and indirect effects (Dewey and Lu, 1959). Not only this, but it also helps to access the relative contribution and the magnitude of each component character towards yield; helpful in formulating selection strategies for the development of high yielding genotypes.

Therefore, the present study was undertaken to determine the extent of genetic variability and access the relative contribution and magnitude of each component character towards seed yield in order to formulate selection strategies for the development of ideal genotypes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sixty four genotypes of linseed involving exotic collections (25), lines from project coordinating unit Kanpur (5) and from CSKHPKV, Palampur (34) (Table 1) were grown in 8 x 8 simple lattice design with two replications having 25 x 5cm row to row and plant to plant spacing at Experimental Farm of the Department of Crop Improvement, CSKHPKV, Palampur. The data was recorded on five randomly selected competitive plants for primary branches per plant, secondary branches per plant, plant height (cm), capsules per plant, seeds per capsule, aerial biomass (g), seed yield per plant (g), harvest index (%), technical height, straw yield, retted straw yield and fibre yield (g) whereas days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, 1000- seed weight (g) and percent oil content (%) were recorded on plot basis. The data was analyzed as per standard statistical procedure by Federer (1963) and Panse & Sukhatme (1984). The genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of

correlations were worked out as per Al-Jibouri *et al.* (1958) and path analysis as suggested by Dewey and Lu (1959).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of variance revealed sufficient variability due to genotypes for all the traits (Table 2) indicating the presence of wide range of genetic variability and scope for selection. Similar results were also observed by Khorgade and Pillai (1994) for capsules per plant and Mirza *et al.* (1996) for all the traits except primary branches per plant and 1000-seed weight. Paradhan *et al.* (1999) and Payasi *et al.* (2000) also observed sufficient variability due to genotypes for yield related traits; Savita (2006) for days to 50 per cent flowering, days to maturity, plant height, primary branches per plant, secondary branches per plant, capsules per plant, seeds per capsule, 1000-seed weight, oil content, harvest index and seed yield per plant.

Diederichsen and Fu (2008) and Rajanna *et al.* (2014) also found a wide range of genetic variability of economically

relevant traits which are in confirmation with the results of the present study.

Estimates for genotypic correlation were found to be higher than their corresponding phenotypic ones (Table 3), indicating the inherent association among the various traits studied (Nagaraja *et al.*, 2009). Significant positive correlation of seed yield per plant was observed for days to maturity (0.218), secondary branches per plant (0.220), aerial biomass (0.839), harvest index (0.305), straw yield (0.632), retted straw yield (0.603), fibre yield (0.602), 1000-seed weight (0.411) and oil content (0.329). Whereas, seed yield per plant showed negative correlation with seeds per capsule (-0.320); an undesirable correlation. Hence, it should be broken through hybridization or by selecting desirable genotypes. Satpathi *et al.* (1987) reported positive correlation between seed yield per plant and 1000-seed weight whereas Rashid *et al.* (1998) reported positive correlation between seed yield per plant and oil content which are in accordance with the present results. 1000-seed weight was found to be positively correlated with

Table 1: List of germplasm accessions evaluated

| Sr. No. | Genotype | Sr.No. | Genotype | Sr. No. | Genotype | Sr. No. | Genotype |
|---------|---------------|--------|--------------|---------|-------------------|---------|----------------|
| 1 | Hearmies(E) | 17 | Polf-16(E) | 33 | RL-2206(P) | 49 | KL-235(L) |
| 2 | Nataja(E) | 18 | Polf-24(E) | 34 | Kangra Local-2(L) | 50 | KL-236(L) |
| 3 | Viking(E) | 19 | Polf-25(E) | 35 | 02 KLC-1(L) | 51 | KL-237(L) |
| 4 | Rajeena(E) | 20 | EC-537911(E) | 36 | 02 KLC-4(L) | 52 | KL-238(L) |
| 5 | Mariena(E) | 21 | EC-541716(E) | 37 | 02 KLC-5(L) | 53 | KL-239(L) |
| 6 | Ariane(E) | 22 | EC-541206(E) | 38 | 02 KLC-6(L) | 54 | KL-240(L) |
| 7 | Lauro(E) | 23 | EC-541207(E) | 39 | 02 KLC-7(L) | 55 | KL-243(L) |
| 8 | Belinka(E) | 24 | EC-541208(E) | 40 | 02 KLC-8(L) | 56 | Nagarkot(L) |
| 9 | Giza-5(E) | 25 | EC-541210(E) | 41 | 02 KLC-9(L) | 57 | Jeewan(L) |
| 10 | Giza-6(E) | 26 | JRF-5(P) | 42 | 02 KLC-10(L) | 58 | Janaki(L) |
| 11 | Giza-7(E) | 27 | DPL-13(L) | 43 | 02 KLC-12(L) | 59 | Him Alsi-1(L) |
| 12 | Giza-8(E) | 28 | DPL-19(L) | 44 | 02 KLC-13(L) | 60 | Binwa(L) |
| 13 | Faiking(E) | 29 | DPL-32(L) | 45 | KL-225(L) | 61 | Himani(L) |
| 14 | Belinka 60(E) | 30 | Garima(P) | 46 | KL-226(L) | 62 | Him Alsi-2 (L) |
| 15 | Canada(E) | 31 | LCK-9936(P) | 47 | KL-233(L) | 63 | Baner (L) |
| 16 | Flak-1(E) | 32 | RLC-85(P) | 48 | KL-234(L) | 64 | Bhagsu (L) |

Checks: Him Alsi-2, Baner, Bhagsu;(E): Exotic collection, (P): Lines from project coordinating unit, Kanpur, (L): Lines from CSKHPKV, Palampur

Table 2: Analysis of variance of linseed for different traits

| Traits | Source Replication | Mean sum of square Genotypes (unadjusted) | Block effect within replication (adjusted) | Intra block error |
|---------------------------|--------------------|---|--|-------------------|
| d.f. | 1 | 63 | 14 | 49 |
| Days to 50% flowering | 175.78 | 576.41** | 5.02 | 4.41 |
| Days to maturity | 153.12 | 48.03** | 6.28 | 6.26 |
| Primary branches/plant | 0.30 | 0.31** | 0.06 | 0.06 |
| Secondary branches/ plant | 0.46 | 0.52** | 0.07 | 0.08 |
| Plant height (cm) | 18.50 | 140.92** | 5.58 | 4.44 |
| Capsules/plant | 0.75 | 12.34** | 9.91 | 4.90 |
| Seeds/capsule | 0.12 | 1.27** | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| Aerial biomass (g) | 0.16 | 3.85** | 0.16 | 0.08 |
| Seed yield/plant (g) | 0.33 | 0.45** | 0.02 | 0.03 |
| Harvest index (%) | 75.08 | 56.11** | 15.44 | 14.38 |
| Technical height (cm) | 0.46 | 118.14** | 4.90 | 6.10 |
| Straw yield (g) | 0.04 | 1.62** | 0.07 | 0.05 |
| Retted straw yield (g) | 0.01 | 0.95** | 0.05 | 0.04 |
| Fibre yield (g) | 0.05 | 0.30** | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 1000-seed weight (g) | 0.16 | 6.76** | 0.09 | 0.03 |
| Oil content (%) | 9.07 | 4.31** | 0.65 | 0.20 |

**Significant at 1 per cent level

Table 3: Estimates of correlation coefficients at phenotypic (P) and genotypic (G) levels among different traits of linseed

| Traits | Days to 50% flowering | Days to maturity | Primary branches /plant | Secondary branches/ plant | Plant height (cm) | Capsules/Seeds/ / plant capsule | Aerial biomass (g) | Harvest index (%) | Technical height (cm) | Straw yield (g) | Retted straw yield (g) | Fibre yield (g) | 1000 seed weight (g) | Oil content (%) |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| Seed yield/ plant | P -0.110 | 0.218* | 0.146 | 0.220* | 0.058 | 0.140 | -0.320** | 0.839** | 0.305** | -0.086 | 0.632** | 0.602** | 0.411** | 0.329** |
| | G -0.123 | 0.260 | 0.192 | 0.247 | 0.058 | 0.155 | -0.366 | 0.882 | 0.166 | -0.119 | 0.688 | 0.664 | 0.435 | 0.386 |
| Days to 50% flowering | P 0.192* | 0.205* | -0.164 | 0.561** | 0.561** | -0.045 | 0.492** | 0.051 | -0.332** | 0.621** | 0.072 | 0.211* | -0.376** | -0.249** |
| | G 0.231 | 0.243 | -0.186 | 0.584 | 0.584 | -0.068 | 0.509 | 0.057 | -0.457 | 0.661 | 0.120 | 0.081 | 0.219 | -0.378 |
| Days to maturity | P 0.165 | 0.119 | 0.106 | 0.106 | 0.106 | 0.005 | -0.226* | 0.233** | -0.015 | 0.098 | 0.210* | 0.226* | 0.387** | 0.377** |
| | G 0.291 | 0.215 | 0.131 | 0.131 | 0.131 | 0.046 | -0.250 | 0.272 | -0.046 | 0.122 | 0.275 | 0.302 | 0.426 | 0.427 |
| Primary branches/ plant | P 0.225* | 0.225* | 0.225* | 0.225* | 0.225* | 0.254** | -0.087 | 0.239** | -0.138 | 0.163 | 0.207* | 0.252** | 0.116 | 0.098 |
| | G 0.265 | 0.287 | 0.287 | 0.287 | 0.287 | 0.432 | -0.067 | 0.321 | -0.274 | 0.219 | 0.275 | 0.281 | 0.131 | 0.187 |
| Secondary branches/ plant | P -0.223* | -0.223* | -0.223* | -0.223* | -0.223* | 0.400** | -0.119 | 0.203* | 0.037 | -0.292** | 0.176* | 0.240** | -0.039 | 0.160 |
| | G -0.267 | -0.267 | -0.267 | -0.267 | -0.267 | 0.545 | -0.184 | 0.235 | 0.023 | -0.379 | 0.197 | 0.257 | -0.039 | 0.175 |
| Plant height (cm) | P -0.172 | 0.409** | -0.172 | 0.409** | 0.409** | -0.172 | 0.409** | 0.265** | -0.394** | 0.904** | 0.341** | 0.339** | 0.517** | -0.157 |
| | G -0.365 | 0.440 | -0.365 | 0.440 | 0.440 | -0.172 | 0.409** | 0.265** | -0.394** | 0.904** | 0.341** | 0.339** | 0.517** | -0.157 |
| Capsules/ plant | P -0.040 | 0.047 | -0.040 | 0.047 | 0.047 | -0.040 | 0.047 | 0.095 | 0.047 | -0.218* | 0.013 | 0.078 | -0.104 | -0.087 |
| | G -0.095 | 0.113 | -0.095 | 0.113 | 0.113 | -0.040 | 0.047 | 0.095 | 0.047 | -0.218* | 0.013 | 0.078 | -0.104 | -0.087 |
| Seeds/ capsule | P -0.205* | 0.489** | -0.205* | 0.489** | 0.489** | -0.205* | 0.489** | -0.205* | -0.224* | 0.489** | -0.133 | -0.157 | -0.124 | -0.605** |
| | G -0.225 | 0.520 | -0.225 | 0.520 | 0.520 | -0.205* | 0.489** | -0.205* | -0.224* | 0.489** | -0.133 | -0.157 | -0.124 | -0.605** |
| Aerial biomass (g) | P -0.238** | 0.114 | -0.238** | 0.114 | 0.114 | -0.238** | 0.114 | 0.931** | 0.870** | 0.660** | 0.273** | 0.216* | 0.216* | 0.216* |
| | G -0.302 | 0.105 | -0.302 | 0.105 | 0.105 | -0.238** | 0.114 | 0.931** | 0.870** | 0.660** | 0.273** | 0.216* | 0.216* | 0.216* |
| Harvest index (%) | P -0.384** | -0.429** | -0.384** | -0.429** | -0.429** | -0.384** | -0.429** | -0.155 | 0.260** | 0.212* | -0.155 | 0.260** | 0.212* | 0.212* |
| | G -0.543 | -0.524 | -0.543 | -0.524 | -0.524 | -0.384** | -0.429** | -0.155 | 0.260** | 0.212* | -0.155 | 0.260** | 0.212* | 0.212* |
| Technical height (cm) | P 0.190* | 0.180* | 0.190* | 0.180* | 0.180* | 0.190* | 0.180* | 0.429** | -0.253** | -0.346** | 0.204 | 0.467 | -0.276 | -0.398 |
| | G 0.204 | 0.210 | 0.204 | 0.210 | 0.210 | 0.190* | 0.180* | 0.429** | -0.253** | -0.346** | 0.204 | 0.467 | -0.276 | -0.398 |
| Straw yield (g) | P 0.934** | 0.612** | 0.934** | 0.612** | 0.612** | 0.934** | 0.612** | 0.612** | 0.161 | 0.139 | 0.965 | 0.640 | 0.162 | 0.142 |
| | G 0.965 | 0.640 | 0.965 | 0.640 | 0.640 | 0.934** | 0.612** | 0.612** | 0.161 | 0.139 | 0.965 | 0.640 | 0.162 | 0.142 |
| Retted straw yield (g) | P 0.643** | 0.195* | 0.643** | 0.195* | 0.195* | 0.643** | 0.195* | 0.643** | 0.195* | 0.168 | 0.643** | 0.195* | 0.168 | 0.168 |
| | G 0.648 | 0.207 | 0.648 | 0.207 | 0.207 | 0.643** | 0.195* | 0.643** | 0.195* | 0.168 | 0.643** | 0.195* | 0.168 | 0.168 |
| Fibre yield (g) | P 0.225* | 0.018 | 0.225* | 0.018 | 0.018 | 0.225* | 0.018 | 0.225* | 0.018 | 0.018 | 0.225* | 0.018 | 0.018 | 0.018 |
| | G 0.235 | 0.016 | 0.235 | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.225* | 0.018 | 0.225* | 0.018 | 0.018 | 0.225* | 0.018 | 0.018 | 0.018 |
| 1000-seed weight (g) | P 0.695** | 0.749 | 0.695** | 0.749 | 0.749 | 0.695** | 0.749 | 0.695** | 0.749 | 0.749 | 0.695** | 0.749 | 0.695** | 0.749 |
| | G 0.749 | 0.695** | 0.749 | 0.695** | 0.695** | 0.695** | 0.749 | 0.695** | 0.749 | 0.749 | 0.695** | 0.749 | 0.695** | 0.749 |
| Oil content (%) | P - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | G - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

*Significant at 5 per cent level, **Significant at 1 per cent level

Table 4: Estimates of direct and indirect phenotypic (P) and genotypic (G) effects of different traits on seed yield

| Traits | Days to 50% flow ering | Days to maturity | Primary branches/ plant | Secondary branches/ plant | Plant height (cm) | Capsules / plant | Seeds /capsule (g) | Aerial biomass (%) | Harvest index (cm) | Technical height (g) | Straw yield (g) | Retted straw yield (g) | Fibre yield (g) | 1000 seed weight (g) | Oil content (%) | Correlation with seed yield |
|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Days to 50% flowering | P 0.022 | G 7.129 | 1.648 | 0.004 | 0.012 | -0.004 | 0.011 | 0.001 | -0.007 | 0.014 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.005 | -0.008 | -0.006 | -0.110 |
| Days to maturity | P -0.003 | G -0.016 | -0.002 | -0.002 | 4.164 | -0.481 | 3.628 | 0.409 | -3.256 | 4.709 | 0.853 | 0.577 | 1.559 | -2.696 | -1.852 | 0.123 |
| | G -0.793 | -3.430 | -0.999 | -0.736 | -0.450 | 0.000 | 0.004 | -0.004 | 0.000 | -0.002 | -0.003 | -0.003 | -0.004 | -0.006 | -0.006 | 0.218* |
| Primary branches/ plant | P -0.006 | -0.005 | -0.030 | -0.007 | -0.007 | -0.008 | 0.003 | -0.007 | 0.004 | -0.005 | -0.006 | -0.008 | -0.004 | -0.003 | -0.004 | 0.146 |
| | G 3.456 | 4.142 | 14.220 | 3.766 | 4.086 | 6.142 | -0.957 | 4.571 | -3.890 | 3.118 | 3.903 | 3.994 | 1.862 | 1.898 | 2.658 | 0.192 |
| Secondary branches/ plant | P -0.002 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.011 | -0.003 | 0.005 | -0.001 | 0.002 | 0.000 | -0.003 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.220* |
| | G -0.420 | 0.485 | 0.005 | 2.262 | -0.603 | 1.233 | -0.416 | 0.531 | 0.052 | -0.858 | 0.446 | 0.582 | -0.089 | 0.396 | 0.774 | 0.247 |
| Plant height (cm) | P 0.024 | 0.005 | 0.010 | -0.010 | 0.043 | -0.008 | 0.018 | 0.012 | -0.017 | 0.039 | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.022 | -0.007 | -0.012 | 0.058 |
| | G -3.200 | -0.718 | -1.575 | 1.461 | -5.480 | 1.997 | -2.411 | -1.478 | 2.762 | -5.207 | -1.911 | -1.987 | -3.015 | 0.907 | 1.711 | 0.058 |
| Capsules/ plant | P -0.002 | 0.000 | 0.008 | 0.013 | -0.006 | 0.033 | -0.001 | 0.003 | 0.002 | -0.007 | 0.000 | 0.003 | -0.003 | -0.003 | -0.001 | 0.140 |
| | G 0.697 | -0.476 | -4.459 | -5.628 | 3.762 | -10.323 | 0.981 | -0.563 | -1.165 | 5.049 | 0.245 | -0.942 | 1.877 | 1.985 | -0.166 | 0.155 |
| Seeds/ capsule | P -0.003 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | -0.002 | 0.000 | -0.006 | 0.001 | 0.001 | -0.003 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.003 | -0.320** |
| | G 1.244 | -0.610 | -0.164 | -0.449 | 1.075 | -0.232 | 2.444 | -0.549 | -0.751 | 1.272 | -0.324 | -0.417 | -0.323 | -1.525 | -1.145 | -0.366 |
| Aerial biomass (g) | P 0.055 | 0.251 | 0.257 | 0.219 | 0.284 | 0.102 | -0.220 | 1.074 | -0.255 | 0.122 | 0.999 | 0.934 | 0.709 | 0.293 | 0.232 | 0.839** |
| | G -3.214 | -15.230 | -18.029 | -13.181 | -15.158 | -3.061 | 12.601 | -56.092 | 16.921 | -5.879 | -53.092 | -50.652 | -38.973 | -15.329 | -13.412 | 0.882 |
| Harvest index (%) | P -0.153 | -0.007 | -0.064 | 0.017 | -0.181 | 0.022 | -0.103 | -0.109 | 0.460 | -0.177 | -0.217 | -0.197 | -0.071 | 0.120 | 0.097 | 0.305** |
| | G -11.653 | -1.180 | -6.978 | 0.582 | -12.859 | 2.879 | -7.842 | -7.696 | 25.510 | -13.855 | -14.424 | -13.371 | -5.100 | 8.578 | 7.824 | 0.166 |
| Technical height (cm) | P -0.038 | -0.006 | -0.010 | 0.018 | -0.055 | 0.013 | -0.030 | -0.007 | 0.023 | -0.060 | -0.012 | -0.011 | -0.026 | 0.015 | 0.021 | -0.086 |
| | G -4.626 | -0.857 | -1.536 | 2.658 | -6.656 | 3.426 | -3.645 | -0.734 | 3.804 | -7.005 | -1.431 | -1.471 | -3.273 | 1.932 | 2.789 | -0.119 |
| Straw yield (g) | P -0.022 | -0.041 | -0.040 | -0.034 | -0.066 | -0.003 | 0.026 | -0.180 | 0.091 | -0.037 | -0.194 | -0.181 | -0.119 | -0.031 | -0.027 | 0.632** |
| | G 6.814 | 15.647 | 15.630 | 11.194 | 19.855 | -1.349 | -7.553 | 53.894 | -32.195 | 11.636 | 56.938 | 54.939 | 36.424 | 9.227 | 8.061 | 0.688 |
| Retted straw yield (g) | P -0.002 | -0.007 | -0.009 | -0.008 | -0.012 | -0.003 | 0.005 | -0.029 | 0.015 | -0.006 | -0.032 | -0.034 | -0.022 | -0.007 | -0.006 | 0.603** |
| | G 0.621 | 2.318 | 2.154 | 1.972 | 2.781 | 0.700 | -1.307 | 6.925 | -4.020 | 1.610 | 7.400 | 7.669 | 4.970 | 1.586 | 1.356 | 0.665 |
| Fibre yield (g) | P 0.025 | 0.026 | 0.013 | -0.005 | 0.060 | -0.012 | -0.015 | 0.077 | -0.018 | 0.050 | 0.071 | 0.075 | 0.117 | 0.026 | 0.002 | 0.602** |
| | G 1.431 | 1.803 | 0.857 | -0.256 | 3.601 | -1.190 | -0.866 | 4.547 | -1.308 | 3.058 | 4.186 | 4.241 | 6.544 | 1.536 | 0.106 | 0.664 |
| 1000-seed weight (g) | P 0.005 | -0.005 | -0.001 | -0.002 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.008 | -0.003 | -0.003 | 0.003 | -0.002 | -0.002 | -0.003 | -0.012 | -0.009 | 0.411** |
| | G 1.261 | -1.421 | -0.445 | -0.584 | 0.552 | 0.641 | 2.080 | -0.911 | -1.121 | 0.919 | -0.540 | -0.689 | -0.782 | -3.333 | -2.497 | 0.435 |
| Oil content (%) | P -0.010 | 0.016 | 0.006 | 0.012 | -0.012 | -0.002 | -0.018 | 0.009 | 0.009 | -0.015 | 0.006 | 0.007 | 0.001 | 0.029 | 0.042 | 0.329** |
| | G 1.131 | -1.861 | -0.814 | -1.490 | 1.359 | -0.070 | 2.041 | -1.041 | -1.336 | 1.734 | -0.617 | -0.770 | -0.071 | -3.263 | -4.355 | 0.386 |

Residual effect (P) = 0.1278, (G) = 1.0941; Bold values indicate direct effects

seed yield per plant while negative correlation with seed yield per plant was reported by Kumar and Singh (1970), Patil *et al.* (1980) and Muduli and Patnaik (1994). Muhammad *et al.* (2001) found that seed yield per plant was positively associated with all traits with high significant correlations with number of seeds per capsule and number of capsules per plant. Whereas, in the present study negative correlation was observed between seed yield per plant and seeds per capsule and absence of correlation between seed yield per plant and capsules per plant.

Path analysis at phenotypic and genotypic levels (Table 4) revealed high positive direct effects of aerial biomass (1.074) on seed yield per plant. On partitioning the components for correlation of seed yield per plant with traits showing positive correlation; direct effects were found to be low indicating that on selection of traits like straw yield, retted straw yield, fibre yield, 1000-seed weight, plant height, primary branches, days to maturity, oil content and secondary branches per plant; seed yield per plant cannot be improved. Whereas, their indirect effects through aerial biomass were found to be high for straw yield (0.999), retted straw yield (0.934), fibre yield (0.709), 1000-seed weight (0.293), plant height (0.284), primary branches (0.257), days to maturity (0.251), oil content (0.232) and secondary branches per plant (0.219). Similar studies on path coefficient analysis were done by Chandra (1978), Muduli and Patnaik (1994) and Chimurkar *et al.* (2001). They observed direct effect of capsules per plant and 1000-seed weight on seed yield per plant. Muhammad *et al.* (2003) in a similar study on path coefficient analysis revealed that the number of capsules per plant had highest direct effect followed by plant height, 1000-seed weight and number of branches per plant on seed yield per plant. Whereas results of present findings showed that only aerial biomass contributed directly towards seed yield. Thus, revealing the importance of aerial biomass rather than number of capsules per plant as suggested by previous workers (Chandra, 1978; Muduli and Patnaik, 1994; Chimurkar *et al.*, 2001; Muhammad *et al.*, 2003, Arsul *et al.*, 2013, Reddy *et al.*, 2013 and Rajanna *et al.*, 2014) for increasing the seed yield per plant through direct selection.

REFERENCES

- Al-Jibouri, H. A., Millar, P. A. and Robinson, H. P. 1958. Genotypic and environmental variance and co-variances in an upland cotton cross of interspecific origin. *Agron. J.* **50**: 633-637.
- Arsul, B. T., Reddy, M. P., Reddy, C. V. C. M. and Ghorpade, P. B. 2013. Studies on genetic variability, correlation and path analysis for yield component traits in linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.). *Green Farm.* **4**(4): 415-417.
- Bhatt, G. M. 1972. Significance of path coefficient analysis in determining the nature of character association. *Euphtica.* **22**: 38-43.
- Copur, O., Gur, M. A., Karakus, M. and Demirel, U. 2006. Determination of correlation and path analysis among yield components and seed yield in oil flax varieties (*Linum usitatissimum* L.). *J. Bio. Sci.* **6**(4): 738-743.
- Chandra, S. 1978. Studies of interrelationship between seed yield and its components in some exotic strains of linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.). *Acta. Agron. Hung.* **27**: 74-80.
- Chimurkar, H. C., Shanti, P. and Rathod, D. R. 2001. Character association studies in linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.). *Ann. Pl. Physio.* **15**: 72-76.
- Dewey, D. R. and Lu, K. H. 1959. A correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of crested wheat grass and seed production. *Agron. J.* **51**: 515-518.
- Diederichsen, A. and Fu, Y. B. 2008. Flax genetic diversity as the raw material for future success. In: *2008 International Conference on Flax and Other Bast Plants.* pp. 270-280.
- Federer, W. T. 1963. Procedures and designs useful for screening material in selection and allocation with a bibliography. *Biometrics* **19**: 553-587.
- Khorgade, P. W. and Pillai, B. 1994. Genetic variability studies in linseed. *Agri. Sci. Digest, Karnal* **14**(1): 54-56.
- Kumar, S. and Singh, S. P. 1970. Correlation studies in some exotic varieties of linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.). *Agra Univ. J. Res.* **19**: 21-25.
- Mirza, S. H., Daulotun, Nessa, Islam, S. and Nessa, D. 1996. Genetic of inter relationships between seed yield and its components in linseed. *Bangladesh J. Bot.* **25**: 197-201.
- Muduli, K. C. and Patnaik, M. C. 1994. Character association and path-coefficient analysis in linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.). *Orissa J. Agric. Res.* **7**: 5-11.
- Muhammad, A., Khan, N. I. and Sabir, M. K. 2001. Correlation and path coefficient studies in linseed. *J. Biol. Sci.* **1**: 446-447.
- Muhammad, A., Mahmood, T., Anwar, M., Muhammad, A., Muhammad, S. and Jafar, S. 2003. Linseed improvement through genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.* **5**(3): 303-305.
- Nagaraja, T. E., Ajit, K. R. and Golasangi, B. S. 2009. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in linseed. *J. Maharashtra Agri. Universities.* **34**(3): 282-285.
- Panse, V. G. and Sukhatme, P. V. 1984. Statistical method for agricultural workers. *ICAR, Publication*, New Delhi, India.
- Patil, V. D., Makne, V. G. and Chaudhari, V. P. 1980. Association analysis in linseed. *Indian J. Genet. Pl. Breed.* **40**(1): 235-237.
- Payasi, S. K., Bose, U. S. and Singh, A. K. 2000. Pooled analysis of genetic parameters in linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.). *Adv. Plant Sci.* **13**(2): 559-562.
- Pradhan, B., Mishra, A., Mishra, P. K. and Mishra, A. 1999. Evaluation of linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.) varieties in the west central table land zone of Orissa. *Environ. Eco.* **17**: 91-93.
- Rajanna, B., Biradar, S. A. and Ajithkumar, K. 2014. Correlation and path coefficient analysis in linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.). *The Bioscan.* **9**(4): 1625-1628.
- Rashid, M., Gilani, M. M. and Khan, F. A. 1998. Path Coefficient analysis of some yield and quality influencing characters in linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.). *J. Anim. Plant Sci.* **8**(3-4): 103-106.
- Reddy, M. P., Reddy, B. R., Arsul, B. T. and Maheshwari, J. J. 2013. Character association and path coefficient studies in linseed. *Int. J. Current. Micro. and App. Sci.* **2**(9): 250-254
- Satapathi, D., Misra, R. C. and Panda, B. S. 1987. Variability, correlation and path-coefficient analysis in linseed. *J. Oilseeds Res.* **4**(1): 28-34.
- Savita, S. G. 2006. Diversity of linseed germplasm for yield and yield components. *M. Sc. thesis. Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, India.* p. 73.
- Wright, S. 1921. Correlation and causation. *J. Agri. Res.* **20**: 557-585.

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTALISTS ASSOCIATION

AND ITS OFFICIAL ORGAN



The Bioscan

An International Quarterly Journal of Life Science

Started in 1988, the National Environmentalists Association has been reorganized in 2006 and now is an association functioning with full vigour and new impetus to meet its objectives with the co-operation of like minded environment conscious academicians from different parts of the nation.

MEMBERSHIP OF THE ASSOCIATION

Any graduate having interest in environmental conservation and protection of nature and natural resources can be the member of the association.

To be the member of the association the application form given below should be duly filled up and sent to the Secretary of the association along with a demand draft of Rs. 750/- (After the 25% concession) for annual membership and Rs. 7500/- (After the 25% concession) for life membership.

FELLOWSHIP OF THE ASSOCIATION

The Association is awarding FELLOWSHIP to deserving academicians / researchers /scientists who are LIFE MEMBERS of the Association after reviewing their bio-data by the Fellows and the Executive Members of the association. The Fellows are privileged to write **F.N.E.A.** after their names. The prestigious Fellowship also includes a citation in recognition of their contribution to society in general and the endeavour for the noble cause of environment in particular.

AWARDS OF THE ASSOCIATION

The Association in its Seminars and Conferences provides the following category of awards on annual basis.

1. **The young scientists award** : It is given to the researchers below the age of 35 years.
2. **The senior scientists award** : It is awarded to the academicians above the age of 35 years.

3. **The best paper award**: It is awarded to the contributor of the Journal **The Bioscan** during the year.
4. **The best paper presentation award** : It is awarded to the scholar whose presentation is the best other than the young scientist category.
5. **The best oration award** : It is awarded to the scholar who delivered invited speech.
6. **The recognition award** : It is awarded to those senior scholars who have contributed to the subject through their continued research .
7. **The environmental awareness award** : It is awarded to those who, apart from their research contribution, have done commendable extension work for environmental betterment.

The number of recipients of award in each category will vary depending upon the recommendation of the panel of judges and the executive committee. The association has the provision to institute awards in the name of persons for whom a with desired sum is donated in consultation with the executive body.

PUBLICATION OF THE ASSOCIATION

In order to provide a platform to a vast group of researchers to express their views and finding of research as well as to promote the attitude of quality research among the scholars of younger generation the association publishes an international quarterly journal – **THE BIOSCAN (ISSN:0973-7049)**. For the benefit of the potential contributors **instructions to authors** is given separately in this journal. However, the details regarding the journal and also the association can be seen on our website www.thebioscan.in.

Cont. P. 1870