

BIO ASSAY OF FOOD VOLATILES ON SORGHUM AND PULSE FEEDING POPULATION OF *SITOPHILUS ORYZAE* L

S. VIJAY AND K. BHUVANESWARI

Department of Agricultural Entomology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore - 641 003, Tamil Nadu e-mail :entovijay@gmail.com

KEYWORDS Bioassay food volatiles *S. oryzae* sorghum

Received on : 06.04.2016

Accepted on : 21.05.2017

*Corresponding author

INTRODUCTION

The rice weevil, *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae), is one of the most destructive pest of stored cereals worldwide. It is classed as a primary pest, cosmopolitan in nature and is known to infest sound cereal seeds (Hill, 1990) and causes severe loss in rice, maize, barley and wheat (Bhatia *et al.*, 1975; Neupane, 1995). Though the storage grain loss is caused by insect pests, pathogens and rodents it is generally believed that half of the storage loss is usually caused by insect pests, effective methods of control are of paramount importance. Control often depends on a sound knowledge of the ecology and on the effects of a multitude of environmental factors on the life history of a pest (Latha and Naganagoud, 2015).

Reports about its occurrence on legumes are scanty. Pemberton et al (1981) studied its breeding behaviour on carob, Ceratonia siliqua (L.), a tree legume native to the Mediterranean region. Coombs et al. (1977) reported the successful development by Trinidad strain of S.oryzae on yellow split pea. In India, the pest was recorded for the first time to feed on red gram at Coimbatore. We collected a population of rice weevil feeding on split red gram dhal was sent to IARI, identified as Sitophilus oryzae by V.V.Ramamoorthy, (2011, Personal communication). The study was taken to analyse the preference of food volatiles collected from sorghum and pulse breeding population through Air Entrainment Technique. In the present investigation, the feeding preference of sorghum and pulse bred population was identified based on the results of GCMS using five available synthetic food standards. The experiment

ABSTRACT The experiment was co

The experiment was conducted to assess the bioassay of five available food volatiles *viz.*, decane, tricosane, octacosane, docosane and penatacosane at Entomology laboratory, Department of Agricultural Entomology, TNAU during the year of 2014-2015. The volatile preference for sorghum and pulse breeding population was assessed separately using six arm and Y tube olfactometer. The results showed significant variation in orientation behavior of *S.oryzae* towards food volatiles of *S.oryzae*. In sorghum population, maximum number of orientation was recorded in decane (24.67%) followed by tricosane (17.33%), octocosane (13.33%) and docosane (2.67%) at 10 Minutes After Release. The higher number of attraction was recorded in decane (37.33%) followed by tricosane (32.67%) and octocosane (21.33%) at 20 MAR. In case of pulse population, maximum number of insects orientated towards in decane (17.33%) octacosane (14.00%), tricosane (8.67%) and docosane (4.67%) at 10 MAR. At 20 MAR, higher number of attraction was recorded in decane (30.67%) followed by octacosane (28. 67%), tricosane (19.33%) and docosane (16.00%).

was conducted using standards *viz.*,decane, tricosane, octocosane, docosane, pentacosane with untreated check using six arm and Ytube olfactometer.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted by using the available technical standards of food volatiles such as decane, tricosane, octacosane, docosane and penatacosane purchased from Sigma Aldrich Company. The 1000 ppm (0.01g in 10 ml of HPLC grade hexane) of each volatile was used for both experiments. For both experiments $10 \,\mu$ l of volatile compound applied in the What man no. 4 filter paper pieces were used before release of insects. The size of the filter paper pieces was 4 x 1.5 cm. In 6 arm olfactometer 50 test insects were used to determine the preference of food volatiles. Observation was made on number of insects settled on each arm at 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25 and 30 MAR.

In Y tube olfactometer, individual adult female was released one at a time within the first cm of the base tube of the olfactometer, using a small size aspirator. Activated by the odour-loaded airflow and additionally motivated by the light, insects move upward. Incidentally an insect make no choice between control and treated filter paper within 3 min. this was scored as no-choice and the insects were discarded. Each treatment was replicated ten times using fresh Insects. After having tested 10 insects, the entire set-up was cleaned with ethanol for further use.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The experiment was conducted using five available food

volatiles standards *viz.*,decane, tricosane, octocosane, docosane, pentacosane with untreated check using six arm olfactometer. The experiment was conducted separately for sorghum and pulse population of *S.oryzae*. The results showed significant variation in orientation behavior of *S.oryzae* towards food volatiles of *S.oryzae*. In sorghum population, maximum number of orientation was recorded in decane (24.67%) followed by tricosane (17.33%), octocosane (13.33%) and docosane (2.67%) at 10 MAR. At 20 MAR, higher number of attraction was recorded in decane (37.33%) followed by tricosane (32.67%) and octocosane (21.33%). The same trend was observed at 30 MAR (Table 1).

In case of pulse population, maximum number of insects orientated towards in decane (17.33%) octacosane (14.00%), tricosane (8.67%) and docosane (4.67%) at 10 MAR. At 20 MAR, higher number of attraction was recorded in decane (30.67%) followed by octacosane (28.67%), tricosane

(19.33%) and docosane (16.00%). Similar results were observed at 30 MAR. Maximum number of pulse population oriented towards in decane and octacosane when compared to sorghum population (Table 2). In present study five available food volatile standards were used to test the behavioural response of *S. oryzae* such as decane, octacosane, tricosane, docosane and pentacosane. Sorghum and pulse breeding populations was oriented towards the four compounds such as decane, octacosane, tricosane and econane, tricosane and docosane when compared to pentacosane (Fig. 1 and 2).

Germinara et al. (2008) reported that many cereal volatiles elicit a significant behavioral responses of *S. granarius* to various compounds (1-butanol, 3-methyl-1-butanol, pentanal, maltol, and vanillin) acted uniquely as attractants, while twelve [1-hexanol, butanal, hexanal, heptanal, (E)-2-hexenal, (E,E)-2,4- nonadienal, (E,E)-2,4- decadienal, 2,3 -butanedione, 2-pentanone, 2-hexanone, 2-heptanone, and furfural) acted only

	Tab	le 1	1: I	Bioassa	ıy of	food	l vol	ati	les to S. <i>o</i> i	ryzae (S	orgh	um po	pulat	ion) us	ing (6 arm o	lfactomete
--	-----	------	------	---------	-------	------	-------	-----	----------------------	----------	------	-------	-------	---------	-------	---------	------------

S.No	Treatments			Number of weevils settled (%)*						
				Minutes After Re	Minutes After Release (MAR)					
		5 MAR	10 MAR	15 MAR	20 MAR	25 MAR	30 MAR			
1.	Decane	12.67(20.85) ^b	24.67(29.78)b	32.00(34.45) ^a	37.33(37.66) ^a	37.33(37.66) ^a	37.33(37.66) ^a			
2.	Tricosane	8.00(16.43) ^c	17.33(24.60) ^c	26.67(31.09) ^b	32.67(34.86) ^a	32.67(34.86) ^a	32.67(34.86) ^a			
3.	Octocosane	5.33(13.35) ^c	13.33(21.42) ^c	16.67(24.09) ^c	21.33(27.51) ^b	21.33(27.51) ^b	21.33(27.51) ^b			
4.	Docosane	2.00(8.13) ^d	2.67(9.40) ^d	3.33(10.52) ^d	2.67(9.40) ^c	2.67(9.40) ^c	3.33(10.52) ^c			
5.	Pentacosane	$0.67(4.68)^{e}$	1.33(6.63) ^d	2.00(8.13) ^e	1.33(6.63) ^c	1.33(6.63) ^c	1.33(6.63) ^d			
6.	Control	2.67(9.40) ^d	2.67(9.40) ^d	2.00(8.13) ^e	2.00(8.13) ^c	2.00(8.13) ^c	2.00(8.13) ^{cd}			
7.	Unsettled	70.00(56.79) ^a	38.00(38.06) ^a	17.33(24.60) ^c	2.67(9.40) ^c	2.67(9.40) ^c	2.00(8.13) ^{cd}			
	SEd	1.6108	1.7786	0.9604	1.6580	1.6580	1.5429			
	CD Value (0.05)	3.4452	3.8152	2.0601	3.5564	3.5564	3.3094			

*Mean of three replications. Figures in parentheses are arc sin transformed values. Mean followed by same letter (s) in a column are not significantly different by DMRT (P = 0.05)

Table 2: Bioassay of food volatiles to S.oryzae (Pulse population) using 6 arm olfactometer

S.No	Treatments			Number of wee Minutes After R	vils settled (%)* elease (MAR)		
		5 MAR	10 MAR	15 MAR	20 MAR	25MAR	30MAR
1.	Decane	11.33(19.67) ^b	17.33(24.60) ^b	26.67(31.09) ^a	30.67(33.63) ^a	30.67(33.63) ^a	30.67(33.63) ^a
2.	Tricosane	6.67(14.96) ^c	8.67(17.12) ^c	18.67(25.60) ^b	19.33(26.08) ^b	19.33(26.08) ^b	19.33(26.08) ^b
3.	Octocosane	12.00(20.27) ^b	14.00(21.97) ^b	26.67(31.09) ^a	28.67(32.37) ^a	28.67(32.37) ^a	28.67(32.37) ^a
4.	Docosane	4.67(12.48) ^{cd}	4.67(12.48) ^d	14.67(22.52) ^b	16.00(23.58) ^b	16.00(23.58) ^b	16.00(23.58) ^b
5.	Pentacosane	0.67(4.68) ^e	1.33(6.63) ^e	1.33(6.63) ^d	$0.67(4.68)^{d}$	$0.67(4.68)^{d}$	$0.67(4.68)^{d}$
6.	Control	2.67(9.40) ^d	2.00(8.13) ^e	2.00(8.13) ^d	2.00(8.13) ^c	2.00(8.13) ^c	2.00(8.13) ^c
7.	Unsettled	62.00(51.94) ^a	52.00(46.15) ^a	10.00(18.43) ^c	2.67(9.40) ^c	2.67(9.40) ^c	2.67(9.40) ^c
	SEd	1.7001	1.9306	1.9682	1.5002	1.5002	1.5002
	CD Value (0.05)	3.6467	4.1412	4.2218	3.2179	3.2179	3.2179

*Mean of three replications. Figures in parentheses are arc sin transformed values. Mean followed by same letter (s) in a column are not significantly different by DMRT (P=0.05)

Table 3: Bio assav	y of food volatiles to S.o	<i>ryza</i> e (Sorghum popul	ation) using Y tube olfactometer.

S.No	Treatments		Mean	SD	P Value	÷²Value
1.	Decane Vs Control	Decane	0.9	0.3	0.011	0.915 ^{NS}
		Control	0.1	0.3		
2.	Tricosane Vs Control	Tricosane	0.9	0.3	0.011	0.915 ^{NS}
		Control	0.1	0.3		
3.	Octocosane Vs Control	Octacosane	0.7	0.4	0.206	0.573*
		Control	0.3	0.4		
4.	Docosane Vs Control	Docosane	0.5	0.5	1.000	0.317*
		Control	0.5	0.5		
5.	Pentacosane Vs Control	Pentacosane	0.2	0.4	0.058	0.810*
		Control	0.8	0.4		

Mean of ten replications. * indicate significant differences from even distribution at P<0.05 and P<0.01. NS-Non Significant

S.No	Treatments		Mean	SD	P Value	÷² Value
1.	Decane Vs Control	Decane	0.9	0.3		
		Control	0.1	0.3	0.011	0.915 ^{NS}
2.	Tricosane Vs Control	Tricosane	0.7	0.4		
		Control	0.3	0.4	0.206	0.650*
3.	Octocosane Vs Control	Octacosane	0.8	0.4		
		Control	0.2	0.4	0.058	0.810*
4.	Docosane Vs Control	Docosane	0.7	0.4		
		Control	0.3	0.4	0.206	0.650*
5.	Pentacosane Vs Control	Pentacosane	0.2	0.4		
		Control	0.8	0.4	0.058	0.810*

Table 4: Bio assay of food volatiles to S.oryzae (Pulse population) using Y tube olfactometer.

Mean of ten replications. *indicate significant differences from even distribution at P<0.05 and P<0.01. NS- Non Significant



Figure 1: Bio assay of food volatiles (Sorghum population) by using Y tube olfactometer

as repellents. However, in the case of 1- pentanol, (E,E)- 2,4heptadienal, and phenylacetaldehyde, granary weevils were attracted to low concentrations but repelled by higher concentrations, thus showing the ability of the species to detect and respond differentially to variations in concentration of host volatiles. Some of the volatiles that attract S. granarius have been identified in the aroma of freshly broken grains of several cereals (Maga, 1978) and, consequently, may act as kairomones. Pierce et al. (1990) reported that higher concentrations of food volatiles ((E,E)-2,4- heptadienal, vanillin, and maltol) were required to elicit a response from granary beetles, O. surinamensis and O. mercator. The number of compounds might be used as kairomones by other species: pentanal, vanillin, and maltol were attractive to S. oryzae (Phillips et al., 1993); pentanal attracted O. surinamensis and O. mercator (Pierce et al., 1990), whereas phenylacetaldehyde was an attractant for several lepidopteran species including Ephestia cautella (Walker) and Plodia interpunctella (Hubner) (El-Saved, 2006 and Olsson et al., 2005). Piggot et al. (1991) reported that optimal doses of (E,E)- 2,4- nonadienal, (E)-2hexenal, 2-pentanone, 2-heptanone, (E,E)-2,4-decadienal, and furfural were as effective as the repellent control against granary weevil.

The Y tube olfactometer was used to identify the choice and no choice tests of sorghum and pulse population for the food volatiles *viz.*,decane, tricosane, octocosane, docosane and pentacosane. All the volatile compounds attracted significantly more weevils than the control treatment. The active weevils of



Figure 2: Bio assay of food volatiles (Pulse population) by using Y tube olfactometer

sorghum population were strongly attracted to decane (90.0 %), tricosane (90.0 %), octocosane (70.0 %) and docosane (50.0 %) respectively, whereas there was no preference for pentacosane. The calculated \div^2 values were represented in (Table 3).

In case of pulse population the active weevils were strongly attracted to decane (90.0 %), octacosane (80.0 %), tricosane (70.0 %) and docosane (70.0 %) respectively, whereas there was no preference for pentacosane. The calculated \div^2 value were represented in (Table 4).

The results of this study suggest that host finding by the rice weevil is a complex process that depends on the balance of positive and negative stimuli that change over time. Consequently, a clear understanding of the biological activity of different cereal and legume volatiles, individually and in combination, might be essential for developing semiochemical based rice weevil management strategies. Furthermore impact of volatiles on insects might have on other species that utilize the same resources to ensure that compounds to repel one pest is not an attractant for another. For example, while hexanal, heptanal, and (E,E)- 2,4- nonadienal (at low doses) repel the granary weevil, they have been reported as attractants for O. surinamensis and O. mercator, two secondary pests of stored grain and processed cereals (Pierce et al., 1990). Such differential responses to the same semiochemicals that originate from a heterogeneous food substrate undoubtedly play an important role in niche partitioning within the stored grain ecosystem (Phillips et al., 1993).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors would like to acknowledge University Grant Commission, New Delhi for the financial support for this study.

REFERENCES

Bhatia, S. K., Singh, V. S. and Bansal, M. G. 1975. Varietal resistance in barley grain to laboratory infestation of rice weevil and lesser grain borer. *Bulletin of Crain Technology.* **13(2):** 69-72.

Coombs, C. W., Billings, C. J. and Porter, J. E. 1977. The effect of yellow split-peas (*Pisum sativum* L.) and other pulses on the productivity of certain strains of *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) and the ability of other strains to breed thereon. *J. Stored Prod Res.* **13:** 53-58.

El-Sayed, A. M. 2006. The Pherobase: Database of Insect Pheromones and Semiochemicals. Belhaven press, London

FAO. 1968. Rice grain of life. International Rice Year 1966: Freedom from hunger. World Food Problems No. 6. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy p.65.

Germinara, G.S., De Cristofaro, D. and Rotundo, G. 2008. Behavioral responses of adult *Sitophilus granaries* to individual cereal volatiles, *J. Chem. Ecol.* **34:** 523-529.

Hill, D. S. 1990. Pests of stored products and their control, Belhaven press, London

Latha, H. C. and Naganagoud, A. 2015. Effect of sweet flag rhizome, *Acorus calamus* L. Formulations against *Sitophilus oryzae* in sorghum. *The Bioscan.* **10(3)**:1213-1218.

Maga, J. A. 1978. Cereal volatiles, a review. J. Agric. Food Chem. 26: 175-178.

Neupane, F. P. 1995. Agricultural Entomology in Nepal. Review of Agricultural Entomology. 83(12): 1291-1304.

Olsson, P.O. C., Anderbrant, O., Lofstedt, C., Borg-Karlson, A.K. and Liblikas, I. 2005. Electrophysiological and behavioural responses to chocolate volatiles in both sexes of the pyralid moths, *Ephestia cautella* and *Plodia interpunctella*, *J. Chem. Ecol.* **31**: 2947-2961.

Pemberton, G. W. and Rodriguez, A. D. 1981. The occurrence of a rice strain of *S.oryzae* (L.) (Col. Curculionidae) breeding in Portugese kibbled carobs. *J. Stored Prod Res.* **17:** 37-38

Phillips, T. W., Jiang, X. L., Burkholder, W.E., Phillips, J. K. and Tran, H. Q. 1993. Behavioural responses to food volatiles by two species of stored-product Coleoptera, *Sitophilus oryzae* (Curculionidae) and *Tribolium castaneum* (Tenebrionidae). J. Chem. Ecol. **19:** 723-734.

Pierce, A. M., Pierce., H. D., Oehlschlager, A. C. and Borden, J. H. 1990. Attraction of *Oryzaephilus surinamensis* (L.) and *Oryzaephilus mercator* (Fauvel) (Coleoptera: Cucujidae) to some common volatiles of food. *J. Chem. Ecol.* **16**: 465-475

Pierce, A. M., Borden, J. H. and Oehlschlager A. C. 1981. Olfactory response to beetles produced volatiles and host – food attractants by *Oryzaephilus surinamensis* and *O. mercator. Can. J. Zool.* 59: 1980 - 1990.

Piggot, J. R., Morrison, W. R. and Clyne, J. 1991. Changes in lipids and in sensory attributes on storage of rice milled to different degrees. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* 26: 615-628.